# **2** The Supreme Court's Review Process, Caseload, and Cases

The material in this chapter provides basic information on the Court's work. We begin with the review process and the Court's rules governing the process's operations and procedures. Those rules detailing the steps litigants must take to secure Court review of their cases and the criteria the Court uses in acting on their petitions appear in Table 2-1. Note especially the criteria in Rule 10 that the Court specifies for granting a writ of *certiorari* (the most common method whereby cases reach the Supreme Court). Although the list is vague, allowing the justices to use their discretion in granting or denying petitions, many of the reasons listed in the rule pertain to decisions in conflict with those of other courts. All things considered, a case in conflict with another appreciably enhances the likelihood that the justices will hear the matter.

Use of the writ of *certiorari* by the Court began with an 1891 Act of Congress, which made some types of lower court decisions "reviewable only upon the issuance" of a writ. According to one account, though, between 1891 and 1893 the Court granted only two writs. Between the mid-1890s and the end of the 1920s, that figure grew and stabilized at about 16 percent. Still, the vast majority of the cases fell under the Court's obligatory jurisdiction. Accordingly (and with a good deal of prodding by the justices), Congress enacted the Judiciary Act of 1925, which greatly increased the Court's discretionary jurisdiction by replacing mandatory appeals with petitions for *certiorari*.<sup>1</sup>

The Court's caseload is considered from five different standpoints in Tables 2-2 through 2-6. The raw totals of Tables 2-5 and 2-6 show a fivefold increase in the total of docketed cases since 1880, with the number of new cases increasing tenfold. These increases suggest that the Court has difficulty remaining abreast of its docket. The data in Tables 2-3 and 2-4, however, indicate the opposite. Cases remaining on the Court's dockets at the end of the term have not appreciably increased since the mid-1960s. Tables 2-5 and 2-6 show why: the justices simply accept for review a number of cases independent of the number filed.

After the Court agrees to review a case, it schedules it for oral argument. Table 2-7 provides a guide to oral arguments in the Court. (See also Table 6-3 for information on the justices' questions during oral arguments.)

Tables 2-8 through 2-16 present data about the cases that the Court has decided. Table 2-8 lists the number of formally decided cases—that is, those that the Court decided after hearing oral argument. They comprise two forms: those in which the prevailing opinion is signed by an individual justice and those in which no individual justice writes the prevailing opinion (*per curiam*). The Court initially decided its cases *seriatim*—that is, with the authoring justices identifying their individual opinions, but with no opinion constituting the opinion of the Court. Beginning in 1875 and continuing until 1925, the Court typically decided more than 200 cases per term. In 1925, Congress authorized the Court to decide for itself which cases it would hear. As a result, the Court averaged only about 125 signed opinions per term, and that figure has declined to double digits in recent terms.

The difference in the numbers between the first two columns of Table 2-8, signed opinions and cases disposed of by signed opinion, results because of the Court's practice of deciding related cases together under a single signed opinion. Although this practice long antedates 1926, no compilation for preceding years exists. It appears as though orally argued *per curiam* decisions, the subject of the third column, do not antedate 1940. An equivalent device nevertheless did exist: the practice of an individual justice announcing the Court's decision in a brief opinion without any designation other than the name of the authoring justice. Such opinions, however, are not labeled "the opinion of the Court."<sup>2</sup> *Per curiam* opinions generally address relatively uncomplicated matters that do not require more than a brief opinion.

Readers can obtain access to Court decisions, whether a signed opinion or a *per curiam*, in numerous places. Tables 2-9 and 2-10 provide lists of print and electronic sources. Table 2-11 breaks down the Court's formally decided cases (signed opinions and orally argued *per curiams*) since the beginning of the Vinson Court in 1946 into 13 issue areas and a miscellaneous category (see "A Data Literacy Lesson," below). It shows a slow decline in the number and proportion of economically based litigation and a corresponding increase in that pertaining to noneconomic rights and liberties. Thus, the proportion of economic activity cases has dropped from approximately one in three to one in five. Additionally, 49 federal income tax cases were decided during the seven terms of the Vinson Court, while only 14 were decided during the fifteen terms of the Roberts Court (2005–2019). In the noneconomic sector, most categories have increased proportionately. Criminal procedure (overall the largest category), civil rights, due process, and the small privacy and attorney sets

produce markedly more decisions currently than they did during the 1940s, 1950s, and the early 1960s. Judicial power and federalism have maintained a relatively constant number of decisions, notwithstanding perception that the Rehnquist Court considered decentralization a matter of some priority.

The foregoing categorization of the Court's decisions is in gross numbers and treats all cases falling within a given grouping as equally important. To rectify these shortcomings, we have compiled Table 2-12, which list the Court's most important decisions chronologically. Obviously, any list of the Court's landmark decisions is a subjective venture. But the sources we have used—*The New York Times*—has been deemed by scholars to be among the more reliable and comprehensive.<sup>4</sup>

Among the cases that have shaped modern American constitutional law are those that have made various provisions of the Bill of Rights binding on state and local governments. According to the literal language of the Constitution, and the authoritative interpretation given that language by Chief Justice John Marshall,<sup>5</sup> the guarantees in the Bill of Rights limit only the federal government. Accordingly, any state could, for example, deny individuals freedom of speech, impose taxes on all to support a specific religious denomination, employ cruel and unusual punishments, or deny persons a trial by jury. Not until the 1920s did the justices alter this original interpretation by reading into the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, which was binding on state and local governments, various provisions of the Bill of Rights. Table 2-13 identifies these cases and the provision incorporated into the due process clause. Note that about a third resulted from decisions of the Warren Court handed down between 1961 and 1969. But it was the Roberts Court that rendered the most recent decision applying a provision of the Bill of Rights to the states and localities. In Ramos v. Louisiana (2020),6 the justices held that state juries must reach unanimous verdicts in cases involving serious crimes.

Tables 2-14 and 2-15 concern the exercise of the most momentous of the Court's powers: judicial review. Judicial review is the capacity of the Court to declare unconstitutional the actions of Congress, as well as the legal provisions of state and local governments. While the power to void actions of state and local governments fairly derives from the language of the supremacy clause of Article VI of the Constitutional actions of the other branches of the federal government. Marshall simply inferred the existence of such authority in his masterful opinion in *Marbury v. Madison* (1803).<sup>7</sup>

Whereas the decision of a court declaring legislation unconstitutional is upsetting to those who view the will of the people, as reflected by legislative majorities, to be the essence of democracy, the decision of a court to overrule itself is upsetting to those who view the law, and especially the

## 62 The Supreme Court Compendium

Constitution, as fixed and stable. Nonetheless, as Tables 2-14 and 2-15, on the one hand, and Table 2-16, on the other, demonstrate, the Court has not been reluctant either to declare legislation unconstitutional or to overrule its previous decisions. And though the frequency of the former is several times the frequency of the latter, by no means is the number of instances when the Court formally altered its own precedents trivial. Indeed, when one considers that in 1789 the Court had no precedents of its own at all, and very few until well after the Civil War, legal stability and fixity is at most a sometime thing.

## Notes

- 1. Doris Marie Provine, *Case Selection in the United States Supreme Court* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1980), chap. 1.
- 2. See, for example, Morse v. Anderson, 150 U.S. 156 (1893).
- Joan Biskupic and Elder Witt, Congressional Quarterly's Guide to the U.S. Supreme Court, 3d ed. (Washington, D.C.: Congressional Quarterly, 1997); David Savage, Guide to the U.S. Supreme Court, 5th ed. (Washington, D.C.: CQ Press, 2010).
- 4. For example, Segal and Spaeth point out that other lists often exclude statutory cases. See Jeffrey A. Segal and Harold J. Spaeth, "The Impact of Stare Decisis on the Votes of Supreme Court Justices," *American Journal of Political Science* 40 (1996): 971–1003. See also Beverly B. Cook, "Measuring the Significance of U.S. Supreme Court Decisions," *Journal of Politics* 55 (1993): 1127–39; Lee Epstein and Jeffrey A. Segal, "Measuring Issue Salience," *American Journal of Political Science* 44 (2000): 66–83.
- 5. In Barron v. Baltimore, 7 Pet. 243 (1833).
- 6. 140 S.Ct. 1390 (2020).
- 7. 1 Cranch 137.

## Table 2-1 Supreme Court Rule 10: Considerations Governing Review on Certiorari

Review on a writ of *certiorari* is not a matter of right, but of judicial discretion. A petition for a writ of *certiorari* will be granted only for compelling reasons. The following, although neither controlling nor fully measuring the Court's discretion, indicate the character of the reasons the Court considers:

(a) a United States court of appeals has entered a decision in conflict with the decision of another United States court of appeals on the same important matter; has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with a decision by a state court of last resort; or has so far departed from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings, or sanctioned such a departure by a lower court, as to call for an exercise of this Court's supervisory power;

(b) a state court of last resort has decided an important federal question in a way that conficts with the decision of another state court of last resort or of a United States court of appeals;

(c) a state court or a United States court of appeals has decided an important question of federal law that has not been, but should be, settled by this Court, or has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with relevant decisions of this Court.

A petition for a writ of *certiorari* is rarely granted when the asserted error consists of erroneous factual findings or the misapplication of a properly stated rule of law.

*Note:* Rules of the Supreme Court adopted April 18, 2019; effective July 1, 2019. A full text of all the Court's rules is available at https://www.supremecourt.gov.

Term	New cases docketed (percentage change)	Total cases on docket <sup>e</sup> (percentage change)
380	417	1,212
881	411	1,254
	(-1.4)	(+3.1)
1882	434	1,275
	(+5.6)	(+1.7)
1883	439	1,313
	(+1.2)	(+3.0)
1884	477	1,325
	(+8.7)	(+0.9)
1885	493	1,348
	(+3.4)	(+1.7)
1886	499	1,403
	(+1.2)	(+4.1)
1887	489	1,437
	(-2.0)	(+2.4)
1888	556	1,571
	(+13.7)	(+9.3)
1889	500	1,648
	(-10.1)	(+4.9)
1890	636	1,816
0,0	(+27.2)	(+10.2)
891	383	1,582
071	(-39.8)	(-12.9)
392	290	1,369
07 <u></u>	(-24.3)	(-13.5)
893	280	1,224
	(-3.4)	(-10.6)
1894	341	1,062
	(+21.8)	(-13.2)
1895	386	1,033
	(+13.2)	(-2.7)
896	295	834
070	(-23.6)	(-19.3)
1897	307	(-17.5) 689
	(+4.1)	(-17.4)
1898	523	(-17.4) 839
	(+70.4)	(+21.8)
.899	384	(+21.0) 692
	(-26.6)	(-17.5)
1900	(-20.0) 406	(-17.5) 723
200		
	(+5.7)	(+4.5)

Table 2-2The Supreme Court's Caseload, 1880–2019 Terms

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

erm	New cases docketed (percentage change)	Total cases on docket <sup>e</sup> (percentage change)
901	386	732
	(-4.9)	(+1.2)
902	391	746
	(+1.3)	(+1.9)
903	430	749
	(+9.9)	(+0.4)
904	403	698
	(-6.3)	(-6.8)
905	502	794
	(+24.6)	(+13.8)
906	484	801
	(-3.6)	(+0.9)
907	480	832
	(-0.9)	(+3.9)
908	494	923
	(+2.9)	(+10.9)
909	514	1,000
	(+4.0)	(+8.3)
910	516	1,116
	(+0.4)	(+11.6)
911	532	1,182
	(+3.1)	(+5.9)
912	521	1,201
	(-2.1)	(+1.6)
913	526	1,142
	(+1.0)	(-4.9)
914	530	1,075
	(+0.8)	(-5.9)
915	557	1,093
$\sim$	(+5.1)	(+1.7)
916	658	1,200
	(+18.1)	(+9.8)
917	590	1,145
	(-10.3)	(-4.6)
918	593	1,112
	(+0.5)	(-2.9)
919	587	1,019
	(-1.0)	(-8.4)
920	565	975
	(-3.7)	(-4.3)

(Table continues)

Term	New cases docketed	Total cases on docket <sup>a</sup>
	(percentage change)	(percentage change)
1921	673	1,040
	(+19.1)	(+6.7)
.922	720	1,157
	(+7.0)	(+11.3)
1923	631	1,123
	(-12.4)	(-2.9)
1924	909	1,316
	(+44.1)	(+17.2)
1925	790	1,309
	(-13.1)	(-0.5)
1926	718	1,183
	(-9.11)	(-9.6)
1927	751	1,032
	(+4.6)	(-12.8)
1928	776	962
	(+3.3)	(-6.8)
1929	838	981
	(+8.0)	(+2.0)
1930	845	1,034
1700	(+0.8)	(+5.4)
1931	877	1,024
1701	(+3.8)	(-1.0)
1932	897	1,041
<i>, , , , , , , , , ,</i>	(+2.3)	(+1.7)
.933	1,005	1,132
	(+12.0)	(+8.7)
1934	937	1,040
	(-6.8)	(-8.1)
1935	983	1,092
1700	(+4.9)	(+5.0)
1936	950	1,052
	(-3.4)	(-3.7)
1937	981	1,091
1701	(+3.3)	(+3.7)
1938	942	1,020
1700	(-4.0)	(-6.5)
1939	981	1,078
1707	(+4.1)	(+5.7)
1940	977	(+3.7) 1,109
	(-0.4)	(+2.9)
1941	(-0.4) 1,178	(+2.9) 1,302
711	(+20.6)	(+17.4)
	(+20.0)	(+17.4)

 Table 2-2
 (Continued)

erm	New cases docketed (percentage change)	Total cases on docket <sup>a</sup> (percentage change)
42	984	1,118
	(-16.5)	(-14.1)
43	997	1,118
	(+1.3)	0
44	1,237	1,393
	(+24.8)	(+24.6)
45	1,316	1,460
	(+6.4)	(+4.8)
46	1,510	1,678
	(+14.7)	(+14.9)
47	1,295	1,453
	(-14.2)	(-13.4)
48	1,465	1,596
	(+13.1)	(+9.8)
49	1,270	1,441
	(-13.3)	(-9.7)
50	1,181	1,321
	(-7.0)	(-8.3)
51	1,234	1,353
	(+4.5)	(+2.4)
52	1,283	1,429
	(+4.0)	(+5.6)
53	1,302	1,453
	(+1.5)	(+1.7)
54	1,397	1,557
	(+7.3)	(+7.2)
5	1,644	1,849
	(+17.7)	(+18.8)
56	1,802	2,021
$\bigcirc$	(+9.6)	(+9.3)
57	1,639	1,990
	(-9.0)	(-1.5)
58	1,819	2,044
	(+11.0)	(+2.7)
59	1,862	2,143
	(+2.4)	(+4.8)
60	1,940	2,296
	(+4.2)	(+7.1)
61	2,185	2,570
	(+12.6)	(+11.9)

Table 2-2(Continued)

(Table continues)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

T	New cases docketed	<i>Total cases on docket</i> <sup>a</sup>
Term	(percentage change)	(percentage change)
1962	2,373	2,801
	(+8.6)	(+9.0)
963	2,294	2,768
	(-3.3)	(-1.2)
.964	2,288	2,655
	(-0.3)	(-4.1)
965	2,774	3,256
	(+21.2)	(+22.6)
966	2,752	3,343
	(-0.8)	(+2.7)
.967	3,106	3,559
	(+12.9)	(+6.5)
968	3,271	3,884
	(+5.3)	(+9.1)
969	3,405	4,172
	(+4.1)	(+7.4)
.970	3,419	4,212
	(+0.4)	(+1.0)
.971	3,643	4,533
	(+6.6)	(+7.6)
972	3,749	4,640
	(+2.9)	(+2.4)
973	3,943	5,079
,0	(+5.2)	(+9.5)
074	3,661	4,668
<i>,,,,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(-7.2)	(-8.1)
975	3,939	4,761
	(+7.6)	(+2.0)
976	3,873	4,731
	(-1.7)	(-0.6)
977	3,839	4,704
	(-0.9)	(-0.6)
978	3,893	4,731
70	(+1.4)	(+0.6)
.979	4,067	4,781
	(+4.5)	(+1.1)
.980	4,252	5,144
.900	4,252 (+4.5)	(+7.6)
981	(+4.5) 4,363	(+7.6) 5,311
01		(+3.2)
<b>121</b>	(+2.6)	(+3.2) 5,079
982	4,201	
	(-3.7)	(-4.4)

 Table 2-2
 (Continued)

Term	New cases docketed (percentage change)	Total cases on docket <sup>a</sup> (percentage change)
1983	4,222	5,100
	(+0.5)	(+0.4)
1984	4,046	5,006
	(-4.2)	(-1.8)
1985	4,413	5,158
	(+9.1)	(+3.0)
1986	4,251	5,123
	(-3.7)	(-0.7)
1987	4,494	5,268
	(+5.7)	(+2.8)
1988	4,776	5,657
	(+6.3)	(+7.4)
1989	4,919	5,746
	(+3.0)	(+1.6)
1990	5,502	6,316
	(+11.9)	(+9.9)
991	5,866	6,770
	(+6.6)	(+7.2)
1992	6,303	7,245
	(+7.5)	(+7.0)
1993	6,897	7,786
	(+9.4)	(+7.5)
994	6,996	8,100
	(+1.4)	(+4.0)
995	6,597	7,565
	(-5.7)	(-6.6)
.996	6,633	7,602
	(+0.5)	(+0.5)
1997	6,781	7,692
	(+2.2)	(+1.2)
1998	7,109	8,083
	(+4.8)	(+5.1)
1999	7,377	8,445
	(+3.8)	(+4.5)
2000	7,852	8,965
	(+6.4)	(+6.2)
2001	7,924	9,176
	(+0.9)	(+2.4)
2002	8,255	9,406
	(+4.2)	(+2.5)

Table 2-2
 (Continued)

く

(Table continues)

T.	New cases docketed	Total cases on docket
Term	(percentage change)	(percentage change)
2003	7,814	8,882
	(-5.3)	(-5.6)
2004	7,496	8,588
	(-4.1)	(-3.3)
2005	8,521	9,608
	(+13.7)	(+11.9)
2006	8,857	10,256
	(+3.9)	(+6.7)
2007	8,241	9,602
	(-7.0)	(-6.4)
2008	7,738	8,966
	(-6.1)	(-6.6)
2009	8,159	9,302
	(+5.4)	(+3.7)
2010	7,857	9,066
	(-3.7)	(-2.5)
2011	7,713	8,952
	(-1.8)	(-1.3)
012	7,509	8,806
	(-2.6)	(-1.6)
2013	7,376	8,580
	(-1.8)	(-2.6)
2014	7,033	8,066
	(-4.7)	(-6.0)
015	6,475	7,535
	(-7.9)	(-6.6)
016	6,305	7,334
	(-2.6)	(-2.7)
2017	6,315	7,390
	(-0.2)	(-0.8)
2018	6,442	7,622
	(-2.0)	(-3.1)
2019	5,411	6,534
	(-16.0)	(-11.6)

Table 2-2(Continued)

<sup>a</sup>Includes all cases on all dockets in effect. The number of cases on the docket exceeds the number of cases filed because the Court carries over a certain number of cases each term.

*Note:* Consistent and reliable data are not available prior to 1880. Also, given that we used multiple data sources to assemble this table, readers should be aware that differences probably exist in the way those collecting the data defined the number of cases. We only report their results and make no attempt to impose consistency on the data.

## Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

## Table 2-2(Continued)

Sources: New cases filed, 1880–1974: Gerhard Casper and Richard A. Posner, The Workload of the Supreme Court (Chicago: American Bar Foundation, 1976), 3; 1974–1989: Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Court (Washington, D.C.: Government Publishing Office, successive editions), Table A-1; 1990–2004: Clerk of the U.S. Supreme Court; 2005–2009: The Journal of the Supreme Court of the United States, available at www.supremecourt.gov/orders/journal.aspx. Total cases on docket, 1880–1885 and 1900–1935: U.S. Department of Justice, Annual Report of the Attorney General of the United States (Washington, D.C.: Government Publishing Office, successive editions); 1885–1900: U.S. Senate, "Creation of the Federal Judiciary," Sen. Doc. No. 91, 75th Cong., 1st sess., July 22, 1937 (Washington, D.C.: Government Publishing Office, 1938), 44; 1935–1969: Federal Judicial Center, Report of the Study Group on the Case Load of the Supreme Court (Washington, D.C.: Federal Judicial Center, 1972), Table A1; 1970–1989: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Statistical Abstract of the United States (Washington, D.C.: Government Publishing Office, successive editions); 1990-2004: Clerk of the U.S. Supreme Court; 2005–2019: The Journal of the Supreme Court of the United States, available at https://www .supremecourt.gov/orders/journal.aspx.

1401C 4-0	C-1		or are bocacie of the particular county 1700 1707 terms	aprun	-0411, 1700					
		Original docket	iket		Appellate docket	cket		Miscella	Miscellaneous docket	
Term	Filed	Disposed <sup>a</sup>	Remaining <sup>b</sup>	Filed	Disposed <sup>a</sup>	Remaining <sup>b</sup>	Filed	Disposed <sup>a</sup>		Remaining <sup>b</sup>
1935	ю	4	12	980	986	60				
1936	1	1	12	949	941	98				
1937	10	6	13	126	1,004	65				
1938		1	12	942	922	85				
1939	ю	4	11	978	942	121				
1940	4	9	6	973	626	115				
1941	З	2	10	1,175	1,166	124				
1942	ß	Ŋ	10	626	992	111				
1943	1	2	6	966	096	147				
1944	7		11	1,235	1,249	133				
1945	1		12	1,184	1,161	156				
1946			12	1,356	1,366	146				
1947			12	733	772	107				
1948	2	1	13	773	747	142	069	677	6	16
1949			13	718	757	110	552	544	7	17
1950		Ŋ	8	629	687	96	522	510	14	15
1951	1		6	716	714	113	517	493	15	24
1952	2		11	742	742	121	539	536	8	19
1953			11	684	694	121	618	599	10	28
1954			11	713	721	122	684	631	6	72
1955	4	4	11	891	865	155	749	761	7	53
1956	С	ю	11	974	006	260	825	767	31	80
1957	2	1	12	826	967	137	811	797	18	76
1958	С	33	12	886	886	155	930	874	18	114
								Ť	5	
									S	
										. C
										>,

 Table 2-3
 Cases on the Dockets of the Supreme Court, 1935–1969 Terms

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$									
Term         Filed           1959         —           1960         —           1961         2           1962         2           1963         1           1965         8           1965         8           1965         5           1965         5           1965         6           Note: Consistent an         1           in 1947, all petition         6           Phonod discover of of "too	Original docket	×		Appellate docket	sket		Miscella	Miscellaneous docket	
1959        1960        1961     2       1962     2       1963     1       1965     8       1966     5       1967     2       1968     1       1969     6       Note: Consistent an in 1947, all petition granted of "to hoor discovery of "to hoor "to hoor discovery of "to hoor "t	Disposed <sup>a</sup> Rei	Remaining <sup>b</sup>	Filed	Disposed <sup>a</sup>	Remaining <sup>b</sup>	Filed	Disposed <sup>a</sup>		Transferred Remaining <sup>b</sup>
1960          1961       2         1962       2         1963       1         1965       8         1965       8         1965       5         1967       2         1968       1         1969       6         Note: Consistent an in 1947, all petition granted certionary its		12	857	860	187	1,005	927	35	157
1961       2         1962       2         1963       1         1964       4         1965       8         1966       5         1967       2         1968       1         1969       6         Note: Consistent an in 1947, all petition granted of "consistent an in theorem of the other and t	1	11	842	887	159	1,098	1,023	17	215
1962       2         1963       1         1964       4         1965       8         1966       5         1967       2         1968       1         1967       2         1968       1         Note: Consistent an in 1947, all petition granted certionari to discovery of "to discovery of to di	I	13	888	860	202	1,295	1,282	15	213
1963       1         1964       4         1965       8         1966       5         1967       2         1968       1         1969       6         Note: Consistent an       6         Note: Consistent an       1         1964       6         Note: Consistent an       6         Phon Aliconcarl of "to"	~	∞	957	972	210	1,414	1,348	23	256
1964         4           1965         8           1966         5           1966         5           1967         2           1968         1           1969         6           Note: Consistent an in 1947, all petition granted of "to thought to the thought to thoug	2		1,017	1,036	202	1,276	1,363	11	158
1965         8           1966         5           1967         2           1967         2           1968         1           1969         6           Note: Consistent an in 1947, all petition granted of "to thought to the second of "to the second of "tot the second of "to the second of "tot the second of "to the secon	2	6	1,038	1,027	220	1,246	1,144	7	253
1966         5           1967         2           1968         1           1969         6           Note: Consistent an in 1947, all petition granted certionari to the phonol of "to the phonol of "tot the phonol of "to the phonol of "to the phonol of "to the phon	6	8	1,188	1,182	254	1,578	1,474	28	329
1967 2 1968 1 1969 6 <i>Note:</i> Consistent an in 1947, all petition granted <i>certiorari</i> for	IJ	8	1,202	1,232	237	1,545	1,653	13	208
1968 1 1969 6 <i>Note:</i> Consistent an in 1947, all petition granted <i>certiorari</i> for theored of "to	7	8	1,276	1,338	202	1,828	1,606	27	403
1969 6 Note: Consistent an in 1947, all petition granted <i>certiorari</i> to		6	1,323	1,288	271	1,947	1,829	34	487
Note: Consistent an in 1947, all petition granted <i>certiorari</i> to	IJ	10	1,457	1,433	325	1,942	1,941	30	458
<ul> <li><sup>beginning in 1954, all appeals containing motions to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> were placed on the miscellaneous docket, as were "all petitions beginning in 1954, all appeals containing motions to <i>the miscellaneous docket</i>, as were "all petitions for seeking the issuance of special writs," including writs of <i>habeas corpus, mandanus</i>, and prohibition. Petitions for <i>certiorari</i> containing motions for leave to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> continued to be placed on the miscellaneous docket.</sup></li> <li>Beginning in 1970, the Court utilized a different numbering system (see Table 2-4).</li> <li><sup>a</sup>Includes those cases that the Court denied, dismissed, withdrew, or decided summarily.</li> </ul>	<i>Note:</i> Consistent and reliable data not available prior to 1935. Appellate docket prior to 1947 includes appeals and petitions for <i>certiorari</i> . Beginning in 1947, all petitions for <i>certiorari</i> containing motions for leave to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> were placed on the miscellaneous docket. If the Court granted <i>certiorari</i> to such a case, it was then transferred to the appellate docket. No transfer was made if the motion was granted and the case was then disposed of "on the merits by the same order." Beginning in 1954, all appeals containing motions to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> were placed on the miscellaneous docket, as were "all petitions seeking the issuance of special writs," including writs of <i>habeas corpus, mandamus,</i> and prohibition. Petitions for <i>certiorari</i> containing motions for leave to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> were placed on the miscellaneous docket, as were "all petitions seeking the issuance of special writs," including writs of <i>habeas corpus, mandamus,</i> and prohibition. Petitions for <i>certiorari</i> containing motions for leave to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> were placed on the miscellaneous docket, as were "all petitions for certiorari to containing motions for leave to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> were placed on the miscellaneous docket, as were "all petitions for certiorari to containing motions for leave to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> continued to be placed on the miscellaneous docket. Beginning in 1970, the Court utilized a different numbering system (see Table 2-4). <sup>b</sup> Cases on which the Court took no action during the term.	available pric available pric s then transfer same order." aining motion winued to be pl d a different n a different n ion during th	r to 1935. A ns for leave rred to the a ns to procee aced on the uumbering s ed, withdre e term.	appellate dock to proceed <i>in</i> appellate dock <i>in forma par</i> <i>as corpus, man</i> <i>miscella</i> neou system (see Ta' w, or decided	liable data not available prior to 1935. Appellate docket prior to 1947 includes appeals and petitions for <i>certiorari</i> . Beginning <i>r certiorari</i> contraining motions for leave to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> were placed on the miscellaneous docket. If the Court is a case, it was then transferred to the appellate docket. No transfer was made if the motion was granted and the case was e merits by the same order." Il appeals containing motions to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> were placed on the miscellaneous docket, as were "all petitions is special writs," including writs of <i>Inbeas corpus, mandanus,</i> and prohibition. Petitions for <i>certiorari</i> containing motions for <i>a pauperis</i> contrinued to be placed on the miscellaneous docket. If Court utilized a different numbering system (see Table 2-4). The Court denied, dismissed, withdrew, or decided summarily.	includes ar were place was made ced on the n chibition. Pe	preals and peti d on the misce if the motion w niscellaneous c atitions for <i>cert</i>	tions for <i>certior</i> llaneous docke vas granted an docket, as were <i>iorari</i> containir	<i>ari</i> . Beginning t. If the Court I the case was "all petitions ig motions for

Sources: Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Court (Washington, D.C.: Government Publishing Office, successive editions), Table A-1.

	ases	Remaining	487 487 487 487 487 487 487 487 487 559 559 559 559 559 559 559 559 559 55
	In forma pauperis cases	Disposed of	$\begin{array}{c} 1,802\\ 2,023\\ 1,997\\ 2,013\\ 2,083\\ 1,996\\ 1,996\\ 2,039\\ 2,039\\ 2,039\\ 2,039\\ 2,033\\ 2,039\\ 2,033\\ 2,$
Appellate cases	Inf	On docket	2,289 2,445 2,445 2,348 2,349 2,349 2,331 2,331 2,331 2,331 2,331 2,331 2,331 2,332 3,051 6,777 2,332 3,051 6,777 2,332 3,051 6,777 2,332 2,5577 2,332 2,5577 2,332 3,051 6,779 2,577 2,332 2,577 2,332 2,577 2,332 2,577 2,332 2,577 2,332 2,577 2,332 2,577 2,332 2,577 2,332 2,577 2,332 2,577 2,332 2,577
		Remaining	290 3418 3418 3418 3418 3413 347 355 356 356 356 356 356 357 357 357 356 357 357 357 357 357 357 357 357 357 357
	Paid cases	Disposed of	$\begin{array}{c} 1,613\\ 1,752\\ 1,967\\ 1,967\\ 1,967\\ 1,967\\ 2,019\\ 2,022\\ 2,025\\ 2,$
		On docket	2,203 2,203 2,203 2,203 2,232 2
×0	Original cases	Disposed of	て ∞ ∞ 4 4 Γ Ο ∞ Ο − Γ ∞ ∞ Γ ∞ Ο − Γ Ο ∞ Γ ∞ Ο − Γ Ο ∞ Γ ∞ Ο − Γ Ο ∞ Γ ∞ Ο − Γ Ο ∞ Γ ∞ Ο − Γ − Ο № Ο
	Origin	On docket	882424 × 4728482928444442
		Term	$\begin{array}{c} 1970\\ 1972\\ 1973\\ 1975\\ 1976\\ 1976\\ 1976\\ 1976\\ 1976\\ 1976\\ 1988\\ 1988\\ 1988\\ 1988\\ 1988\\ 1988\\ 1998\\ 1999\\ 1999\\ 1996\\$

 Table 2-4
 Cases on the Dockets of the Supreme Court, 1970–2019 Terms

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

This work may not be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means without express written permission of the publisher.

74

		Ő			Appella	Appellate cases		
	Origin	Original cases		Paid cases			In forma pauperis cases	ises
Term	On docket	Disposed of	On docket	Disposed of	Remaining	On docket	Disposed of	Remaining
1997 1998		c	2,432	2,106 2,066	326 321	5,253 5,680	4,611 4 947	642 742
666	~ ∞	10	2.413	2,062	351	6.024	5.270	754
000	<u>,</u>	2	2,305	1,981	324	6,651	5,730	921
001	8	1	2,210	1,889	321	6,958	6,135	823
002	7	1	2,190	1,853	337	7,209	6,483	726
003	9	5	2,058	1,758	300	6,818	6,030	788
004	4	0,	2,041	1,687	354	6,543	5,814	729
J05	×	4.	2,025	1,679	346	7,575	6,526	1,049
006	٥L		2,069	1,714	545 715	8,181	/,180	1,001
700	0 4		1,969	1,024	040 000	070'/	6,/49 7,200	6/0
000	t v	-1 C	1,941 1 908	1 571	227	7 388	0,209 6 520	012 868
010	04	10	1,895	1,580	315	7,167	6,245	679
011	4 cr.	1 —	1,867	1,564	303	7,082	060.9	266
012	) (r)	0	1,806	1.503	303	6,997	6,099	898
013	IJ	0	1,869	1,568	301	6,706	5,979	727
014	9	1	1,845	1,552	293	6,215	5,453	762
015	8	1	1,839	1,539	300	5,688	4,966	722
016	7		1,850	1,505	345	5,477	4,752	725
017	8	1	2,062	1,740	322	5,320	4,465	855
)18	6	7	1,910	1,583	327	5,703	4,943	760
019	10	7	1,818	1,509	309	4,706	4,201	505

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

This work may not be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means without express written permission of the publisher.

75

sive editions; 1990–1992 terms: Clerk of the U.S. Supreme Court; 1993–2019 terms: The Journal of the Supreme Court of the United States, available at https://www.supremecourt.gov/orders/journal.aspx.

<u>с</u>

		for certiorari, excluding forma pauperis		tions for certiorari, forma pauperis
Term	Number on docket	Number granted review (proportion granted) <sup>a</sup>	Number on docket	Number granted review (proportion granted) <sup>a</sup>
1926	586	117		+
		(.20)		
1927	587	102		X
		(.17)		
1928	649	99		
		(.15)		
1929	692	133		
		(.19)		
1930	726	159		
		(.22)	( )	
1931	738	137		
		(.19)		
1932	797	148		
		(.19)	~	
.933	880	148		
		(.17)		
1934	835	165		
		(.20)		
935	842	142	59	8
		(.17)		(.14)
936	809	149	60	4
		(.18)		(.07)
1937	804	140	97	15
000		(.17)	05	(.16)
.938	760	125	85	7
1020	007	(.16)	44 🗖	(.08)
1939	806	170	117	18
1040	014	(.21)	100	(.15)
1940	814	174	120	19
1041	222	(.21)	170	(.16)
1941	832	150	178	16
1942	786	(.18)	147	(.09)
1942	/86	158	147	8
1943	736	(.20) 127	214	(.05) 12
.943	730		214	
1944	865	(.17) 176	339	(.06) 10
.744	600		559	
		(.20)		(.03)

**Table 2-5**Petitions Granted Review, 1926–1969 Terms

## Table 2-5(Continued)

	Petitions for certiorari, excluding in forma pauperis			Petitions for certiorari, in forma pauperis	
Term	Number on docket	Number granted review (proportion granted) <sup>a</sup>	Number on docket	Number granted review (proportion granted) <sup>a</sup>	
1945	774	155	393	15	
		(.20)		(.04)	
1946	785	148	528	8	
		(.19)		(.02)	
1947	698	97	426	17	
		(.14)		(.04)	
1948	733	144	456	18	
		(.20)		(.04)	
1949	699	85	454	7	
		(.12)	C	(.02)	
1950	640	89	415	17	
		(.14)	1	(.04)	
1951	668	94	425	19	
		(.14)		(.05)	
1952	711	104	454	11	
		(.15)	,	(.02)	
1953	669	78	542	10	
		(.12)		(.02)	
1954	695	108	568	12	
		(.16)		(.02)	
1955	842	123	645	16	
		(.15)		(.03)	
1956	927	139	689	38	
		(.15)		(.06)	
1957	840	110	747	34	
		(.13)		(.05)	
1958	820	108	837	24	
	)	(.13)		(.03)	
1959	838	122	933	55	
		(.15)		(.06)	
1960	789	87	1,085	22	
		(.11)		(.02)	
1961	852	103	1,330	38	
		(.12)		(.03)	
1962	907	115	1,412	88	
		(.13)		(.06)	

(Table continues)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

## 78 The Supreme Court Compendium

## Table 2-5(Continued)

	Petitions for certiorari, excluding in forma pauperis		Petitions for certiorari, in forma pauperis		
Гerm		Number granted review (proportion granted) <sup>a</sup>	Number on docket	Number granted review (proportion granted) <sup>a</sup>	
1963	972	118	1,307	69	
		(.12)		(.05)	
1964	1,041	116	1,170	21	
		(.11)		(.02)	
965	1,164	124	1,610	43	
		(.12)		(.03)	
966	1,198	121	1,615	56	
		(.10)		(.04)	
967	1,269	166	1,798	84	
		(.13)	- C	(.05)	
968	1,255	101	2,121	62	
		(.08)		(.03)	
969	1,425	108	2,228	38	
		(.08)		(.02)	

<sup>a</sup>This category includes all cases granted a full plenary review during a given term. Neither cases granted review and carried over to the next term nor cases summarily decided by the Court are included in this category. Separate statistics for appeals and *certiorari* are not maintained by the Supreme Court, so this number includes both petitions for *certiorari* that are granted as well as cases reviewed by the Court on appeal (in which case the Court has either noted probable jurisdiction or postponed consideration until after oral arguments).

*Notes:* Prior to the early 1920s, petitions *in forma pauperis* were few in number. Figures for them prior to 1935 are not available. The figures listed for the 1925–1934 terms likely include all petitions. More generally, given that we used multiple data sources to assemble this table, readers should be aware that differences probably exist in the way those collecting the data defined cases on the docket and those granted review. We only report their results and make no attempt to impose consistency on the data.

*Sources*: 1926–1934 terms: "The Supreme Court at October Term, 1930," 45 (1931): 284 and "The Supreme Court at October Term, 1934," 49 (1935): 78; 1935–1969 terms: Administrative Office of the United States Courts, *Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts* (Washington, D.C.: Government Publishing Office, successive editions).

#### Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

	Paid cases		In forma pauperis cases	
Term	Number or docket	n Number granted review (proportion granted) <sup>a</sup>	Number on docket	Number granted review (proportion granted) <sup>a</sup>
970	1,903	214	2,289	41
		(.11)		(.02)
1971	2,070	238	2,445	61
		(.12)		(.03)
1972	2,183	217	2,436	35
		(.10)		(.01)
1973	2,480	229	2,585	30
		(.09)		(.02)
1974	2,308	235	2,348	28
		(.10)		(.01)
1975	2,352	244	2,395	28
		(.10)		(.01)
1976	2,324	237	2,398	30
		(.10)		(.01)
977	2,341	224	2,349	24
		(.10)		(.01)
1978	2,383	210	2,331	27
		(.09)		(.01)
1979	2,509	199	2,249	32
		(.08)		(.01)
980	2,749	167	2,371	17
		(.06)		(.01)
1981	2,935	203	2,354	7
	( )	(.07)		(.003)
.982	2,710	169	2,352	10
		(.06)		(.004)
983	2,688	140	2,394	9
6		(.05)		(.004)
1984	2,575	167	2,416	18
		(.07)		(.01)
1985	2,571	166	2,577	20
-		(.07)		(.01)
1986	2,547	152	2,564	15
		(.06)		(.02)
1987	2,577	157	2,675	23
		(.06)		(.02)
.988	2,587	130	3,056	17

**Table 2-6**Petitions Granted Review, 1970–2019 Terms

(Table continues)

50

## Table 2-6(Continued)

**S**C

	Paid cases		In forma pauperis cases	
Term	Number on docket	Number granted review (proportion granted) <sup>a</sup>	Number on docket	Number granted review (proportion granted) <sup>a</sup>
		(.05)		(.02)
1989	2,416	103	3,316	19
		(.04)		(.01)
1990	2,351	114	3,951	27
		(.05)		(.007)
1991	2,451	103	4,307	17
		(.04)		(.004)
1992	2,441	83	4,792	14
		(.03)		(.003)
1993	2,442	79	5,332	21
		(.03)	C	(.004)
1994	2,515	83	5,574	10
		(.03)		(.002)
1995	2,456	92	5,098	13
		(.04)		(.003)
1996	2,430	74	5,165	13
		(.03)	)	(.003)
1997	2,432	75	5,253	14
		(.03)		(.003)
1998	2,387	72	5,689	9
		(.03)		(.002)
1999	2,413	78	6,024	14
		(.03)		(.002)
2000	2,305	85	6,651	14
		(.04)		(.002)
2001	2,210	82	6,985	6
	X	(.04)		(.001)
2002	2,190	83	7209	8
		(.04)		(.001)
2003	2,058	74	6818	13
		(.04)		(.002)
2004	2,041	69	6543	11
		(.03)		(.002)
2005	2,025	63	7575	15
		(.03)		(.002)
2006	2,069	62	8181	15
	, · · ·	(.03)		(.002)
2007	1,969	85	7628	10
	,	(.04)		(.001)

		Paid cases	In for	rma pauperis cases
Term	Number on docket	Number granted review (proportion granted) <sup>a</sup>	Number on docket	Number granted review (proportion granted) <sup>a</sup>
2008	1,941	78	7021	9
		(.04)		(.001)
2009	1,908	69	7388	8
		(.04)		(.001)
2010	1,895	76	7,167	14
		(.04)		(.002)
2011	1,867	59	7,082	7
		(.03)		(.001)
.012	1,806	83	6,997	10
		(.05)		(.001)
013	1,869	68	6,706	8
		(.04)		(.001)
014	1,845	63	6,215	8
		(.03)		(.001)
015	1,839	69	5,688	12
		(.04)		(.002)
.016	1,850	70	5,477	5
		(.04)		(.001)
.017	2,062	70	5,320	8
		(.03)		(.002)
018	1,910	77	5,703	9
		(.04)		(.002)
019	1,818	56	4,706	4
		(.03)		(.001)

## Table 2-6(Continued)

<sup>a</sup>This category includes cases granted a full plenary review during a given term.

*Note:* Given that we used multiple data sources to assemble this table, readers should be aware that differences probably exist in the way those collecting the data defined cases on the docket and those granted review. We only report their results and make no attempt to impose consistency on the data.

*Sources:* 1970–1992 terms: U.S. Bureau of the Census, *Statistical Abstract of the United States* (Washington, D.C.: Government Publishing Office, successive editions); 1993–2019 terms: *The Journal of the Supreme Court of the United States*, available at https://www.supremecourt .gov/orders/journal.aspx.

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

## Table 2-7 Guide to Oral Argument at the Supreme Court

## Description A case selected for argument usually involves interpretations of the U.S. Constitution or federal law. At least four Justices have selected the case as being of such importance that the Supreme Court must resolve the legal issues. An attorney for each side of a case will have an opportunity to make a presentation to the Court and answer questions posed by the Justices. Prior to the argument each side has submitted a legal brief-a written legal argument outlining each party's points of law. The Justices have read these briefs prior to argument and are thoroughly familiar with the case, its facts, and the legal positions that each party is advocating. Beginning the first Monday in October, the Court generally hears two one-hour arguments a day, at 10 a.m. and 11 a.m., with occasional afternoon sessions scheduled as necessary. Arguments are held on Mondays, Tuesdays, and Wednesdays in two-week intervals through late April (with longer breaks during December and February). The argument calendars are posted on the Court's website under the "Oral Arguments" link. In the recesses between argument sessions, the Justices are busy writing opinions, deciding which cases to hear in the future, and reading the briefs for the next argument session. They grant review in approximately 80 of the more than 7,000–8,000 petitions filed with the Court each Term. No one knows exactly when a decision will be handed down by the Court in an argued case, nor is there a set time period in which the Justices must reach a decision. However, all cases argued during a Term of Court are decided before the summer recess begins, usually by the end of June. During an argument week, the Justices meet in a private conference, closed even to staff, to discuss the cases and to take a preliminary vote on each case. If the Chief Justice is in the majority on a case decision, he decides who will write the opinion. He may decide to write it himself or he may assign that duty to any other Justice in the majority. If the Chief Justice is in the minority, the Justice in the majority who has the most seniority assumes the assignment duty. Participants in JUSTICES. The Justices enter the Courtroom through three the Courtroom entrances behind the Bench. The Chief Justice and two senior Associate Justices enter through the center, and three Associate Justices enter through each side. They also sit on the Bench in order of seniority with the Chief Justice in the middle, and the others alternating from left to right, ending with the most junior Associate Justice on the far right, as you face the Bench.

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

## Table 2-7 (Continued)

CLERK. The Clerk of the Supreme Court or his representative sits to the left of the Bench. His responsibilities in the Courtroom include providing the Justices with materials about the case if the Justices desire additional documents and notifying the appropriate Court personnel when an opinion can be released to the public. He also swears in new members of the Supreme Court Bar.

MARSHAL. The Marshal or the Marshal's representative sits to the right side of the Bench. The Marshal's roles are to call the Court to order, maintain decorum in the Courtroom, tape the audio portions of argument, and time the oral presentations so that attorneys do not exceed their one-half hour limitations.

MARSHAL'S AIDES. Marshal's Aides are seated behind the Justices. They often carry messages to the Justices or convey messages from a Justice to a member of his or her staff.

ATTORNEYS. The attorneys scheduled to argue cases are seated at the tables facing the Bench. The arguing attorney will stand behind the lectern immediately in front of the Chief Justice. On the lectern there are two lights. When the white light goes on, the attorney has five minutes remaining to argue. The red light indicates that the attorney has used all the allotted time.

LAW CLERKS. Each Justice has the option of employing up to four law clerks as assistants. These clerks are law school graduates who have previously clerked for a federal judge on a lower court. The clerks often listen to oral arguments. They are seated in the chairs flanking the Courtroom on the right.

SPECIAL GUESTS. Guests of Justices are seated in the benches to the right of the Bench and are seated in order of the seniority of the Justice who invited them. The row of black chairs in front of the guest section is reserved for retired Justices and officers of the Court, such as the Reporter of Decisions or the Librarian, who attend oral argument from time to time.

NEWS MEDIA. Members of the Supreme Court press corps sit to the left of the Bench in the benches and chairs facing the guest section. The press enter the Courtroom from the hallway on the left.

(Table continues)


Others




 Table 2-7 (Continued)

Courtroom Seating	All oral arguments are open to the public, but seating is limited and on a first-come, first-seated basis. Before a session begins, two lines form on the plaza in front of the building. One is for those who wish to attend an entire argument, and the other, a three-minute line, is for those who wish to observe the Court in session only briefly. Please do not hold a space in either line for others who have not yet arrived.
	Seating for the first argument begins at 9:30 a.m. and seating for the three-minute line begins at 10 a.m. The locations for these lines are marked with signs and there is a police officer on duty to answer your questions.
	Visitors should be aware that cases may attract large crowds, with lines forming before the building opens. Obviously there are unavoidable delays associated with processing and seating large numbers of visitors, and your cooperation and patience are appreciated. Court police officers will make every effort to inform you as soon as possible whether you can expect to secure a seat in the Courtroom.
	Groups with reserved seats should line up to the right of the three-minute line.
	You will go through a security checkpoint as you enter the build- ing and again as you enter the Courtroom. Weapons or other dangerous or illegal items are not allowed on the grounds or in the building. Visitors may not take any electronic devices into the Courtroom when Court is in session: cameras, cell phones, tablets, pagers, recorders, or similar items. Visitors may also not take in hats, overcoats, magazines, books, briefcases, or luggage. Sunglasses, identification tags (other than military), display but- tons and inappropriate clothing may not be worn. A checkroom is available on the first floor to check coats and other personal belongings. Coin operated (quarters only) lockers for cameras and other valuables are available. The checkroom closes 30 min- utes after Court adjourns.
	We do not recommend taking infants or small children into the Courtroom.
$\mathbf{O}$	When the Court adjourns for lunch, all persons must leave the Courtroom and the Great Hall. Persons attending the after- noon session must line up again on the Front Plaza to gain admission.

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.





*Source:* https://www.supremecourt.gov/visiting/images/seatingchart\_16.gif.

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc. This work may not be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means without express written permission of the publisher.

## 86 The Supreme Court Compendium

7

Term	Number of signed opinions of the Court	Number of cases disposed of by signed opinion <sup>a</sup>	Number of cases disposed of by per curiam opinion after oral argument <sup>b</sup>	J.
1926	199	223		
1927	175	214		
1928	129	141	X	
1929	134	156		
1930	166	235		
1931	150	175		
1932	168	187		
1933	158	179		
1934	156	185		
1935	145	187		
1936	149	180		
1937	152	180		
1938	139	174		
1939	137	151		
1940	165	195	20	
1941	151	175	14	
1942	147	196	14	
1943	130	154	6	
1944	156	199	7	
1945	134	170	4	
1946	142	190	8	
1947	110	143	14	
1948	114	147	18	
1949	87	108	14	
1950	91	114	15	
1951	83	96	25	
1952	104	122	10	
1953	65	84	23	
1954	78	86	16	
1955	82	103	18	
1956	100	112	23	
1957	104	125	27	
1958	99	116	23	
1959	97	110	20	
1960	110	125	22	
1961	85	100	21	
1962	110	129	19	
1963	111	123	20	

## **Table 2-8**Signed Opinions, Cases Disposed of by Signed Opinion, and<br/>Cases Disposed of by *Per Curiam* Opinion, 1926–2019 Terms

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

Term	Number of signed opinions of the Court	Number of cases disposed of by signed opinion <sup>a</sup>	Number of cases disposed of by per curiam opinion after oral argument <sup>b</sup>
1964	91	103	17
1965	97	120	8
1966	100	132	15
1967	110	156	17
1968	99	116	14
1969	88	105	21
1970	109	137	22
1971	129	140	24
1972	140	159	18
1973	141	161	8
1974	123	144	20
1975	138	160	16
1976	126	154	22
1977	129	153	8
1978	130	153	8
1979	130	143	12
1980	123	144	8
1981	141	170	10
1982	151	174	6
1983	151	174	6
1984	139	159	11
1985	146	161	10
1986	145	164	10
1987	139	151	9
1988	133	156	12
1989	129	143	3
1990	112	121	4
1991	107	120	3
1992	107	111	4
1993	84	93	6
1994	82	91	3
1995	75	87	3
1996	80	87	3
1997	91	93	1
1998	75	84	4
1999	74	79	2
2000	77	83	4

Table 2-8	(Continu	ed)
-----------	----------	-----

(Table continues)

Term	Number of signed opinions of the Court	Number of cases disposed of by signed opinion <sup>a</sup>	Number of cases disposed of by per curiam opinion after oral argument <sup>b</sup>	X
2001	76	85	3	
2002	71	79	5	
2003	73	89	2	
2004	74	85	2	
2005	69	82	5	
2006	67	74	4	
2007	67	72	2	
2008	74	83	3	
2009	73	77	4	
2010	75	83	3	
2011	64	73	5	
2012	73	76	1	
2013	67	77	2	
2014	66	75	0	
2015	62	70	6	
2016	61	68	1	
2017	59	63	6	
2018	66	69	2	
2019	53	69	4	

## Table 2-8(Continued)

<sup>a</sup>Data not available prior to 1926. Includes number of cases decided by a single opinion of the Court, not just the opinion itself.

<sup>b</sup>Data not available prior to 1940.

*Note:* Given that we used multiple data sources to assemble this table, readers should be aware that differences probably exist in the way those collecting the data defined the number of cases and opinions. We only report their results and make no attempt to impose consistency on the data.

*Sources*: 1926 term: Albert P. Blaustein and Roy M. Mersky, *The First One Hundred Justices* (Hamden, Conn.: Shoestring Press, 1978), 137–140; 1927–1969 terms: Gerhard Casper and Richard A. Posner, *The Workload of the Supreme Court* (Chicago: American Bar Foundation, 1976), 76; 1970–1992 terms: U.S. Bureau of the Census, *Statistical Abstract of the United States* (Washington, D.C.: Government Publishing Office, successive editions); 1993–2019 terms: *The Journal of the Supreme Court of the United States*, available at https://www.supremecourt .gov/orders/journal.aspx.

 $\bigcirc^{\circ}$ 

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

Reporter/publisher	Form of citation	Description
United States Reports (Washington, D.C.: Government Publishing Office)	U.S., 1-4 Dall., 1-9 Cranch, 1-12 Wheat., 1-16 Pet., 1-24 How., 1-2 Black, 1-23 Wall.	Contains the official text of opinions of the Supreme Court. Includes tables of cases reported, cases and statutes cited, mis- cellaneous materials, and a subject index. The Supreme Court has recently begun placing United States Reports vol- umes in PDF form on its website, https://www.supremecourt.gov.
United States Supreme Court Reports, Lawyers' Edition (Dayton, OH: LexisNexis)	L. Ed. <i>,</i> L. Ed. 2d	Contains official reports of opinions of the Court. Provides <i>per curiam</i> and other decisions not found elsewhere. Summa- rizes counsel briefs and individual, majority, and dissenting opinions.
Supreme Court Reporter (Eagan, Minn.: Thomson West)	S.Ct.	Contains official reports of opinions of the Court. Contains annotated reports and indexes of case names. Includes opinions of justices in chambers. Appears semimonthly.
United States Law Week (Arlington, Va.: Bloomberg BNA)	U.S.L.W.	Weekly periodical service containing full text of Court decisions. Includes four indexes: topical, table of cases, a docket number table, and proceedings. Con- tains summary of cases filed recently, journal of proceedings, summary of orders, arguments before the Court, argued cases awaiting decisions, review of the Court's work, and review of the Court's docket.

Table 2-9 Print Reporting Systems

*Notes:* Citations can be read as follows: *Roe v. Wade* (1973) is 410 U.S. 113, where 410 is the volume number and 113 is the page on which the case begins. All reporters/reports listed above use the same volume/page system. See also Tables 1-8 and 2-10.

Only the *United States Report* is an "official opinion source." The others are "unofficial" sources.

*Sources:* Joan Biskupic and Elder Witt, *Congressional Quarterly's Guide to the U.S. Supreme Court*, 3d ed. (Washington, D.C.: Congressional Quarterly, 1997); https://www.supreme court.gov/opinions/obtainopinions.aspx.

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

Sources	Contact information
<i>Official opinion sources: Print</i> <i>United States Reports</i>	Reporter of Decisions Supreme Court of the United States One First St. N.E. Washington, D.C. 20543
Copies of recent bench and slip opinions	Public Information Office Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D.C. 20543 Phone: (202) 479–3211
Copies of recent slip opinions, preliminary prints, and bound volumes	Superintendent of Documents U.S. Government Publishing Office P.O. Box 979050 St. Louis, Mo. 63197-9000 Phone: (866) 512–1800 www.bookstore.gpo.gov
<i>Official opinion sources: Electronic</i> Project Hermes (bench opinions—by subscription only)	Director of Information Technology Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D.C. 20543
Website (slip opinions and bound volumes)	Public Information Officer Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D.C. 20543 http://www.supremecourt.gov
Unofficial opinion sources: Print	
Reprints of United States Reports	William S. Hein & Co. 1285 Main St. Buffalo, NY 14209-1987 Phone: (716) 882–2600 or (800) 828–7571 http://www.wshein.com
Supreme Court Reporter	Thomson Reuters 610 Opperman Dr. Eagan, Minn. 55123 Phone: (800) 344–5008 http://www.legalsolutions .thomsonreuters.com/law-products

## Table 2-10 Where to Obtain Supreme Court Opinions

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

Contact information
kis ingboro Pike OH 45342 300) 833–9844 http://www.lexisnexis.com
ritip.//www.iexistexistexistexisteoin org BNA eell Street n, Va. 22202 300) 372–1033 zww.bna.com
1599 2, Hawaii 96744 300) 235–4446 2ww.llmc.com
in St. NY 14209-1987 716) 882–2600 or (800) 828–7571 vww.wshein.com
erman Dr. (Corporate) Iinn. 55123 : California Ave., 2nd Floor Ie, CA 94086 408) 524–4799 or (800) 455–4565 www.findlaw.com/casecode/ ne.html
Technical Information Service ria, Va. 22312 703) 605–6000 or (800) 533–6847 upcourt.ntis.gov
rernment Printing Office h Capitol St. N.W. ton, D.C. 20401 202) 512–1800 or (866) 512–1800 http://fedbbs.access.gpo.gov /ww.gpo.gov/fdsys
t (2)::

Table 2-10(Continued)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

#### 92 The Supreme Court Compendium

00

Sources	Contact information
HeinOnline	William S. Hein & Co. 1285 Main St. Buffalo, NY 14209-1987 Phone: (716) 882–2600 or (800) 828–7571 http://www.heinonline.org
Law Library of Congress	101 Independence Ave. S.E. Washington, D.C. 20540 James Madison Building (Room 242) Phone: (202) 707–5079 http://loc.heinonline.org/loc/LOC? index=usreportsloc
Legal Information Institute	Cornell Law School 477 Myron Taylor Hall Ithaca, N.Y. 14853 http://www.law.cornell.edu/supct
LexisNexis	LexisNexis 9443 Springboro Pike Dayton, Ohio 45342 Phone: (888) 285–3947 http://www.lexisnexis.com
Oyez Project Quicklaw, Inc	http://www.oyez.org LexisNexis Canada, Inc. 123 Commerce Valley Dr. East, Suite 700 Markham, Ontario Canada L3T 7W8 Phone: (800) 668–6481 Internet: http://www.lexisnexis.com/ ca/legal
VersusLaw, Inc.	P.O. Box 1435 Bellevue, Wash. 98009 Phone: (425) 250–0142 or (888) 377–8752 Internet: http://www.versuslaw.com
WestLaw	Thomson Reuters 610 Opperman Dr. Eagan, Minn. 55123 Phone: (800) 344–5008 http://www.legalsolutions. thomsonreuters.com/law-products

Table 2-10(Continued)

yo not copy

Sources	Contact information
Unofficial opinion sources: Electronic (CD-ROM)	
Geronimo Development Corp.	606 25th Ave. South, Suite 201
	St. Cloud, Minn. 56301
	Phone: (800) 457–6045
	http://www.casefinder.com
LexisNexis	9443 Springboro Pike
	Dayton, OH 45342
	Phone: (800) 833–9844
	Internet: http://www.lexisnexis.com
Thomson Reuters	610 Opperman Dr.
	Eagan, Minn. 55123
	Phone: (800) 344–5008
	http://www.legalsolutions
	.thomsonreuters.com/law-products

*Source:* https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/obtainopinions.aspx.

Table 2-11 Forma	lly Decid	led Cases	by Chief	Justice Era	Formally Decided Cases by Chief Justice Era, 1791–2019 Terms	19 Terms				
	Jay (175	Jay (1791–1795)	Rutledge (1795)	e (1795)	Ellsworth (1796–1800)	Ellsworth :796–1800)	Marshall (1801–1836)	shall -1836)	Taney (1837–1863)	ey 1863)
Issue area	N cases	Percent of Total	N cases	Percent of Total	N cases	Percent of Total	N cases	Percent of Total	N cases	Percent of Total
Criminal Procedure	1	10.0	0		2	5.1	52	4.2	40	2.4
<b>Civil Rights</b>	0		0		2	5.1	79	6.4	93	5.6
First Amendment	0		0		0		4	0.3	7 7	0.1
Due Process	0 0			20.0	ς Ω ς	7.7	06 0	7.3	35 35	2.1
Privacy Attornevs					C		⊃ m	- 0	x	0.5
Unions	0	I	0		4 0	; i	0 0	i 1		0.1
Economic Activity	0		0	I	6	23.1	230	18.7	493	29.9
Judicial Power	× ,	80.0	с, <sub>1</sub>	60.0	19	48.7	239	19.5	385 	23.4
Federalism		10.0		20.0	0		22	1.8	53	3.2
Interstate Kelations	0 0		0 0		C	c	2 7	0.2	13	0.8 0 c
Miscellaneons						0 7	0C 4	4.1 0.3	4/	6.7 C (
Duitroto A oficion					o r	- u	152	26.0	+ 77 772	100
ruvate Actuori Total	010	${100.0}$	ດມດ	${100.0}$	39	1.0.01	1,228	20.0 100.0	473 1,648	20.7 100.0
	Chase (18	Chase (1864–1873)	Waite (1873–1887)	73–1887)	Fuller (1888–1909)	88–1909)	White (1910–1920)	10-1920)	Taft (1921–1929)	1–1929)
Issue area	N cases	Percent of Total	N cases	Percent of Total	N cases	Percent of Total	N cases	Percent of Total	N cases	Percent of Total
Criminal Procedure	31	2.27	114	3.1	361	7.3	163	9.9	• 127	8.0
<b>Civil Rights</b>	44	3.23	219	6.0	303	6.1	218	8.8	124	7.8
First Amendment	4	0.29	4	0.1	20	0.4	34	1.4	6	0.6
									S.	
										>.

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc. This work may not be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means without express written permission of the publisher.

94

	ise (1864–1873) Waite (1873–1887) Fuller (1888–1909) White (1910–1920) Taft (1921–1929)	Percent         Percent         Percent         Percent           ases         of Total         N cases         of Total         N cases         of Total	197 4.0 127 5.1 83	- 1 0.0 6 0.1 4 0.2 5	0.73 39 1.1 91 1.8 35 1.4 22	- 4 0.1 5 0.1 26 1.1 16	28.1 1,138 31.3 1,575 31.9 1,055 42.7 686	26.93 939 25.8 1,203 24.4 437 17.7 221	2.42 49 1.4 100 2.0 69 2.8 59	0.29 1 $0.0$ $34$ $0.7$ $17$ $0.7$ $19$	3.6 179 4.9 191 3.9 90 3.6 123	0.73 10 0.3 25 0.5 11 0.5	25.24 854 23.5 829 16.8 185 7.5 86	100 3,641 100.0 4,940 100.0 2,471 10	ches (1929–1940) Stone (1941–1945) Vinson (1946–1952) Warren (1953–1968) Burger (1969–1985)	Percent         Percent         Percent         Percent         Percent           ases         of Total         N cases         of Total         N cases         of Total	120 6.5 70 9.4 116 15.2 349 19.5 515 21.4	0.1 04 7.20 7.0 224 12.0 474 11 18 7.47 43 5.6 15.6 87 707	16 $2.15$ $47$ $6.2$ $36$ $2.0$ $130$	0.1  0  -  4  0.5  2  0.1  43	0.9 $2$ $0.3$ $10$ $0.6$	(Table continues)	
(pən	Chase (1864–1873) Wi			0									25.24		Hughes (1929–1940) St	Z							
Table 2-11 (Continued)		Issue area	Due Process	Privacy	Attorneys	Unions	Economic Activity	Judicial Power	Federalism	Interstate Relations	Federal Taxation	Miscellaneous	Private Action	Total		Issue area	Criminal Procedure	CIVII INGUIS First Amendment	Due Process	Privacv	Attorneys		

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc. This work may not be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means without express written permission of the publisher.

95

	Burger (1969–1985)	Percent N cases of Total		423 17.6						2,403 100.0											jie
		Percent of Total	7.1	24.9	13.0	4.0 7 T	0.0 4 9	0.1		100.0							C				
	Warren (1953–1968)	N cases	127	446	233	δ3 Ο	7 114	1	1	1,790			•		Ç						
	146-1952)	Percent of Total	5.4	29.1	15.8	4.3 7 L	1.0 6.4	0.1		100.0	X										
	Vinson (1946–1952)	N cases	41	222	121	ςς 1	12 49	, T		764	5										
	Stone (1941–1945)	Percent of Total	9.5	35.4	14.9	3.1 0.2	0.0 13.4	0.3	6.0	100.0	Roberts (2005–2019)	Percent of Total	25.5	16.1	5.5	2.9	1.9	1.8	2.2	23.0 13.0	
	1	N cases	11	264	111	57 C	100	500		745	Rol (2005	N cases	263	166	57	30	20	19	23	238 134	
	Hughes (1929–1940)	Percent of Total	2.4	40.3	12.6	3.7 1 1	1.1 18.5	0.7	4.3	100.0	Rehnquist (1986–2004)	Percent of Total	24.3	15.0	7.3	4.4	1.9	1.6	2.8	18.0 14.2	
(pəm	Hughes (1	N cases	44	743	233	00 7	771 341	13	80	1,843	Rehn (1986-	N cases	454	279	137	82	36	29	52	336 264	
Table 2-11 (Continued)		Issue area	Unions	Economic Activity	Judicial Power	Federalism Tatantata Dalationa	Enderal Tavation	Miscellaneous	Private Action	Total		Issue area	Criminal Procedure	<b>Civil Rights</b>	First Amendment	Due Process	Privacy	Attorneys	Unions	Economic Activity Judicial Power	

	ts 019)	Percent of Total	4.7	0.7	1.4	1.0	0.3	100.0	
	<i>Roberts</i> (2005–2019)	N cases	49	4	14	10	ŝ	1,033	
	Rehnquist (1986–2004)	Percent of Total	6.3	0.8	3.0	0.5		100.0	
ued)	Rehnquist (1986–2004	N cases	117	15	55	10		1,866	
Table 2-11       (Continued)		Issue area	Federalism	Interstate Relations	Federal Taxation	Miscellaneous	Private Action	Total	

Note: Formally decided cases are those with signed opinions, seriatims, and orally argued per curians, excluding cases decided by an equally divided vote. The issue areas are defined as follows: Criminal Procedure: the rights of persons accused of crime except for the due process rights of prisoners; Civil Rights: non-First Amendment freedom cases that pertain to classifications based on race (including Native Americans), age, indigence, voting, guarantees, plus court jurisdiction over nomesident litigants and the takings clause of the Fifth Amendment; Privacy: abortion, contraception, the reedom of Information Act and related federal statutes; Attorneys: attorneys' fees, commercial speech, admission to and removal from the bar, and disciplinary matters; Unions: labor union activity; Economics: commercial business activity, plus litigation involving injured persons or things, employee actions vis-à-vis employers, zoning regulations, and governmental regulation of corruption other than that involving campaign spending; udicial Power: the exercise of the judiciary's own power and authority; Federalism: conflicts between the federal and state governments, excluding those between state and federal courts and those involving the priority of federal fiscal claims; Interstate Relations: conflicts between states, boundary disputes, and nonproperty disputes commonly arising under the full faith and credit clause of the Constitution; Federal Taxation: the Internal Revenue Code and related statutes; Miscellaneous: legislative veto, separation of powers, private action, and matters not included in any other esidence, military or handicapped status, sex, or alienage; First Amendment: guarantees contained therein; Due Process: noncriminal procedural ssue area; Private Action relates to disputes between private persons involving real and personal property, contracts, evidence, civil procedure. orts, wills and trusts, and commercial transactions.

Source: The Supreme Court Database (Case Centered Data, with Cases Organized by Supreme Court Citation, with decisionType = 1, 6, 7, or 8), available at http://supremecourtdatabase.org 97

#### COMPARING ISSUES IN CASES BY CHIEF JUSTICE ERA A DATA LITERACY LESSON

#### What's in the Table

Table 2-11 provides two pieces of information for each Chief Justice era, from Jay (1791 term) to Roberts (through the 2019 term). First, N cases is the number of cases in each of 14 issue areas, with the total number of cases noted at the bottom of each era. The N includes only formally decided cases: those with signed opinions, seriatims, and orally argued per curiams (excluding cases decided by an equally divided vote).

Second, "Percent of Total" is the number of cases in each issue area (for each Chief Justice era) divided by the total number of cases in each Chief Justice era, multiplied by 100. For example, of the 1,033 total cases decided during Roberts Court era, 263 involve criminal procedure. 263/1033 = 0.255, which is the proportion of cases in the area of criminal procedure. To get the percentage of criminal procedure cases, multiply  $0.255 \times 100 = 25.5\%$ .

#### **Cautionary Note**

In the Jay and especially Rutledge eras, the total number of cases is very small. When you see small numbers like these, you should take care in interpreting the percentages. That's because even in a slight change in the total (the denominator) could dramatically affect the percentage. For example, in the Rutledge era, the Court decided only 1 case in the Due Process area (1/5 = 20%). Had the Court decided 2 Due Process cases, the total number of cases would increase to 6 and the percentage of Due Process cases would jump by over ten percentage points, to 33.3; if there were 3 Due Process cases and 7 total cases, the percent would be (3/7 = 42.9%).

Note that the change is far less dramatic when the total number of cases is larger. For example, during the Fuller Court, the justices decided 4,940 cases. 197—or  $(197/4940 \times 100 =) 4\%$ —involved Due Process. Had the total number been 4,941, with 1 more Due Process case, the percentage would remain roughly the same.

A good rule of thumb is to be skeptical of percentages based on a denominator less than 10 (here, less than 10 cases).

#### **Interpreting the Table**

From the "Totals" in the table, it is easy to see that the number of total cases varied considerably by Chief Justice era, from lows of 10 and 5 during the Jay and Rutledge years respectively to 4,940 during Fuller's tenure. Some of the variation reflects the number of terms in the Chief Justice era. Jay was Chief for barely five terms, while Fuller was in office for two decades.


Other explanations for the variation include passage of the Judiciary Act of 1925, which led to a decline in the number of cases the Court was obligated to hear.<sup>1</sup> But the more important point here is that using the raw numbers to draw comparisons wouldn't be especially fruitful because of the variation. Think about it this way: If you compared the number of criminal cases decided by the Fuller (361) and White Courts (163), you would conclude that the Fuller justices heard more than double the number of criminal cases than

the White justices. Strictly speaking that would be true. But because the Fuller Court decided so many more cases than the White Court (4,940 versus 2.471), it turns out that the percentage-point difference in criminal cases (7.3% - 6.6%) between the two eras is fairly small: under 1 percentage point.

For this reason, if the goal is to compare issue areas across Chief Justice eras, it may be best to use percentages rather than the numbers of cases in each category.

We take this approach in Figure 1, which shows the percentage of Criminal and Private Action cases, from the Marshall Court to the Roberts Court.





Note that the percentage of cases under Criminal Procedure has increased substantially over time, from about 4.2% during the Marshall Court to today's 25.5%—meaning that more than a quarter of the cases that the Roberts justices decided involved criminal procedure. The upward trend appears to trace to the Vinson Court, which began applying constitutional guarantees relating to criminal procedure to the states (see Table 2-14), and picked up steam during the Warren years.

Showing precisely the opposite trend are cases involving Private Action—usually disputes between private persons involving real and personal property, contracts, evidence, civil procedure, torts, wills and trusts, and commercial transactions. Prior to passage of the 1925 Act, much of the Court's cases concerned such issues. The Act gave the Court control of its docket, as a result of which Private Action cases have disappeared from the Court's docket in preference to litigation of more general applicability.

<sup>1</sup>See the introduction to Chapter 2.

#### Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

**S**C

# Table 2-12Major Decisions of the Supreme Court: The New York Times<br/>Measure, 1937–2019 Terms

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Silas Mason Co. v. Tax Commission (1937)	302 U.S. 186
James, State Tax Commissioner v. Dravo Contracting Co. (1937)	302 U.S. 134
Smyth, Executor v. United States (1937)	302 U.S. 329
Nardone v. United States (1937)	302 U.S. 379
Duke Power Co. v. Greenwood County (1938)	302 U.S. 485
Alabama Power Co. v. Ickes, Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works (1938)	302 U.S. 464
Myers v. Bethlehem Shipping Corp. (1938)	303 U.S. 41
Newport News Shipbuilding & Dry Dock Co. v. Schauffler (1938)	303 U.S. 54
South Caroling State Highway Dep't v. Barnwell Brothers (1938)	303 U.S. 177
Helvering, Commissioner of Internal Revenue, v. Mountain Producers Corp. (1938)	303 U.S. 376
Electric Bond & Share Co. v. Securities and Exchange Comm'n (1938)	303 U.S. 419
United States v. Bekins (1938)	304 U.S. 27
Morgan v. United States (1938)	304 U.S. 1
National Labor Relations Board v. Mackay Radio & Telegraph Co. (1938)	304 U.S. 333
Helvering, Commissioner of Internal Revenue v. Gerhardt (1938)	304 U.S. 405
Allen, Collector of Internal Revenue v. Regents of the University System of Georgia (1938)	304 U.S. 439
In the Matter of the National Labor Relations Board (1938)	304 U.S. 486
Consolidated Edison Co. v. National Labor Relations Board (1938)	305 U.S. 197
Missouri Ex Rel. Gaines v. Canada, Registrar of the University of Missouri (1938)	305 U.S. 337
Ford Motor Co. v. National Labor Relations Board (1939)	305 U.S. 364
Tennessee Electric Power Co. v. Tennessee Valley Authority (1939)	306 U.S. 118
National Labor Relations Board v. Fansteel Metallurgical Corp. (1939)	306 U.S. 240
Graves v. New York Ex Rel. O'Keefe (1939)	306 U.S. 466
Mulford v. Smith (1939)	307 U.S. 38
Kessler, District Director of Immigration and Naturalization, v.	307 U.S. 22
Strecker (1939)	
Curry v. McCanless (1939)	307 U.S. 357
Graves v. Elliott (1939)	307 U.S. 383
United States v. Rock Royal Co-Operative, Inc. (1939)	307 U.S. 533
H. P. Hood & Sons, Inc. v. United States (1939)	307 U.S. 588
Hague v. Committee for Industrial Organization (1939)	307 U.S. 496
United States v. Glenn L. Martin Co. (1939)	308 U.S. 62
Pittman v. Home Owners' Loan Corp. (1939)	308 U.S. 21

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

Э<sup>С</sup>

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Schneider v. State (Town of Irvington) (1939)	308 U.S. 147
United States v. Borden Company (1939)	308 U.S. 188
Nardone v. United States (1939)	308 U.S. 338
Weiss v. United States (1939)	308 U.S. 321
American Federation of Labor v. National Labor Relations Board (1940)	308 U.S. 401
National Labor Relations Board v. Falk Corporation (1940)	308 U.S. 453
National Labor Relations Board v. International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (1940)	308 U.S. 413
McGoldrick, Comptroller of the City of New York, v. Berwind-White Coal Mining Co. (1940)	309 U.S. 33
Chambers v. Florida (1940)	309 U.S. 227
Carlson v. California (1940)	310 U.S. 106
Thornhill v. Alabama (1940)	310 U.S. 88
Perkins, Secretary of Labor v. Lukens Steel Co. (1940)	310 U.S. 113
United States v. Socony-Vacuum Oil Co., Inc. (1940)	310 U.S. 150
Apex Hosiery Co. v. Leader (1940)	310 U.S. 469
Republic Steel Corporation v. National Labor Relations Board (1940)	311 U.S. 7
Milk Wagon Drivers' Union, Local No. 753 v. Lake Valley Farm Products, Inc. (1940)	311 U.S. 91
United States v. Appalachian Electric Power Co. (1940)	311 U.S. 377
H. J. Heinz Company v. National Labor Relations Board (1941)	311 U.S. 514
United States v. Hutcheson (1941)	312 U.S. 219
United States v. Darby (1941)	312 U.S. 100
Milk Wagon Drivers Union of Chicago v. Meadowmoor Dairies, Inc. (1941)	312 U.S. 287
Browder v. United States (1941)	312 U.S. 335
Fashion Originators' Guild o America, Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission (1941)	312 U.S. 457
Millinery Creator's Guild, Inc.v. Federal Trade Commission (1941)	312 U.S. 469
Mitchell v. United States (1941)	313 U.S. 80
Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co. v. National Labor Relations Board (1941)	313 U.S. 146
helps Dodge Corp. v. National Labor Relations Board (1941)	313 U.S. 177
United States v. Classic (1941)	313 U.S. 299
Alabama v. King & Boozer, A Partnership (1941)	314 U.S. 1
National Labor Relations Board v. Virginia Electric & Power Co. (1941)	314 U.S. 469
United States v. Bethlehem Steel Corporation (1942)	315 U.S. 289
Bakery & Pastry Drivers Local 802 v. Wohl (1942)	315 U.S. 769
	(Table continues

(Table continues)

	Case name (year of decision)	Cite
	Carpenters & Joiners Union of America, Local No. 213, v. Ritter's Cafe (1942)	315 U.S. 722
	Southern Steamship Co. v. National Labor Relations Board (1942)	316 U.S. 31
	United States v. Univis Lens Co., Inc. (1942)	316 U.S. 241
	United States v. Masonite Corporation (1942)	316 U.S. 265
	Jones v. Opelika (1942)	316 U.S. 584
	Walling, Administrator v. A. H. Belo Corporation (1942)	316 U.S. 624
	Williams v. North Carolina (1942)	317 U.S. 287
	Pendergast v. United States (1943)	317 U.S. 412
	American Medical Association v. United States (1943)	317 U.S. 519
	Viereck v. United States (1943)	318 U.S. 236
	Aguilar v. Standard Oil Co. of New Jersey (1943)	318 U.S. 724
	Virginia Electric & Power Co. v. National Labor Relations Board (1943)	319 U.S. 533
	West Virginia State Board of Education v. Barnette (1943)	319 U.S. 624
	Schneiderman v. United States (1943)	320 U.S. 118
	Yakus v. United States (1944)	321 U.S. 414
	Tennessee Coal, Iron & Railroad Co. v. Muscoda Local No. 123 (1944)	321 U.S. 590
	Bowles, Price Administrator, v. Willingham (1944)	321 U.S. 503
	Smith v. Allwright, Election Judge (1944)	321 U.S. 649
	Pollock v. Williams, Sheriff (1944)	322 U.S. 4
	Medo Photo Supply Corp. v. National Labor Relations Board (1944)	321 U.S. 678
	United States v. County of Allegheny (1944)	322 U.S. 174
	Feldman v. United States (1944)	322 U.S. 487
	Interstate Commerce Commission v. City of Jersey City (1944)	322 U.S. 503
	United States v. South-Eastern Underwriters Association (1944)	322 U.S. 533
	Ex Parte Mitsuye Endo (1944)	323 U.S. 283
	Korematsu v. United States (1944)	323 U.S. 214
	Thomas v. Collins, Sheriff (1945)	323 U.S. 516
	Williams v. North Carolina (1945)	325 U.S. 226
	Esenwein v. Commonwealth Ex Rel. Esenwein (1945)	325 U.S. 279
	Bridges v. Wixon, District Director, Immigration and Naturalization Service (1945)	326 U.S. 135
	Associated Press v. United States (1945)	326 U.S. 1
$\sim$	East New York Savings Bank v. Hahn (1945)	326 U.S. 230
	In Re Yamashita (1946)	327 U.S. 1
	Duncan v. Kahanamoku, Sheriff (1946)	327 U.S. 304
	United States v. Carbone (1946)	327 U.S. 633
	North American Company v. Securities & Exchange Commission (1946)	327 U.S. 686
	American Tobacco Co. v. United States (1946)	328 U.S. 781

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

# Table 2-12 (Continued)

**S**C

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
United States v. Alcea Band of Indians (1946)	329 U.S. 40
Parker v. Fleming (1947)	329 U.S. 531
Everson v. Board of Education (1947)	330 U.S. 1
United Postal Workers v. Mitchell (1947)	330 U.S. 75
Oklahoma v. United States Civil Service (1947)	330 U.S. 127
United States v. UMW (1947)	330 U.S. 258
Packard Motor Car v. NLRB (1947)	330 U.S. 485
Harris v. United States (1947)	331 U.S. 145
New York v. United States (1947)	331 U.S. 284
Craig v. Harney (1947)	331 U.S. 367
United States v. Petrillo (1947)	332 U.S. 1
FCIC v. Merrill Bros. (1947)	332 U.S. 380
Patton v. Mississippi (1947)	332 U.S. 463
Sipuel v. Board of Regents (1948)	332 U.S. 631
Woods v. Miller C. (1948)	333 U.S. 138
McCullom v. Board of Education (1948)	333 U.S. 203
Winters v. New York (1948)	333 U.S. 507
Shelley v. Kramer (1948)	334 U.S. 1
Coe v. Coe (1948)	334 U.S. 378
Bay Ridge Operating v. Aaron (1948)	334 U.S. 446
Estin v. Estin (1948)	334 U.S. 541
Krieger v. Krieger (1948)	334 U.S. 555
Inited States v. CIO (1948)	335 U.S. 106
McDougall v. Green (1948)	335 U.S. 281
Upshaw v. United States (1948)	335 U.S. 410
Uveges v. Pennsylvania (1948)	335 U.S. 437
McDonald v. United States (1948)	335 U.S. 451
Lincoln Federal Labor v. Northwestern (1949)	335 U.S. 525
AFL v. American Sash (1949)	335 U.S. 538
Railway Express v. New York (1949)	336 U.S. 106
Algoma Plywood v. Wisconsin Employment (1949)	336 U.S. 301
Hood v. DuMond (1949)	336 U.S. 525
Rice v. Rice (1949)	336 U.S. 674
Terminiello v. Chicago (1949)	337 U.S. 1
Standard Oil v. United States (1949)	337 U.S. 293
Aero Industrial v. Campbell (1949)	337 U.S. 521
NLRB v. Pittsburgh Steamship (1949)	337 U.S. 656
Volf v. Colorado (1949)	338 U.S. 25
Graham v. Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen (1949)	338 U.S. 232
Kingsland v. Dorsey (1949)	338 U.S. 318
- •	

(Table continues)

#### **Table 2-12** (Continued)

50

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Wong Yang Sung v. McGrath (1950)	339 U.S. 33
United States v. Rabinowitz (1950)	339 U.S. 56
Morford v. United States (1950)	339 U.S. 258
Am Communications Association v. Douds (1950)	339 U.S. 382
UAW v. O'Brien (1950)	339 U.S. 454
Sweatt v. Painter (1950)	339 U.S. 629
McLaurin v. Oklahoma Regents (1950)	339 U.S. 637
United States v. Louisiana (1950)	339 U.S. 699
United States v. Texas (1950)	339 U.S. 707
Henderson v. United States (1950)	339 U.S. 816
Blau v. United States (1950)	340 U.S. 159
Niemotko v. Maryland (1951)	340 U.S. 268
Kunz v. New York (1951)	340 U.S. 290
Feiner v. New York (1951)	340 U.S. 315
Shepherd v. Florida (1951)	341 U.S. 50
Joint Anti-Fascist Committee v. McGrath (1951)	341 U.S. 123
Schwegmann Bros. v. Calvert Distillers (1951)	341 U.S. 384
Dennis v. United States (1951)	341 U.S. 494
Garner v. Board of Public Works (1951)	341 U.S. 716
Stack v. Boyle (1951)	342 U.S. 001
Rochin v. California (1952)	342 U.S. 165
Doremus v. Board of Education (1952)	342 U.S. 429
Carlson v. Landon (1952)	342 U.S. 524
Harisiades v. Shaughnessey (1952)	342 U.S. 580
Rutkin v. United States (1952)	343 U.S. 130
Zorach v. Clauson (1952)	343 U.S. 306
Public Utilities v. Pollak (1952)	343 U.S. 451
Burstyn v. Wilson (1952)	343 U.S. 495
Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer (1952)	343 U.S. 579
Brotherhood v. Howard (1952)	343 U.S. 768
Dixon v. Duffy (1952)	344 U.S. 143
United States v. Caltex (1952)	344 U.S. 149
United States v. Cardiff (1952)	344 U.S. 174
Wieman v. Updegraff (1952)	344 U.S. 183
United States v. Kahriger (1953)	345 U.S. 22
District of Columbia v. Thompson (1953)	346 U.S. 100
Bridges v. United States (1953)	346 U.S. 209
United States v. Grainger (1953)	346 U.S. 235
Barrows v. Jackson (1953)	346 U.S. 249
Rosenberg v. United States (1953)	346 U.S. 273
Toolson v. Yankees (1953)	346 U.S. 356
NLRB v. Local Union (1953)	346 U.S. 464

# Table 2-12 (Continued)

20

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Superior Films v. Department of Education (1954)	346 U.S. 587
Irvine v. California (1954)	347 U.S. 128
Alabama v. Texas (1954)	347 U.S. 272
FCC v. ABC (1954)	347 U.S. 284
Hernandez v. Texas (1954)	347 U.S. 475
Brown v. Board of Education (1954)	347 U.S. 483
Bolling v. Sharpe (1954)	347 U.S. 497
Galvan v. Press (1954)	347 U.S. 522
Leyra v. Denno (1954)	347 U.S. 556
United States v. Harriss (1954)	347 U.S. 612
Moore v. Mead's Fine Bread (1954)	348 U.S. 115
United States v. Shubert (1955)	348 U.S. 222
National City Bank v. China (1955)	348 U.S. 356
Granville-Smith v. Granville-Smith (1955)	349 U.S.1
Regan v. New York (1955)	349 U.S. 58
Quinn v. United States (1955)	349 U.S. 155
Emspak v. United States (1955)	349 U.S. 190
Bart v. United States (1955)	349 U.S. 219
Brown v. Board of Education II (1955)	349 U.S. 294
Peters v. Hobby (1955)	349 U.S. 331
United States ex rel Toth v. Quarles (1955)	350 U.S. 11
Steiner v. Mitchell (1956)	350 U.S. 247
Mitchell v. King Packing (1956)	350 U.S. 260
Ullman v. United States (1956)	350 U.S. 422
Pennsylvania v. Nelson (1956)	350 U.S. 497
Slochower v. New York City (1956)	350 U.S. 551
Railway Employees v. Hanson (1956)	351 U.S. 225
Cole v. Young (1956)	351 U.S. 536
Meat Cutters v. NLRB (1956)	352 U.S. 153
NLRB v. Lion Oil (1957)	352 U.S. 282
Butler v. Michigan (1957)	352 U.S. 380
Radovich v. NFL (1957)	352 U.S. 445
United States v. UAW (1957)	352 U.S. 567
Schware v. New Mexico Bar (1957)	353 U.S. 232
Kongisberg v. California (1957)	353 U.S. 252
Kremen v. United States (1957)	353 U.S. 346
Grunewald v. United States (1957)	353 U.S. 391
United States v. Du Pont (1957)	353 U.S. 586
Jencks v. United States (1957)	353 U.S. 657
Reid v. Covert (1957)	354 U.S. 1

(Table continues)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

#### **Table 2-12** (Continued)

5

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Watkins v. United States (1957)	354 U.S. 178
Sweezy v. New Hampshire (1957)	354 U.S. 234
(ates v. United States (1957)	354 U.S. 298
Service v. Dulles (1957)	354 U.S. 363
Kingsley Books v. New York City (1957)	354 U.S. 436
Roth v. United States (1957)	354 U.S. 476
Girard v. Wilson (1957)	354 U.S. 524
Scales v. United States (sum rev) (1957)	355 U.S.1
Lightfoot v. United States (1957)	355 U.S. 2
Benati v. United States (1957)	355 U.S. 96
Green v. United States (1957)	355 U.S. 184
Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific R.R. v. Illinois (1958) 🦿	355 U.S. 300
Staub v. Baxley (1958)	355 U.S. 313
Detroit v. Murray Corp (1958)	355 U.S. 489
Harmon v. Brucker (1958)	355 U.S. 579
Green v. United States (1958)	356 U.S. 165
Federal Maritime Board v. Isbrandtsen (1958)	356 U.S. 481
Truax v. Gonzales (1958)	356 U.S. 617
UAW v. Russell (1958)	356 U.S. 634
United States v. Proctor and Gamble (1958)	356 U.S. 677
Local v. NLRB (1958)	357 U.S. 93
Kent v. Dulles (1958)	357 U.S. 116
Dayton v. Dulles (1958)	357 U.S. 144
vanhoe v. McCracken (1958)	357 U.S. 275
Facoma v. Tacoma Taxpayers (1958)	357 U.S. 320
Wiener v. United States (1958)	357 U.S. 349
NAACP v. Alabama (1958)	357 U.S. 449
Cooper v. Aaron (1958)	358 U.S. 1
US Gas Pipeline v. Memphis (1958)	358 U.S. 103
Leedom v. Kyne (1958)	358 U.S. 184
nternational Boxing Club v. Illinois (1959)	358 U.S. 242
WW States Portland Cement Co. v. Minnesota (1959)	358 U.S. 450
Youngstown Sheet & Tube v. Bowers (1959)	358 U.S. 534
Bartkus v. Illinois (1959)	359 U.S. 121
Abbate v. United States (1959)	359 U.S. 187
SD Building Trades v. Garmon (1959)	359 U.S. 236
Frank v. Maryland (1959)	359 U.S. 360
Dick v. NY Life (1959)	359 U.S. 437
Iphaus v. Wyman (1959)	360 U.S. 72
Barenblatt v. United States (1959)	360 U.S. 109
Anonymous v. Barker (1959)	360 U.S. 287
Palermo v. United States (1959)	360 U.S. 343

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

#### **Table 2-12** (Continued)

20

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Greene v. McElroy (1959)	360 U.S. 474
Kingsley International Pictures v. Regents (1959)	360 U.S. 684
USWA v. United States (1959)	361 U.S. 39
Smith v. California (1959)	361 U.S. 147
Kinsella v. United States (1960)	361 U.S. 234
Grisham v. Hagan (1960)	361 U.S. 278
McElroy v. United States (1960)	361 U.S. 281
Bates v. Little Rock (1960)	361 U.S. 516
Nelson/Globe v. Los Angeles (1960)	362 U.S. 1
United States v. Raines (1960)	362 U.S. 17
United States v. Parks Co (1960)	362 U.S. 29
United States v. Thomas (1960)	362 U.S. 58
Talley v. California (1960)	362 U.S. 60
FPC v. Tuscarora (1960)	362 U.S. 99
Thompson v. Louisville (1960)	362 U.S. 199
United States v. Louisiana et al. (1960)	363 U.S. 1
de Veau v. Braisted (1960)	363 U.S. 144
IRS v. Duberstein (1960)	363 U.S. 278
United States v. Kaiser (1960)	363 U.S. 299
Hannah v. Larche (1960)	363 U.S. 420
Elkins v. United States (1960)	364 U.S. 206
Rios v. United States (1960)	364 U.S. 253
Gomillion v. Lightfoot (1960)	364 U.S. 339
Boyton v. Virginia (1960)	364 U.S. 454
United States v. Miss. Valley Generating (1961)	364 U.S. 520
Times Film Corp. v. Chicago (1961)	365 U.S. 43
Monroe v. Pape (1961)	365 U.S. 167
Wilkinson v. United States (1961)	365 U.S. 399
Braden v. United States (1961)	365 U.S. 431
Pugach v. Dollinger (1961)	365 U.S. 458
Silverman v. United States (1961)	365 U.S. 505
Carpenters Local v. NLRB (1961)	365 U.S. 651
Teamsters v. NLRB (1961)	365 U.S. 667
NLRB v. News Syndicate (1961)	365 U.S. 695
ITU v. NLRB (1961)	365 U.S. 705
Burton v. Wilmington (1961)	365 U.S. 715
Stewart v. United States (1961)	366 U.S. 1
James v. United States (1961)	366 U.S. 213
United States v. du Pont (1961)	366 U.S. 316
McGowan v. Maryland (1961)	366 U.S. 420
	(Table continues)

(Table continues)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

# Table 2-12 (Continued)

20

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Fwo Guys v. McGinley (1961)	366 U.S. 582
Braunfeld v. Brown (1961)	366 U.S. 599
Gallagher v. Crown Kosher (1961)	366 U.S. 617
Communist Party of the US v. SACB (1961)	367 U.S. 1
Scales v. United States (1961)	367 U.S. 203
Noto v. United States (1961)	367 U.S. 290
Power Reactor v. IUE (1961)	367 U.S. 396
Forcaso v. Watkins (1961)	367 U.S. 488
Poe v. Ullman (1961)	367 U.S. 497
Mapp v. Ohio (1961)	367 U.S. 643
AM v. Street (1961)	367 U.S. 740
athrop v. Donahue (1961)	367 U.S. 820
Hoyt v. Florida (1961)	368 U.S. 57
Garner v. Louisiana (1961)	368 U.S. 157
Griggs v. Alleghany County (1962)	369 U.S. 84
Feamsters v. Lucas Flour (1962)	369 U.S. 95
Fong Foo v. United States (1962)	369 U.S. 141
Baker v. Carr (1962)	369 U.S. 186
Furner v. Memphis (1962)	369 U.S. 350
California v. FPC (1962)	369 U.S. 482
Becker v. Washington (1962)	369 U.S. 541
Hutcheson v. United States (1962)	369 U.S. 599
Russell v. United States (1962)	369 U.S. 749
Sinclair Refining v. Atkinson (1962)	370 U.S. 195
Atkinson v. Sinclair Refining (1962)	370 U.S. 238
Brown Shoe v. United States (1962)	370 U.S. 294
Inited States v. Wise (1962)	370 U.S. 405
Engel v. Vitale (1962)	370 U.S. 421
Robinson v. California (1962)	370 U.S. 660
Inited States v. Loews (1962)	371 U.S. 38
VAACP v. Button (1963)	371 U.S. 415
AcCulloch v. Sociedad (1963)	372 U.S. 10
Kennedy v. Mendoz-Martinez (1963)	372 U.S. 144
dwards v. South Carolina (1963)	372 U.S. 229
Gideon v. Wainwright (1963)	372 U.S. 335
Gray v. Sanders (1963)	372 U.S. 368
Gibson v. Florida Legis (1963)	372 U.S. 539
Dugan v. Rank (1963)	372 U.S. 609
<i>Colorado Anti-Discrimination v. Continental Air</i> (1963)	372 U.S. 714
Peterson v. Greenville (1963)	373 U.S. 244
	373 U.S. 267
Lombard v. Louisiana (1963)	

#### **Table 2-12** (Continued)

20

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Gober v. Birmingham (1963)	373 U.S. 374
Avent v. North Carolina (1963)	373 U.S. 375
Lopez v. United States (1963)	373 U.S. 427
Watson v. Memphis (1963)	373 U.S. 526
Arizona v. California (1963)	373 U.S. 546
Goss v. Knoxville Board (1963)	373 U.S. 683
United Association v. Borden (1963)	373 U.S. 690
Bridge Workers v. Perko (1963)	373 U.S. 701
NLRB v. General Motors Corp. (1963)	373 U.S. 734
Retail Clerks v. Schermerhorn (1963)	373 U.S. 746
Ker v. California (1963)	374 U.S. 23
Abington School District v. Schempp (1963)	374 U.S. 203
Sherbert v. Verner (1963)	374 U.S. 398
Retail Clerks v. Schermerhorn (1963)	375 U.S. 96
Anderson v. Martin (1964)	375 U.S. 399
Wesberry v. Sanders (1964)	376 U.S. 1
Wright v. Rockefeller (1964)	376 U.S. 52
Costello v. INS (1964)	376 U.S. 120
Sears & Roebuck v. Stiffel (1964)	376 U.S. 225
Compco v. Day-Brite (1964)	376 U.S. 234
New York Times v. Sullivan (1964)	376 U.S. 254
Banco Nacional v. Sabbatino (1964)	376 U.S. 398
United States v. El Paso Gas (1964)	376 U.S. 651
United States v. First National Bank (1964)	376 U.S. 665
United States v. Barnett (1964)	376 U.S. 681
Schneider v. Bush (1964)	377 U.S. 163
Griffin v. Prince Ed Board (1964)	377 U.S. 218
NAACP v. Alabama (1964)	377 U.S. 288
Hostetter v. Idlewild (1964)	377 U.S. 324
Department of Revenue v. Beam (1964)	377 U.S. 341
Reynolds v. Sims (1964)	377 U.S. 533
WMCA v. Lomenzo (1964)	377 U.S. 633
Maryland Committee v. Tawes (1964)	377 U.S. 656
Davis v. Mann (1964)	377 U.S. 678
Roman v. Sincock (1964)	377 U.S. 695
Lucas v. 44th Gen Assembly (1964)	377 U.S. 713
Malloy v. Hogan (1964)	378 U.S. 1
Murphy v. Waterfront Comm'n (1964)	378 U.S. 52
Bell v. Maryland (1964)	378 U.S. 226
Bouie v. Columbia (1964)	378 U.S. 347

(Table continues)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

#### **Table 2-12** (Continued)

2

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Escobedo v. Illinois (1964)	378 U.S. 478
Aptheker v. Secretary of State (1964)	378 U.S. 500
McLaughlin v. Florida (1964)	379 U.S. 184
Heart of Atlanta v. United States (1964)	379 U.S. 241
Katzenbach v. McClung (1964)	379 U.S. 294
Hamm v. Rock Hill (1964)	379 U.S. 306
United States v. Mississippi (1965)	380 U.S. 128
Louisiana v. United States (1965)	380 U.S. 145
United States v. Seeger (1965)	380 U.S. 163
TWUA v. Darlington (1965)	380 U.S. 263
NLRB v. Brown (1965)	380 U.S. 278
Am Ship Building v. NLRB (1965)	380 U.S. 300
FTC v. Colgate-Palmolive (1965)	380 U.S. 374
Pointer v. Texas (1965)	380 U.S. 400
Dombrowski v. Pfister (1965)	380 U.S. 479
Harman v. Forsessensius (1965)	380 U.S. 528
CIR v. Brown (1965)	380 U.S. 563
United States v. California (1965)	381 U.S. 139
Lamont v. Postmaster (1965)	381 U.S. 301
Griswold v. Connecticut (1965)	381 U.S. 479
Estes v. Texas (1965)	381 U.S. 532
Linkletter v. Walker (1965)	381 U.S. 618
Angelet v. Fay (1965)	381 U.S. 654
Albertson v. SACB (1965)	382 U.S. 70
Evans v. Newton (1966)	382 U.S. 296
South Carolina v. Katzenbach (1966)	383 U.S. 301
Book Named v. AG MA (1966)	383 U.S. 413
Ginzburg v. United States (1966)	383 U.S. 463
Mishkin v. New York (1966)	383 U.S. 502
Harper v. Virginia State Board (1966)	383 U.S. 663
United States v. Guest (1966)	383 U.S. 745
United States v. Price (1966)	383 U.S. 787
Elfbrandt v. Russell (1966)	384 U.S. 11
Seagram v. Hostetter (1966)	384 U.S. 35
United States v. General Motors (1966)	384 U.S. 127
Sheppard v. Maxwell (1966)	384 U.S. 333
Miranda v. Arizona (1966)	384 U.S. 436
Katzenbach v. Morgan (1966)	384 U.S. 641
Cardona v. Power (1966)	384 U.S. 672
Johnson v. New Jersey (1966) Schmerber v. California (1966)	384 U.S. 719
	384 U.S. 757
Adderly v. Florida (1966)	385 U.S. 39

# Table 2-12 (Continued)

С С

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Bond v. Floyd (1966)	385 U.S. 116
Fortson v. Morris (1966)	385 U.S. 231
Hoffa v. United States (1966)	385 U.S. 293
Time v. Hill (1967)	385 U.S. 374
Swann v. Adams (1967)	385 U.S. 440
United States v. Laub (1967)	385 U.S. 475
Travis v. United States (1967)	385 U.S. 491
Spevack v. Klein (1967)	385 U.S. 511
Keyishian v. Board of Regents (1967)	385 U.S. 589
Cascade Natural Gas v. El Paso (1967)	386 U.S. 129
McCray v. Illinois (1967)	386 U.S. 300
Baltimore and Ohio R.R. v. United States (1967)	386 U.S. 372
National Woodword v. NLRB (1967)	386 U.S. 612
Houston Insulation v. NLRB (1967)	386 U.S. 664
National Bellas v. Dep't of Revenue (1967)	386 U.S. 753
Redrup v. New York (1967)	386 U.S. 767
In re Gault (1967)	387 U.S. 1
Moody v. Flowers (1967)	387 U.S. 97
Dusch v. Davis (1967)	387 U.S. 112
Afroyim v. Rusk (1967)	387 U.S. 253
Warden v. Hayden (1967)	387 U.S. 294
Reitman v. Mulkey (1967)	387 U.S. 369
Camara v. Municipal Court (1967)	387 U.S. 523
See v. City of Seattle (1967)	387 U.S. 541
Loving v. Virginia (1967)	388 U.S. 1
Berger v. New York (1967)	388 U.S. 41
Curtis Pub v. Butts (1967)	388 U.S. 130
NLRB v. Allis-Chambers (1967)	388 U.S. 175
Walker v. Birmingham (1967)	388 U.S. 307
UMW v. Illinois State Bar (1967)	389 U.S. 217
United States v. Robel (1967)	389 U.S. 258
Katz v. United States (1967)	389 U.S. 347
Penn Central Merger Inclusion Cases (1968)	389 U.S. 486
Marchetti v. United States (1968)	390 U.S. 39
Haynes v. United States (1968)	390 U.S. 85
Avery v. Midland County (1968)	390 U.S. 474
United States v. Jackson (1968)	390 U.S. 570
Ginsberg v. New York (1968)	390 U.S. 629
Interstate Circuit v. Dallas (1968)	390 U.S. 676
Permian Basin Area Rate Cases (1968)	390 U.S. 747

(Table continues)

#### **Table 2-12** (Continued)

5

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Duncan v. Louisiana (1968)	391 U.S. 145
United States v. O'Brien (1968)	391 U.S. 367
Green v. County School Board (1968)	391 U.S. 430
Raney v. Board of Education (1968)	391 U.S. 443
Monroe v. Board of Comm'rs (1968)	391 U.S. 450
Witherspoon v. Illinois (1968)	391 U.S. 510
Terry v. Ohio (1968)	392 U.S. 1
Sibron v. New York (1968)	392 U.S. 40
Flast v. Cohen (1968)	392 U.S. 83
Board of Education v. Central School (1968)	392 U.S. 236
Assn v. Comm'r of Sanitation (1968)	392 U.S. 280
Jones v. Mayer (1968)	392 U.S. 409
Powell v. Texas (1968)	392 U.S. 514
Williams v. Rhodes (1968)	393 U.S. 23
Epperson v. Arkansas (1968)	393 U.S. 97
Carroll v. Princess Anne County (1968)	393 U.S. 175
Oestereich v. Selective Service (1968)	393 U.S. 233
Presb Church v. Mary Church (1969)	393 U.S. 440
Tinker v. Des Moines (1969)	393 U.S. 503
Gregory v. City of Chicago (1969)	394 U.S. 111
Shuttlesworth v. Birmingham (1969)	394 U.S. 147
Alderman v. United States (1969)	394 U.S. 165
Orozco v. Texas (1969)	394 U.S. 324
Wells v. Rockefeller (1969)	394 U.S. 542
Stanley v. Georgia (1969)	394 U.S. 557
Shapiro v. Thompson (1969)	394 U.S. 618
Leary v. United States (1969)	395 U.S. 6
United States v. Montgomery County (1969)	395 U.S. 225
O'Callahan v. Parker (1969)	395 U.S. 258
Gaston County v. United States (1969)	395 U.S. 285
Daniel v. Paul (1969)	395 U.S. 298
Sniadach v. Family Finance (1969)	395 U.S. 337
Red Lion Broadcasting v. FCC (1969)	395 U.S. 367
Powell v. McCormack (1969)	395 U.S. 486
Kramer v. Union Free SD (1969)	395 U.S. 621
North Carolina v. Pearce (1969)	395 U.S. 711
Chimel v. California (1969)	395 U.S. 752
Benton v. Maryland (1969)	395 U.S. 784
Alexander v. Holmes County (1969)	396 U.S. 19
Gutknecht v. United States (1970)	396 U.S. 295
Evans v. Abney (1970)	396 U.S. 435
Breen v. Selective Service (1970)	396 U.S. 460

#### **Table 2-12** (Continued)

**)**C

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Hadley v. Junior College (1970)	397 U.S. 50
Toussie v. United States (1970)	397 U.S. 112
Wheeler v. Montgomery (1970)	397 U.S. 280
Illinois v. Allen (1970)	397 U.S. 337
Rosado v. Wyman (1970)	397 U.S. 397
Dandridge v. Williams (1970)	397 U.S. 471
Walz v. Tax Commission (1970)	397 U.S. 664
Schacht v. United States (1970)	398 U.S. 58
Boys Market v. Retail Clerks (1970)	398 U.S. 235
Maxwell v. Bishop (1970)	398 U.S. 262
Welsh v. United States (1970)	398 U.S. 333
Coleman v. Alabama (1970)	399 U.S. 1
Vale v. Louisiana (1970)	399 U.S. 30
Chambers v. Maroney (1970)	399 U.S. 42
Baldwin v. New York (1970)	399 U.S. 66
Williams v. Florida (1970)	399 U.S. 78
United States v. Sisson (1970)	399 U.S. 267
Dutton v. Evans (1970)	400 U.S. 74
Oregon v. Mitchell (1970)	400 U.S. 112
Wyman v. James (1971)	400 U.S. 309
Mayberry v. Pennsylvania (1971)	400 U.S. 455
Phillips v. Martin Marietta (1971)	400 U.S. 542
Younger v. Harris (1971)	401 U.S. 37
Samuels v. Mackell (1971)	401 U.S. 66
Boyle v. Landry (1971)	401 U.S. 77
Byrne v. Karalexis (1971)	401 U.S. 216
Harris v. New York (1971)	401 U.S. 222
Boddie v. Connecticut (1971)	401 U.S. 371
Tate v. Short (1971)	401 U.S. 395
Griggs v. Duke Power (1971)	401 U.S. 424
Gillette v. United States (1971)	401 U.S. 437
United States v. Freed (1971)	401 U.S. 601
Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg (1971)	402 U.S. 1
United States v. Vuitch (1971)	402 U.S. 62
James v. Valtierra (1971)	402 U.S. 137
McGautha v. California (1971)	402 U.S. 183
Coates v. Cinn (1971)	402 U.S. 611
Rosenbloom v. Metromedia (1971)	403 U.S. 29
Whitcomb v. Chavis (1971)	403 U.S. 124
Abate v. Mundt (1971)	403 U.S. 182
	(T-11,
	(Table continue)

(Table continues)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

#### **Table 2-12** (Continued)

50

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Palmer v. Thompson (1971)	403 U.S. 217
McKeiver v. Pennsylvania (1971)	403 U.S. 528
Lemon v. Kurtzman (1971)	403 U.S. 602
Tilton v. Richardson (1971)	403 U.S. 672
Clay v. United States (1971)	403 U.S. 698
New York Times v. United States (1971)	403 U.S. 713
Reed v. Reed (1971)	404 U.S. 71
Lego v. Twomey (1972)	404 U.S. 477
Roudebush v. Hartke (1972)	405 U.S. 15
FTC v. Hutchinson (1972)	405 U.S. 233
Dunn v. Blumstein (1972)	405 U.S. 330
Stanley v. Illinois (1972)	405 U.S. 645
Wisconsin v. Yoder (1972)	406 U.S. 205
Johnson v. Louisiana (1972)	406 U.S. 356
Apodaca v. Oregon (1972)	406 U.S. 404
Kastigar v. United States (1972)	406 U.S. 441
Zicarelli v. New Jersey State Comm'n (1972)	406 U.S. 472
lefferson v. Hackney (1972)	406 U.S. 535
Kirby v. Illinois (1972)	406 U.S. 682
Argersinger v. Hamlin (1972)	407 U.S. 25
Adams v. Williams (1972)	407 U.S. 143
Moose Lodge v. Irvis (1972)	407 U.S. 163
Flood v. Kuhn (1972)	407 U.S. 258
United States v. United States Dist Court (1972)	407 U.S. 297
United States v. Scotland Neck School (1972)	407 U.S. 484
Lloyd Corp v. Tanner (1972)	407 U.S. 551
Laird v. Tatum (1972)	408 U.S. 1
Furman v. Georgia (1972)	408 U.S. 238
United States v. Brewster (1972)	408 U.S. 501
Gravel v. United States (1972)	408 U.S. 606
Branzburg v. Hayes (1972)	408 U.S. 665
Gottschalk v. Benson (1972)	409 U.S. 63
California v. LaRue (1972)	409 U.S. 109
Trafficante v. Met. Life (1972)	409 U.S. 205
Roe v. Wade (1973)	410 U.S. 113
Doe v. Bolton (1973)	410 U.S. 179
Mahan v. Howell (1973)	410 U.S. 315
Tillman v. Wheaton-Haven (1973)	410 U.S. 431
Sayler Land v. Tulare Lake Basin (1973)	410 U.S. 719
San Antonio v. Rodriguez (1973)	411 U.S. 1
Askew v. Am Waterway Operators (1973)	411 U.S. 325
Schneckloth v. Bustamonte (1973)	412 U.S. 218

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

# Table 2-12 (Continued)

20

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Cupp v. Murphy (1973)	412 U.S. 291
Miller v. California (1973)	413 U.S. 15
New York State Department v. Dublino (1973)	413 U.S. 405
Levitt v. CPEARL (1973)	413 U.S. 472
CPEARL v. Nyquist (1973)	413 U.S. 756
United States v. Robinson (1973)	414 U.S. 218
Gustafson v. Florida (1973)	414 U.S. 260
United States v. Calandra (1974)	414 U.S. 338
Cleveland Board of Ed v. LaFleur (1974)	414 U.S. 632
Village of Belle Terres v. Boraas (1974)	416 U.S. 1
California Bankers Association v. Schultz (1974)	416 U.S. 21
Scheuer v. Rhodes (1974)	416 U.S. 232
Defunis v. Odegaard (1974)	416 U.S. 312
United States v. Giordano (1974)	416 U.S. 505
Eisen v. Carlisle (1974)	417 U.S. 156
Corning Glass v. Brennan (1974)	417 U.S. 188
Pittsburgh v. Alco (1974)	417 U.S. 369
Geduldig v. Aiello (1974)	417 U.S. 484
arker v. Levy (1974)	417 U.S. 733
Miami Herald v. Tornillo (1974)	418 U.S. 241
Gertz v. Welch (1974)	418 U.S. 323
Inited States v. Maine Bancorp (1974)	418 U.S. 602
Inited States v. Nixon (1974)	418 U.S. 683
Ailliken v. Bradley (1974)	418 U.S. 717
RR Reorganization Cases (1974)	419 U.S. 102
ackson v. Metro Edison (1974)	419 U.S. 345
Taylor v. Louisiana (1975)	419 U.S. 522
Goss v. Lopez (1975)	419 U.S. 565
Frain v. City of New York (1975)	420 U.S. 35
Inited States v. Bisceglia (1975)	420 U.S. 141
Nood v. Strickland (1975)	420 U.S. 308
Cox Broadcasting v. Cohn (1975)	420 U.S. 469
Inited States v. Florida (1975)	420 U.S. 531
Neinberger v. Wiesenfeld (1975)	420 U.S. 636
Inited States v. Reliable Transfer (1975)	421 U.S. 397
onnell Construct v. Plumbers (1975)	421 U.S. 616
Goldfarb v. Virginia State Bar (1975)	421 U.S. 773
Inited Housing v. Forman (1975)	421 U.S. 837
Warth v. Seldin (1975)	422 U.S. 490
D'Connor v. Donaldson (1975)	422 U.S. 563

(Table continues)

#### Table 2-12(Continued)

**S**C

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Faretta v. California (1975)	422 U.S. 806
Michelin Tire v. Wages (1976)	423 U.S. 276
United States v. Watson (1976)	423 U.S. 411
Buckley v. Valeo (1976)	424 U.S. 1
De Canas v. Bica (1976)	424 U.S. 351
Time v. Firestone (1976)	424 U.S. 448
Paul v. Davis (1976)	424 U.S. 693
Franks v. Bowman (1976)	424 U.S. 747
Kelley v. Johnson (1976)	425 U.S. 238
Hills v. Gautreaux (1976)	425 U.S. 284
Hampton v. United States (1976)	425 U.S. 484
Virginia State Board v. VA Consumers (1976)	425 U.S. 748
Hampton v. Wong (1976)	426 U.S. 88
Washington v. Davis (1976)	426 U.S. 229
Federal Energy Admin v. Algonquin (1976)	426 U.S. 548
Roemer v. Board of Public Works (1976)	426 U.S. 736
NLC v. Usery (1976)	426 U.S. 833
Young v. Am Mini Theatres (1976)	427 U.S. 50
Runyon v. McCrary (1976)	427 U.S. 160
McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail (1976)	427 U.S. 273
Pasadena Board v. Spangler (1976)	427 U.S. 424
Andersen v. Maryland (1976)	427 U.S. 463
Nebraska Press v. Stuart (1976)	427 U.S. 539
Planned Parenthood v. Danforth (1976)	428 U.S. 52
Gregg v. Georgia (1976)	428 U.S. 153
Profitt v. Florida (1976)	428 U.S. 242
Jurek v. Texas (1976)	428 U.S. 262
Woodson v. North Carolina (1976)	428 U.S. 280
Roberts v. Louisiana (1976)	428 U.S. 325
Stone v. Powell (1976)	428 U.S. 465
General Electric v. Gilbert (1976)	429 U.S. 125
Mathews v. De Castro (1976)	429 U.S. 181
Arlington Heights v. Metro Heights (1977)	429 U.S. 252
du Pont v. Train (1977)	430 U.S. 112
UJO of Williamsburgh v. Carey (1977)	430 U.S. 144
Califano v. Goldfarb (1977)	430 U.S. 199
Complete Auto Transit v. Brady (1977)	430 U.S. 274
Brewer v. Williams (1977)	430 U.S. 387
Ingraham v. Wright (1977)	430 U.S. 651
US Trust Co v. New Jersey (1977)	431 U.S. 1
Linmark v. Willingboro (1977)	431 U.S. 85
Int'l Brotherhood v. United States (1977)	431 U.S. 324

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

# Table 2-12 (Continued)

**)**C

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Roberts v. Louisiana (1977)	431 U.S. 633
Beal v. Doe (1977)	432 U.S. 438
Maher v. Roe (1977)	432 U.S. 464
Milliken v. Bradley (1977)	433 U.S. 267
Bates v. State Bar (1977)	433 U.S. 350
Nixon v. GSA (1977)	433 U.S. 425
Coker v. Georgia (1977)	433 U.S. 584
Nashville Gas v. Satty (1977)	434 U.S. 136
United States v. NY Telephone (1977)	434 U.S. 159
Bordenkircher v. Hayes (1978)	434 U.S. 357
Ray v. Atlantic Richfield (1978)	435 U.S. 151
Vermont Yankee v. NRDC (1978)	435 U.S. 519
Nixon v. Warner Communications (1978)	435 U.S. 589
Louisiana v. Manhart (1978)	435 U.S. 702
First National Bank v. Bellotti (1978)	435 U.S. 765
Marshall v. Barlows (1978)	436 U.S. 307
In re Primus (1978)	436 U.S. 412
Ohralik v. State Bar (1978)	436 U.S. 447
Zurcher v. Stanford Daily (1978)	436 U.S. 547
FCC v. National Citizens Comm'n (1978)	436 U.S. 775
TVA v. Hill (1978)	437 U.S. 153
Zenith Radio v. United States (1978)	437 U.S. 443
Phil v. New Jersey (1978)	437 U.S. 617
Penn Central v. New York City (1978)	438 U.S. 104
Univ. of California v. Bakke (1978)	438 U.S. 265
FCC v. Pacifica (1978)	438 U.S. 726
Orr v. Orr (1979)	440 U.S. 268
NY Telephone v. NYS Dept of Labor (1979)	440 U.S. 519
Delaware v. Prouse (1979)	440 U.S. 648
FCC v. Midwest Video (1979)	440 U.S. 689
Broadcast Music v. CBS (1979)	441 U.S. 1
Herbert v. Lando (1979)	441 U.S. 153
Dalia v. United States (1979)	441 U.S. 238
Cannon v. Univ. of Chicago (1979)	441 U.S. 677
Personnel Administration v. Feeney (1979)	442 U.S. 256
Southeastern Comm Coll v. Davis (1979)	442 U.S. 397
United States v. Helstoski (1979)	442 U.S. 477
United States v. Rutherford (1979)	442 U.S. 544
Parham v. JR (1979)	442 U.S. 584
Califano v. Westcott (1979)	443 U.S. 76

(Table continues)

#### **Table 2-12** (Continued)

2

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Hutchinson v. Proxmire (1979)	443 U.S. 111
Wolston v. Readers Digest (1979)	443 U.S. 157
Steelworkers v. Weber (1979)	443 U.S. 193
Gannet v. DePasquale (1979)	443 U.S. 368
Columbus Board of Education v. Penick (1979)	443 U.S. 449
Brown v. Glines (1980)	444 U.S. 348
Sec of Navy v. Huff (1980)	444 U.S. 453
NRLB v. Yeshiva (1980)	444 U.S. 672
Kissinger v. Reporters Committee (1980)	445 U.S. 136
Payton v. New York (1980)	445 U.S. 573
Owen v. City of Independence (1980)	445 U.S. 622
City of Mobile v. Bolden (1980)	446 U.S. 55
Rhode Island v. Innis (1980)	446 U.S. 291
Pruneyard Shopping Center v. Robins (1980)	447 U.S. 74
Diamond v. Chakrabarty (1980)	447 U.S. 303
Bryant v. Yellen (1980)	447 U.S. 352
Con Edison v. Public Service (1980)	447 U.S. 530
Central Hudson v. Public Service (1980)	447 U.S. 557
Maine v. Thiboutot (1980)	448 U.S. 1
Harris v. McCrae (1980)	448 U.S. 297
United States v. Sioux Nation (1980)	448 U.S. 371
Fullilove v. Klutznick (1980)	448 U.S. 448
Richmond Newspapers v. Virginia (1980)	448 U.S. 555
United States v. DiFranceso (1980)	449 U.S. 117
Fedorenko v. United States (1981)	449 U.S. 490
Chandler v. Florida (1981)	449 U.S. 560
H.L. v. Matheson (1981)	449 U.S. 300 450 U.S. 398
Pennhurst v. Halderman (1981)	451 U.S. 1
Steagald v. United States (1981)	
Estelle v. Smith (1981)	451 U.S. 204
	451 U.S. 454
Edwards v. Arizona (1981) County of Washington v. Counther (1981)	451 U.S. 477
County of Washington v. Gunther (1981)	452 U.S. 161
Rhodes v. Chapman (1981) Am Textile v. Donovon (1981)	452 U.S. 337
	452 U.S. 490
Rostker v. Goldberg (1981)	453 U.S. 57
McCarty v. McCarty (1981)	453 U.S. 210
Haig v. Agee (1981)	453 U.S. 280
CBS v. FCC (1981)	453 U.S. 367
Commonwealth Edison v. Montana (1981)	453 U.S. 609
Dames & Moore v. Regan (1981)	453 U.S. 654
United Transportation Union v. LIRR (1982)	455 U.S. 678
United States v. MacDonald (1982)	456 U.S. 1

### Table 2-12 (Continued)

20

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Am Tobacco v. Patterson (1982)	456 U.S. 63
Longshoremen v. Allied International (1982)	456 U.S. 212
United States v. Ross (1982)	456 U.S. 798
Plyler v. Doe (1982)	457 U.S. 202
Patsy v. Board of Education (1982)	457 U.S. 496
Nixon v. Fitzgerald (1982)	457 U.S. 731
Harlow v. Fitzgerald (1982)	457 U.S. 800
Board of Education v. Pico (1982)	457 U.S. 853
Board of Education v. Rowley (1982)	458 U.S. 176
New York v. Ferber (1982)	458 U.S. 747
NAACP v. Claiborne Hardware (1982)	458 U.S. 886
Pacific Gas & Electric v. Energy Resources (1983)	461 U.S. 190
Regan v. Taxation with Representation (1983)	461 U.S. 540
Bob Jones Univ. v. United States (1983)	461 U.S. 574
Akron v. Akron Center (1983)	462 U.S. 416
Planned Parenthood v. Ashcroft (1983)	462 U.S. 476
Simopoulos v. Virginia (1983)	462 U.S. 506
Karcher v. Daggett (1983)	462 U.S. 725
INS v. Chadha (1983)	462 U.S. 919
Motor Vehicle Manuf v. St Farm (1983)	463 U.S. 29
Container Corp v. Franchise Board (1983)	463 U.S. 159
Solem v. Helm (1983)	463 U.S. 277
Mueller v. Allen (1983)	463 U.S. 388
Dirks v. SEC (1983)	463 U.S. 646
Barefoot v. Estelle (1983)	463 U.S. 880
Arizona v. Norris (1983)	463 U.S. 1073
Sec of Interior v. California (1984)	464 U.S. 312
SONY v. Universal City Studios (1984)	464 U.S. 417
Press Enterprise v. Superior Court (1984)	464 U.S. 501
Pulley v. Harris (1984)	465 U.S. 37
NRLB v. Bildisco (1984)	465 U.S. 513
Grove City v. Bell (1984)	465 U.S. 555
Lynch v. Donnelly (1984)	465 U.S. 668
NS v. Delgado (1984)	466 U.S. 210
Bose Corp v. Consumers' Union (1984)	466 U.S. 485
Hishon v. King & Spalding (1984)	467 U.S. 69
Hawaii v. Midkiff (1984)	467 U.S. 229
Schall v. Martin (1984)	467 U.S. 253
Firefighters v. Stotts (1984)	467 U.S. 561
New York v. Quarles (1984)	467 U.S. 649
	(Table continues)
	( <i>Tuble Continues</i> )

#### **Table 2-12** (Continued)

5

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
NCAA v. Board of Regents (1984)	468 U.S. 85
FCC v. LWV (1984)	468 U.S. 364
Roberts v. Jaycees (1984)	468 U.S. 609
Selective Service System v. Minnesota PIRG (1984)	468 U.S. 841
United States v. Leon (1984)	468 U.S. 897
United States v. Hensley (1985)	469 U.S. 221
New Jersey v. T.L.O. (1985)	469 U.S. 325
Garcia v. SAMTA (1985)	469 U.S. 528
Ake v. Oklahoma (1985)	470 U.S. 68
FEC v. NCPAC (1985)	470 U.S. 480
Tennessee v. Garner (1985)	471 U.S. 1
Harper & Row v. The Nation (1985)	471 U.S. 539
Wallace v. Jaffree (1985)	472 U.S. 38
Northeast Bancorp v. Board of Governors (1985)	472 U.S. 159
Thornton v. Caldor (1985)	472 U.S. 703
Pattern Makers v. NLRB (1985)	473 U.S. 95
Grand Rapids v. Ball (1985)	473 U.S. 373
Aguilar v. Felton (1985)	473 U.S. 402
City of Renton v. Playtime (1986)	475 U.S. 41
Goldman v. Weinberger (1986)	475 U.S. 503
Phil Newspapers v. Hepps (1986)	475 U.S. 767
Batson v. Kentucky (1986)	476 U.S. 79
Lockhart v. McCree (1986)	476 U.S. 162
California v. Ciraolo (1986)	476 U.S. 207
Dow Chemical v. United States (1986)	476 U.S. 227
Wygant v. Jackson Board of Education (1986)	476 U.S. 267
Bowen v. New York City (1986)	476 U.S. 467
Bowen v. AHA (1986)	476 U.S. 610
Thornburgh v. ACOG (1986)	476 U.S. 747
Meritor v. Vinson (1986)	477 U.S. 57
Davis v. Bandemer (1986)	478 U.S. 109
Bowers v. Hardwick (1986)	478 U.S. 186
Posadas de PR v. Tourism (1986)	478 U.S. 328
Local 28 v. EEOC (1986)	478 U.S. 421
Local 93 v. Cleveland (1986)	478 U.S. 501
Bowsher v. Synar (1986)	478 U.S. 714
Tashijan v. Republican Party (1986)	479 U.S. 208
California Federal Savings v. Guerra (1987)	479 U.S. 272
United States v. Paradise (1987)	480 U.S. 149
School Board v. Arline (1987)	480 U.S. 273
INS v. Cardoza-Fonesca (1987) CA Coastal v. Granite Rock (1987)	480 U.S. 421
	480 U.S. 572

#### **Table 2-12** (Continued)

 $\mathcal{C}$ 

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Johnson v. Transportation Agency (1987)	480 U.S. 616
Pennzoil v. Texaco (1987)	481 U.S. 1
CTS v. Dynamics (1987)	481 U.S. 69
McCleskey v. Kemp (1987)	481 U.S. 279
Board of Directors v. Rotary Club (1987)	481 U.S. 537
St. Francis College v. Al-khazraji (1987)	481 U.S. 604
Shaare Tefila v. Cobb (1987)	481 U.S. 615
United States v. Salerno (1987)	481 U.S. 739
Fort Halifax v. Coyne (1987)	482 U.S. 1
Shearson/Am Express v. McMahon (1987)	482 U.S. 220
FirstChurch v. County of Los Angeles (1987)	482 U.S. 304
Booth v. Maryland (1987)	482 U.S. 496
Edwards v. Aguillard (1987)	482 U.S. 578
McNally v. United States (1987)	483 U.S. 350
Solorio v. United States (1987)	483 U.S. 435
United States v. Stanley (1987)	483 U.S. 669
Carpenter v. United States (1987)	484 U.S. 19
Hazelwood v. Kuhlmeier (1988)	484 U.S. 260
Hustler v. Falwell (1988)	485 U.S. 46
Basic v. Levinson (1988)	485 U.S. 224
Lyng v. Intl Union (1988)	485 U.S. 360
Bowen v. Kizer (1988)	485 U.S. 386
South Carolina v. Baker (1988)	485 U.S. 505
Business Electronics v. Sharp Electronics (1988)	485 U.S. 717
California v. Greenwood (1988)	486 U.S. 35
Patrick v. Burget (1988)	486 U.S. 94
K Mart v. Cartier (1988)	486 U.S. 281
Webster v. Doe (1988)	486 U.S. 592
NYS Club Assoc v. City of New York (1988)	487 U.S. 1
Boyle v. United Technologies (1988)	487 U.S. 500
Morrison v. Olson (1988)	487 U.S. 654
Arizona v. Youngblood (1988)	488 U.S. 51
NCAA v. Tarkanian (1988)	488 U.S. 179
Goldberg v. Sweet (1989)	488 U.S. 252
Duquesne Light v. Barasch (1989)	488 U.S. 299
Mistretta v. United States (1989)	488 U.S. 361
City of Richmond v. Croson (1989)	488 U.S. 469
DeShaney v. Winnebago (1989)	489 U.S. 189
City of Canton v. Harris (1989)	489 U.S. 378
Skinner v. Railway Labor (1989)	489 U.S. 602

(Table continues)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

#### **Table 2-12** (Continued)

50

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
National Treasury v. Von Raab (1989)	489 U.S. 656
Board of Estimate v. Morris (1989)	489 U.S. 688
United States v. Sokolow (1989)	490 U.S. 1
California v. ARC America (1989)	490 U.S. 93
Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins (1989)	490 U.S. 228
Wards Cove v. Atonio (1989)	490 U.S. 642
Martin v. Wilks (1989)	490 U.S. 755
Patterson v. McLean (1989)	491 U.S. 164
Texas v. Johnson (1989)	491 U.S. 397
United States v. Monsanto (1989)	491 U.S. 600
Caplin & Drysdale v. United States (1989)	491 U.S. 617
Sable Commun v. FCC (1989)	492 U.S. 115
Penry v. Lynaugh (1989)	492 U.S. 302
Stanford v. Kentucky (1989)	492 U.S. 361
Webster v. RHS (1989)	492 U.S. 490
Allegheny County v. ACLU (1989)	492 U.S. 573
Univ. of Pennsylvania v. EEOC (1990)	493 U.S. 182
Spallone v. United States (1990)	493 U.S. 265
lames v. Illinois (1990)	493 U.S. 307
Dole v. Steelworkers (1990)	494 U.S. 26
Washington v. Harper (1990)	494 U.S. 210
United States v. Verdugo-Urquidez (1990)	494 U.S. 259
Butler v. McKellar (1990)	494 U.S. 407
Saffle v. Parks (1990)	494 U.S. 484
Austin v. MI Chamber of Commerce (1990)	494 U.S. 652
NLRB v. Curtin Matheson (1990)	494 U.S. 775
Yellow Freight v. Donnelly (1990)	494 U.S. 820
Missouri v. Jenkins (1990)	495 U.S. 33
Osbourne v. Ohio (1990)	495 U.S. 103
California v. Am Stores (1990)	495 U.S. 271
West Side Board of Ed v. Mergens (1990)	496 U.S. 226
United States v. Eichman (1990)	496 U.S. 310
Michigan v. Sitz (1990)	496 U.S. 444
Wilder v. VA Hospital (1990)	496 U.S. 498
Pennsylvania v. Muniz (1990)	496 U.S. 582
Pension Benefit Guaranty v. LTV (1990)	496 U.S. 633
Rutan v. Republican Party of Illinois (1990)	497 U.S. 62
Cruzan v. Director (1990)	497 U.S. 261
Hodgson v. Minnesota (1990)	497 U.S. 417
Dhio v. Akron Center (1990)	497 U.S. 502
Metro Broadcasting v. FCC (1990)	497 U.S. 547
Idaho v. Wright (1990)	497 U.S. 805

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

# Table 2-12 (Continued)

20

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Maryland v. Craig (1990)	497 U.S. 836
Minnick v. Mississippi (1990)	498 U.S. 146
Board of Education v. McDowell (1991)	498 U.S. 237
McNary v. Haitian Refugee Center (1991)	498 U.S. 479
Pacific Mutual v. Haslip (1991)	499 U.S. 1
UAW v. Johnson Controls (1991)	499 U.S. 187
Arizona v. Fulimante (1991)	499 U.S. 279
Powers v. Ohio (1991)	499 U.S. 400
McCleskey v. Zant (1991)	499 U.S. 467
American Hospital Association v. NLRB (1991)	499 U.S. 606
County of Riverside v. McLaughlin (1991)	500 U.S. 44
Rust v. Sullivan (1991)	500 U.S. 173
California v. Acevedo (1991)	500 U.S. 565
Edmonson v. Leesville Concrete (1991)	500 U.S. 614
Washington Airport v. Citizens (1991)	501 U.S. 252
Wilson v. Seiter (1991)	501 U.S. 294
Chisom v. Roemer (1991)	501 U.S. 380
Houston Lawyers v. Texas (1991)	501 U.S. 419
Masson v. New Yorker (1991)	501 U.S. 496
Barnes v. Glen Theatre (1991)	501 U.S. 560
Cohen v. Cowles Media (1991)	501 U.S. 663
Coleman v. Thompson (1991)	501 U.S. 722
Payne v. Tennessee (1991)	501 U.S. 808
Simon & Schuster v. New York Crime Board (1991)	502 U.S. 105
INS v. Doherty (1992)	502 U.S. 314
Presley v. Etowah County (1992)	502 U.S. 491
Hudson v. McMillian (1992)	503 U.S. 1
Franklin v. Gwinnett County Schools (1992)	503 U.S. 60
Freeman v. Pitts (1992)	503 U.S. 467
Jacobson v. United States (1992)	503 U.S. 540
Keeney v. Tamayo Reyes (1992)	504 U.S. 1
Quill Corp v. North Dakota (1992)	504 U.S. 298
Morales v. TWA (1992)	504 U.S. 374
United States v. Alvarez-Machain (1992)	504 U.S. 655
Georgia v. McCollum (1992)	505 U.S. 42
New York v. United States (1992)	505 U.S. 144
R.A.V. v. St. Paul (1992)	505 U.S. 377
Cipollone v. Liggett (1992)	505 U.S. 504
Lee v. Weisman (1992)	505 U.S. 577
Intl Society for Krishna v. Lee (1992)	505 U.S. 672

(Table continues)

#### **Table 2-12** (Continued)

20

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Inited States v. Fordice (1992)	505 U.S. 717
Planned Parenthood v. Casey (1992)	505 U.S. 833
Bray v. Alexandria Women's Health (1993)	506 U.S. 263
Herrera v. Collins (1993)	506 U.S. 390
Sullivan v. Louisiana (1993)	508 U.S. 275
Lamb's Chapel v. Center Moriches (1993)	508 U.S. 384
Wisconsin v. Mitchell (1993)	508 U.S. 476
Church of Lukumi v. Hialeah (1993)	508 U.S. 520
Zobrest v. Catalina (1993)	509 U.S. 1
Sale v. Haitian Center (1993)	509 U.S. 155
TXO Production v. Alliance (1993)	509 U.S. 443
St. Mary v. Hicks (1993)	509 U.S. 502
Alexander v. United States (1993)	509 U.S. 544
Austin v. United States (1993)	509 U.S. 602
Shaw v. Reno (1993)	509 U.S. 630
Harris v. Forklift Systems (1993)	510 U.S. 17
NOW v. Scheidler (1994)	510 U.S. 249
Campbell v. Acuff-Rose (1994)	510 U.S. 569
J.E.B. v. T.B. (1994)	511 U.S. 127
Central Bank v. First Interstate Bank (1994)	511 U.S. 164
Landgraf v. USI Film (1994)	511 U.S. 244
Rivers v. Roadway Express (1994)	511 U.S. 298
Chicago v. EDF (1994)	511 U.S. 328
Carbone v. Clarkstown (1994)	511 U.S. 383
Dalton v. Specter (1994)	511 U.S. 462
Ladue v. Gilleo (1994)	512 U.S. 43
New York v. Milhelm Attea (1994)	512 U.S. 61
Dolan v. Tigard (1994)	512 U.S. 374
Kiryas Joel v. Grumet (1994)	512 U.S. 687
Madsen v. Women's Health Center (1994)	512 U.S. 753
McKennon v. Nashville Banner (1995)	513 U.S. 352
United States v. Lopez (1995)	514 U.S. 549
City of Edmond v. Oxford House (1995)	514 U.S. 725
U.S. Term Limits v. Thornton (1995)	514 U.S. 779
Missouri v. Jenkins (1995)	515 U.S. 70
Adarand v. Pena (1995)	515 U.S. 200
Hurley v. Irish-American GLIB (1995)	515 U.S. 557
Vernonia School Dist v. Acton (1995)	515 U.S. 646
Rosenberger v. UVA (1995)	515 U.S. 819
Miller v. Johnson (1995)	515 U.S. 900
Wisconsin v. New York (1996)	517 U.S. 1
Seminole Tribe v. Florida (1996)	517 U.S. 44

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

#### **Table 2-12** (Continued)

**S**C

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
44 Liquormart v. Rhode Island (1996)	517 U.S. 484
BMW v. Gore (1996)	517 U.S. 559
Romer v. Evans (1996)	517 U.S. 620
Smiley v. Citibank (1996)	517 U.S. 735
Lockheed v. Spink (1996)	517 U.S. 882
Shaw v. Hunt (1996)	517 U.S. 899
Bush v. Vera (1996)	517 U.S. 952
Jaffee v. Redmond (1996)	518 U.S. 1
Brown v. Pro Football (1996)	518 U.S. 231
United States v. Ursery (1996)	518 U.S. 267
United States v. Virginia (1996)	518 U.S. 515
Felker v. Turpin (1996)	518 U.S. 651
Denver Area v. FCC (1996)	518 U.S. 727
United States v. Winstar (1996)	518 U.S. 839
M.L.B. v. S.L.J. (1996)	519 U.S. 102
Schenk v. Pro-Choice Network of NY (1997)	519 U.S. 357
Turner Broadcasting System v. FCC (1997)	520 U.S. 180
Clinton v. Jones (1997)	520 U.S. 681
Agostini v. Felton (1997)	521 U.S. 203
City of Boerne v. Flores (1997)	521 U.S. 507
Washington v. Glucksberg (1997)	521 U.S. 702
Vacco v. Quill (1997)	521 U.S. 793
Reno v. ACLU (1997)	521 U.S. 844
Printz v. United States (1997)	521 U.S. 898
State Oil Co. v. Kahn (1997)	522 U.S. 3
Oncale v. Sundowner Offshore Services (1998)	523 U.S. 75
New Jersey v. New York (1998)	523 U.S. 767
Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent School District (1998)	524 U.S. 274
Clinton v. City of New York (1998)	524 U.S. 417
Bragdon v. Abbott (1998)	524 U.S. 624
Burlington Industries v. Ellerth (1998)	524 U.S. 742
Faragher v. City of Boca Raton (1998)	524 U.S. 775
Buckley v. American Constitutional Law Foundation (1999)	525 U.S. 182
Dept. of Commerce v. U.S. House (1999)	525 U.S. 316
Cedar Rapids Community School District v. Garret F. (1999)	526 U.S. 66
Saenz v. Roe (1999)	526 U.S. 489
	526 U.S. 629
Davis v. Monroe County Board of Ed. (1999)	020 0.0. 02
Davis v. Monroe County Board of Ed. (1999) City of Chicago v. Morales (1999)	527 U.S. 41

(Table continues)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

### Table 2-12 (Continued)

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Sutton v. United Airlines, Inc. (1999)	527 U.S. 471
Murphy v. United Parcel Service (1999)	527 U.S. 516
Albertsons, Inc. v. Kirkingburg (1999)	527 U.S. 555
College Savings Bank v. Florida Prepaid Postsecondary Ed. Expense Board (1999)	2 527 U.S. 627
Florida Prepaid Postsecondary Ed. Expense Board v. College Savings Bank (1999)	527 U.S. 666
Alden v. Maine (1999)	527 U.S. 706
Kimel v. Florida Board of Regents (2000)	528 U.S. 62
Illinois v. Wardlow (2000)	528 U.S. 119
Nixon v. Shrink Missouri Gov. PAC (2000)	528 U.S. 377
FDA v. Brown and Williamson Tobacco (2000)	529 U.S. 120
Board of Regents of Univ. of Wisconsin v. Southworth (2000)	529 U.S. 217
Florida v. J.L. (2000)	529 U.S. 266
City of Erie v. Pap's A.M. (2000)	529 U.S. 277
Williams v. Taylor (2000)	529 U.S. 362
United States v. Morrison (2000)	529 U.S. 598
United States v. Playboy Entertainment Group (2000)	529 U.S. 803
Troxel v. Granville (2000)	530 U.S. 57
Pegram v. Herdrich (2000)	530 U.S. 211
Sante Fe Independent School District v. Doe (2000)	530 U.S. 290
Dickerson v. United States (2000)	530 U.S. 428
Boy Scouts of America v. Dale (2000)	530 U.S. 640
Stenberg v. Carhart (2000)	530 U.S. 914
City of Indianapolis v. Edmond (2000)	531 U.S. 32
Bush v. Gore (2000)	531 U.S. 98
Alabama v. Garrett (2001)	531 U.S. 356
Whitman v. American Trucking Associations (2001)	531 U.S. 457
Legal Services Corporation v. Velazquez (2001)	531 U.S. 533
Ferguson v. Charleston (2001)	532 U.S. 67
Easley v. Cromartie (2001)	532 U.S. 234
Atwater v. City of Lago Vista (2001)	532 U.S. 318
<i>United States v. Oakland Cannabis Buyers' Cooperative (2001)</i>	532 U.S. 483
PGA Tour v. Martin (2001)	532 U.S. 661
Penry v. Johnson (2001)	532 U.S. 782
Kyllo v. United States (2001)	533 U.S. 27
Good News Club v. Milford Central School (2001)	533 U.S. 98
Immigration and Naturalization Service v. St. Cyr (2001)	533 U.S. 289
New York Times v. Tasini (2001)	533 U.S. 483
Lorillard Tobacco v. Reilly (2001)	533 U.S. 525
Zadvydas v. Davis (2001)	533 U.S. 678
Toyota Motor Manufacturing Inc. v. Williams (2002)	534 U.S. 184

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

# Table 2-12 (Continued)

20

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Kansas v. Crane (2002)	534 U.S. 407
Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition (2002)	535 U.S. 234
Tahoe-Sierra Preservation Council v. Tahoe Regional Planning	535 U.S. 302
Agency (2002)	
Federal Maritime Commission v. South Carolina Ports Authority (2002)	535 U.S. 743
Atkins v. Virginia (2002)	536 U.S. 304
Rush Prudential H.M.O. Inc. v. Moran (2002)	536 U.S. 355
Ring v. Arizona (2002)	536 U.S. 584
Zelman v. Simmons-Harris (2002)	536 U.S. 639
Board of Education v. Earls (2002)	536 U.S. 822
Miller-El v. Cockrell (2003)	537 U.S. 322
Ewing v. California (2003)	538 U.S. 11
Lockyer v. Andrade (2003)	538 U.S. 63
Virginia v. Black (2003)	538 U.S. 343
Denmore v. Kim (2003)	538 U.S. 510
Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America v. Walsh (2003)	538 U.S. 644
Nevada Department of Human Resources v. Hibbs (2003)	538 U.S. 721
Sell v. United States (2003)	539 U.S. 166
United States v. American Library Association (2003)	539 U.S. 194
Gratz v. Bollinger (2003)	539 U.S. 244
Grutter v. Bollinger (2003)	539 U.S. 306
Lawrence v. Texas (2003)	539 U.S. 558
McConnell v. Federal Election Commission (2003)	540 U.S. 93
Locke v. Davey (2004)	540 U.S. 712
Elk Grove Unified School District v. Newdow (2004)	542 U.S. 1
Aetna Health Inc. v. Davila (2004)	542 U.S. 200
Blakely v. Washington (2004)	542 U.S. 296
Hamdi v. Rumsfeld (2004)	542 U.S. 507
Ashcroft v. American Civil Liberties Union (2004)	542 U.S. 656
United States v. Booker (2005)	543 U.S. 220
Roper v. Simmons (2005)	543 U.S. 551
Jackson v. Birmingham Board of Education (2005)	544 U.S. 167
Smith v. City of Jackson (2005)	544 U.S. 228
Granholm v. Heald (2005)	544 U.S. 460
Arthur Andersen v. United States (2005)	544 U.S. 696
Gonzales v. Raich (2005)	545 U.S. 1
Miller-El v. Dretke (2005)	545 U.S. 231
Kelo v. City of New London (2005)	545 U.S. 469

(Table continues)

#### **Table 2-12** (Continued)

20

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
McCreary County v. American Civil Liberties Union (2005)	545 U.S. 844
Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inv. v. Grokster Ltd. (2005)	545 U.S. 913
Van Orden v. Perry (2005)	545 U.S. 677
Gonzales v. Oregon (2006)	546 U.S. 243
Ayotte v. Planned Parenthood (2006)	546 U.S. 320
Rumsfeld v. Forum for Academic and Institutional Rights (2006)	547 U.S. 47
Hill v. McDonough (2006)	547 U.S. 573
Rapanos v. United States (2006)	547 U.S. 715
Randall v. Sorrell (2006)	548 U.S. 230
League of United Latin American Citizens v. Perry (2006)	548 U.S. 399
Hamdan v. Rumsfeld (2006)	548 U.S. 557
Massachusetts v. EPA (2007)	549 U.S. 497
Gonzales v. Carhart (2007)	550 U.S. 124
Ledbetter v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. (2007)	550 U.S. 618
Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd. (2007)	551 U.S. 308
FEC v. Wisconsin Right to Life (2007)	551 U.S. 449
Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School	551 U.S. 701
Dist. No. 1 (2007)	
Gall v. United States (2007)	552 U.S. 38
Kimbrough v. United States (2007)	552 U.S. 85
Riegel v. Medtronic Inc. (2008)	552 U.S. 312
Baze v. Rees (2008)	553 U.S. 35
CBOCS West, Inc. v. Humphries (2008)	553 U.S. 442
Gomez-Perez v. Potter (2008)	553 U.S. 474
Boumediene v. Bush (2008)	553 U.S. 723
Kennedy v. Louisiana (2008)	554 U.S. 407
District of Columbia v. Heller (2008)	554 U.S. 570
Wyeth v. Levine (2009)	555 U.S. 555
Barlett v. Strickland (2009)	556 U.S. 1
Caperton v. A. T. Massey Coal Company (2009)	556 U.S. 868
District Attorney's Office v. Osborne (2009)	557 U.S. 52
Northwest Austin Municipal Utility District No. 1 v. Holder (2009)	
Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts (2009)	557 U.S. 305
Ricci v. DeStefano (2009)	557 U.S. 557
Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010)	558 U.S. 310
United States v. Stevens (2010)	559 U.S. 460
Graham v. Florida (2010)	560 U.S. 48
Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project (2010)	561 U.S. 1
Skilling v. United States (2010)	561 U.S. 358
Black v. United States (2010)	561 U.S. 465
McDonald v. Chicago (2010)	561 U.S. 742

#### **Table 2-12** (Continued)

 $\mathcal{C}$ 

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Brown v. Plata (2011)	563 U.S. 493
Wal-Mart Stores v. Dukes (2011)	564 U.S. 338
Brown v. Entertainment Merchants Association (2011)	564 U.S. 786
Hosanna-Tabor Church v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (2012)	565 U.S. 171
Lafler v. Cooper (2012)	566 U.S. 156
Missouri v. Frye (2012)	566 U.S. 134
Florence v. County of Burlington (2012)	566 U.S. 318
Perry v. Perez (2012)	565 U.S. 388
United States v. Jones (2012)	565 U.S. 400
National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius (2012)	567 U.S. 519
Arizona v. United States (2012)	567 U.S. 387
Miller v. Alabama (2012)	567 U.S. 460
Clapper v. Amnesty International (2013)	568 U.S. 398
Maryland v. King (2013)	569 U.S. 435
Association for Molecular Pathology v. Myriad Genetics (2013)	569 U.S. 576
Arizona v. Inter Tribal Council of Arizona (2013)	570 U.S. 1
Fisher v. University of Texas (2013)	570 U.S. 297
Shelby County v. Holder (2013)	570 U.S. 529
United States v. Windsor (2013)	570 U.S. 744
Hollingsworth v. Perry (2013)	570 U.S. 693
McCutcheon v. Federal Election Commission (2014)	572 U.S. 185
Schuette v. Coalition to Defend Affirmative Action (2014)	572 U.S. 291
Town of Greece v. Galloway (2014)	572 U.S. 565
Hall v. Florida (2014)	572 U.S. 701
Utility Air Regulatory Group v. Environmental Protection	573 U.S. 302
Agency (2014)	0.0 0.0.002
Riley v. California (2014)	573 U.S. 373
McCullen v. Coakley (2014)	573 U.S. 464
National Labor Relations Board v. Noel Canning (2014)	573 U.S. 513
Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores (2014)	573 U.S. 682
Zivotofsky v. Kerry (2015)	576 U.S. 1
King v. Burwell (2015)	576 U.S. 473
Obergefell v. Hodges (2015)	576 U.S. 644
Glossip v. Gross (2015)	576 U.S. 863
Evenwel v. Abbott (2016)	136 S. Ct. 1120
Fisher v. University of Texas at Austin (2016)	136 S. Ct. 2198
Zubik v. Burwell (2016)	136 S. Ct. 1557
Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt (2016)	136 S. Ct. 2292
McDonnell v. United States (2016)	136 S. Ct. 2355

(Table continues)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

#### Table 2-12(Continued)

Case name (year of decision)	Cite
Murphy v. National Collegiate Athletic Association (2018)	138 S. Ct. 1461
Masterpiece Cakeshop v. Colorado Civil Rights Commission (2018)	138 S. Ct. 1719
Husted v. A. Philip Randolph Institute (2018)	138 S. Ct. 1833
South Dakota v. Wayfair (2018)	138 S. Ct. 2080
Carpenter v. United States (2018)	138 S. Ct. 2206
National Institute of Family and Life Advocates v. Becerra (2018)	138 S. Ct. 2361
Frump, President v. Hawaii (2018)	138 S. Ct. 2392
<i>Ianus v. Am. Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees</i>	138 S. Ct. 2448
(2018)	
Apple Inc. v. Pepper (2019)	139 S. Ct. 1514
lowers v. Mississippi (2019)	139 S. Ct. 2228
Lucho v. Common Cause (2019)	139 S. Ct. 2484
Telly v. United States (2020)	140 S. Ct. 1565
ostock v. Clayton County, Georgia (2020)	140 S. Ct. 1731
PHS v. Regents of the University of California (2020)	140 S. Ct. 1891
une Medical Services v. Russo (2020)	140 S. Ct. 2103
spinoza v. Montana Department of Revenue (2020)	140 S. Ct. 2246
Chiafalo v. Washington (2020)	140 S. Ct. 2316
ittle Sisters of the Poor v. Pennsylvania (2020)	140 S. Ct. 2367
Frump v. Vance (2020)	140 S. Ct. 2412
McGirt v. Oklahoma (2020)	140 S. Ct. 2452
Frump v. Mazars USA (2020)	140 S. Ct. 2019

*Note:* Cases in this table (1) led to a story on the front page of the *New York Times* on the day after the Court handed it down, (2) were the lead ("headlined") cases in the story, and (3) were orally argued and decided with an opinion. Data for the 1937–1945 terms *exclude orally argued per curiams*. For more details, see Lee Epstein and Jeffrey A. Segal, "Measuring Issue Salience," *American Journal of Political Science* 44 (2000): 66–83.

*Source:* The Supreme Court Database, available at http://supremecourtdatabase.org (under Supplementary Data). We are very grateful to Paul M. Collins Jr. for helping to update the data.

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

## Table 2-13Cases Incorporating Provisions of the Bill of Rights into the<br/>Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment

Provision	Case	. (7)
First Amendment		
Freedom of Speech	Gitlow v. New York, 268 U.S. 652 (1925)	
Freedom of Press	Near v. Minnesota, 283 U.S. 697 (1931)	
Freedom of Assembly	DeJonge v. Oregon, 299 U.S. 353 (1937)	
Freedom of Petition	Hague v. CIO, 307 U.S. 496 (1939)	
Free Exercise of Religion	<i>Cantwell v. Connecticut,</i> 310 U.S. 296 (1940)	
Establishment of Religion	Everson v. Board of Education, 330 U.S. 1 (1947)	
Second Amendment	McDonald v. City of Chicago, 561 U.S. 742 894 (2010)	
Fourth Amendment		
Unreasonable Search and Seizure	Wolf v. Colorado, 338 U.S. 25 (1949)	
Exclusionary Rule	Mapp v. Ohio, 367 U.S. 643 (1961)	
Warrant Requirement	Aguilar v. Texas, 378 U.S. 108 (1964)	
	G	
Fifth Amendment		
Just Compensation	Chicago, Burlington and Quincy R. Co. v.	
	<i>Chicago</i> , 166 U.S. 226 (1897)	
Self-incrimination	Malloy v. Hogan, 378 U.S. 1 (1964)	
Double Jeopardy	Benton v. Maryland, 395 U.S. 784 (1969)	
When jeopardy attaches	Crist v. Bretz, 437 U.S. 28 (1978)	
Sixth Amendment		
Public Trial	In re Oliver, 333 U.S. 257 (1948)	
Due Notice	Cole v. Arkansas, 333 U.S. 196 (1948)	
Right to Counsel (felonies)	Gideon v. Wainwright, 372 U.S. 335	
	(1963)	
Confrontation and	Pointer v. Texas, 380 U.S. 400 (1965)	
Cross-examination of		
adverse witnesses		
Speedy Trial	Klopfer v. North Carolina, 386 U.S. 213	
Speedy man	(1967)	
Compulsory process to obtain	Washington v. Texas, 388 U.S. 14 (1967)	
witnesses	(1907)	
Jury Trial (criminal cases)	Duncan v. Louisiana, 391 U.S. 145 (1968)	
Right to Counsel (misdemeanor	Argersinger v. Hamlin, 407 U.S. 25 (1972)	
when jail is possible)		
Unanimous jury verdict (for serious crimes)	Ramos v. Louisiana, 140 S.Ct. 1390 (2020)	
,	(Table continues)	
	(Table continues)	

×C'

Provision	Case
Eighth Amendment	×(
Cruel and Unusual Punishment	Louisiana ex rel. Francis v. Resweber, 329
	U.S. 459 (1947)
Excessive Bail	Schilb v. Kuebel, 404 U.S. 357 (1971)
Excessive Fines	<i>Timbs v. Indiana,</i> 139 S. Ct. 682 (2019)
Ninth Amendment	
Privacy <sup>a</sup>	Griswold v. Connecticut, 381 U.S. 479 (1965)

<sup>a</sup>The word *privacy* does not appear in the Ninth Amendment (nor anywhere in the text of the Constitution). In *Griswold*, several members of the Court viewed the Ninth Amendment as guaranteeing (and incorporating) that right.

*Note:* Provisions the Court has not incorporated: Third Amendment right against quartering soldiers, Fifth Amendment right to a grand jury hearing, and the Seventh Amendment right to a jury trial in civil cases.

*Sources: McDonald v. City of Chicago* (2010), notes 12 and 13; Lee Epstein, Kevin McGuire, and Thomas G. Walker, *Constitutional Law for a Changing America: Rights, Liberties, and Justice,* 11th ed. (Washington, D.C.: CQ Press, 2021).

## Table 2-14Supreme Court Decisions Holding Acts of Congress<br/>Unconstitutional in Whole or in Part, 1791–2019 Terms

#### Marshall Court (January 27, 1801–March 14, 1836)

Marbury v. Madison, 1 Cr. (5 U.S.) 137 (1803)

Taney Court (March 15, 1836–December 5, 1864)

Scott v. Sandford, 19 How. (60 U.S.) 393 (1857)

#### Chase Court (December 6, 1864–January 20, 1874)

*Gordon v. United States*, 2 Wall. (69 U.S.) 561 (1865). (Case was dismissed without opinion; the grounds upon which this decision was made were stated in a posthumous opinion by Chief Justice Taney printed in the appendix to volume 117 U.S. 697.)

Ex parte Garland, 4 Wall. (71 U.S.) 333 (1867)

Reichart v. Felps, 6 Wall. (73 U.S.) 160 (1868)

The Alicia, 7 Wall. (74 U.S.) 571 (1869)

*Hepburn v. Griswold*, 8 Wall. (75 U.S.) 603 (1870), overruled in *Knox v. Lee* (Legal Tender Cases), 12 Wall. (79 U.S.) 457 (1871)

*The Justices v. Murray*, 9 Wall. (76 U.S.) 274 (1870) *United States v. Dewitt*, 9 Wall. (76 U.S.) 41 (1870) *United States v. Klein*, 13 Wall. (80 U.S.) 128 (1872)

#### Waite Court (January 21, 1874–July 19, 1888)

United States v. Reese, 92 U.S. 214 (1876) United States v. Fox, 95 U.S. 670 (1878) Trade-Mark Cases, 100 U.S. 82 (1879) United States v. Harris, 106 U.S. 629 (1883) Civil Rights Cases, 109 U.S. 3 (1883) Boyd v. United States, 116 U.S. 616 (1886) Callan v. Wilson, 127 U.S. 540 (1888)

#### Fuller Court (July 20, 1888–December 11, 1910)

Monongahela Navigation Co. v. United States, 148 U.S. 312 (1893)
Pollock v. Farmers' Loan & Trust Co., 157 U.S. 429 (1895), and rehearing, 158 U.S. 601 (1895)
Wong Wing v. United States, 163 U.S. 228 (1896)
Kirby v. United States, 174 U.S. 47 (1899)
Jones v. Meehan, 175 U.S. 1 (1899)
Fairbank v. United States, 181 U.S. 283 (1901)
James v. Bowman, 190 U.S. 127 (1903)
Matter of Heff, 197 U.S. 488 (1905), overruled in United States v. Nice, 241 U.S. 591 (1916)
Rassmussen v. United States, 203 U.S. 1 (1906), overruled in Jones v. Alfred H. Mayer Co., 392 U.S. 409 (1968)

(Table continues)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

#### Table 2-14 (Continued)

The Employers' Liability Cases, 207 U.S. 463 (1908) (The act was upheld as to the District of Columbia in Hyde v. Southern Ry., 31 App. D.C. 466 [1908], and, as to the territories, in El Paso & N.E. Ry. v. Gutierrez, 215 U.S. 87 [1909].) Adair v. United States, 208 U.S. 161 (1908) Keller v. United States, 213 U.S. 138 (1909) United States v. Evans, 213 U.S. 297 (1909) White Court (December 12, 1910–June 29, 1921) *Coyle v. Smith*, 221 U.S. 559 (1911) Muskrat v. United States, 219 U.S. 346 (1911) Choate v. Trapp, 224 U.S. 665 (1912) United States v. Hvoslef, 237 U.S. 1 (1915) Thames & Mersey Marine Ins. Co. v. United States, 237 U.S. 19 (1915 Hammer v. Dagenhart, 247 U.S. 251 (1918) Eisner v. Macomber, 252 U.S. 189 (1920) Knickerbocker Ice Co. v. Stewart, 253 U.S. 149 (1920) Evans v. Gore, 253 U.S. 245 (1920), overruled by O'Malley v. Woodrough, 307 U.S. 277 (1939) United States v. Cohen Grocery Co., 255 U.S. 81 (1921) Weeds, Inc. v. United States, 255 U.S. 109 (1921) Newberry v. United States, 256 U.S. 232 (1921), overruled in United States v. Classic, 313 U.S. 299 (1941) Taft Court (June 30, 1921–February 12, 1930)

United States v. Moreland, 258 U.S. 433 (1922) Bailey v. Drexel Furniture Co. (Child Labor Tax Case), 259 U.S. 20 (1922) Hill v. Wallace, 259 U.S. 44 (1922) Keller v. Potomac Electric Co., 261 U.S. 428 (1923) Adkins v. Children's Hospital, 261 U.S. 525 (1923), overruled in West Coast Hotel Co. v. Parrish, 300 U.S. 379 (1937) Washington v. Dawson & Co., 264 U.S. 219 (1924) Miles v. Graham, 268 U.S. 501 (1925), overruled by O'Malley v. Woodrough, 307 U.S. 277 (1939) Trusler v. Crooks, 269 U.S. 475 (1926) Myers v. United States, 272 U.S. 52 (1926) Nichols v. Coolidge, 274 U.S. 531 (1927) Untermeyer v. Anderson, 276 U.S. 440 (1928) National Life Insurance v. United States, 277 U.S. 508 (1928)

#### Hughes Court (February 13, 1930–June 11, 1941)

Heiner v. Donnan, 285 U.S. 312 (1932) Booth v. United States, 291 U.S. 339 (1934) Lynch v. United States, 292 U.S. 571 (1934) Perry v. United States, 294 U.S. 330 (1935) Panama Refining Co. v. Ryan, 293 U.S. 388 (1935)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

Railroad Retirement Board v. Alton Railroad Co., 295 U.S. 330 (1935) Schechter Corporation v. United States, 295 U.S. 495 (1935) Louisville Bank v. Radford, 295 U.S. 555 (1935) United States v. Constantine, 296 U.S. 287 (1935) Hopkins Savings Association v. Cleary, 296 U.S. 315 (1935) United States v. Butler, 297 U.S. 1 (1936) Rickert Rice Mills v. Fontenot, 297 U.S. 110 (1936) Ashton v. Cameron County District, 298 U.S. 513 (1936) Carter v. Carter Coal Co., 298 U.S. 238 (1936) Stone Court (June 12, 1941–June 19, 1946) Tot v. United States, 319 U.S. 463 (1943) United States v. Lovett, 328 U.S. 303 (1946) Vinson Court (June 20, 1946–September 29, 1953) United States v. Cardiff, 344 U.S. 174 (1952) Warren Court (September 30, 1953–June 8, 1969) Bolling v. Sharpe, 347 U.S. 497 (1954) Toth v. Quarles, 350 U.S. 11 (1955) Reid v. Covert, 354 U.S. 1 (1957) Trop v. Dulles, 356 U.S. 86 (1958) Kinsella v. United States, ex rel. Guagliardo 361 U.S. 234 (1960) Grisham v. Hagan, 361 U.S. 278 (1960) McElroy v. United States, ex rel. Guagliardo 361 U.S. 281 (1960) Kennedy v. Mendoza-Martinez, 372 U.S. 144 (1963) Schneider v. Rusk, 377 U.S. 163 (1964) Aptheker v. Secretary of State, 378 U.S. 500 (1964) Lamont v. Postmaster General, 381 U.S. 301 (1965) United States v. Brown, 381 U.S. 437 (1965) Albertson v. Subversive Activities Control Board, 382 U.S. 70 (1965) United States v. Romano, 382 U.S. 136 (1965) Afroyim v. Rusk, 387 U.S. 253 (1967) United States v. Robel, 389 U.S. 258 (1967) Marchetti v. United States, 390 U.S. 39 (1968) Grosso v. United States, 390 U.S. 62 (1968) Haynes v. United States, 390 U.S. 85 (1968) United States v. Jackson, 390 U.S. 570 (1968) Pope v. United States, 392 U.S. 651 (1968) Shapiro v. Thompson, 394 U.S. 618 (1969) *Leary v. United States*, 395 U.S. 6 (1969) O'Callahan v. Parker, 395 U.S. 258 (1969), overruled in Solorio v. United States, 483 U.S. 435 (1987)

(Table continues)

Table 2-14(Continued)

U.S. 115 (1989)

Burger Court (June 9, 1969–September 16, 1986) Turner v. United States, 396 U.S. 398 (1970) Schacht v. United States, 398 U.S. 58 (1970) Oregon v. Mitchell, 400 U.S. 112 (1970) Blount v. Rizzi, 400 U.S. 410 (1971) United States v. United States Coin & Currency, 401 U.S. 715 (1971) Tilton v. Richardson, 403 U.S. 672 (1971) Chief of Capitol Police v. Jeanette Rankin Brigade, 409 U.S. 972 (1972) Richardson v. Davis, 409 U.S. 1069 (1972) Frontiero v. Richardson, 411 U.S. 677 (1973) U.S. Dept. of Agriculture v. Murry, 413 U.S. 508 (1973) U.S. Dept. of Agriculture v. Moreno, 413 U.S. 528 (1973) Jiminez v. Weinberger, 417 U.S. 628 (1974) Weinberger v. Wiesenfeld, 420 U.S. 636 (1975) Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1 (1976) Hampton v. Mow Sun Wong, 426 U.S. 88 (1976) National League of Cities v. Usery, 426 U.S. 833 (1976), overruled in Garcia v. SAMTA, 469 U.S. 528 (1985) Califano v. Goldfarb, 430 U.S. 199 (1977) Califano v. Silbowitz, 430 U.S. 934 (1977) Railroad Retirement Bd. v. Kalina, 431 U.S. 909 (1977) Marshall v. Barlow's, Inc., 436 U.S. 307 (1978) Califano v. Westcott, 443 U.S. 76 (1979) United States v. Will, 449 U.S. 200 (1980) Railroad Labor Executives' Assn. v. Gibbons, 455 U.S. 457 (1982) Northern Pipeline Construction Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co., 458 U.S. 50 (1982) United States v. Grace, 461 U.S. 171 (1983) Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Chadha, 462 U.S. 919 (1983) Bolger v. Youngs Drug Products Corp., 463 U.S. 60 (1983) Process Gas Consumers Group v. Consumer Energy Council, 463 U.S. 1216 (1983) U.S. Senate v. Federal Trade Commission, 463 U.S. 1216 (1983) Federal Election Commission v. League of Women Voters, 468 U.S. 364 (1984) Regan v. Time, Inc., 468 U.S. 641 (1984) Federal Election Commission v. National Conservative Political Action Committee, 470 U.S. 480 (1985) Bowsher v. Synar, 478 U.S. 714 (1986) Rehnquist Court (September 17, 1986–September 29, 2005) Federal Election Commission v. Massachusetts Citizens for Life, 479 U.S. 238 (1986) Hodel v. Irving, 481 U.S. 704 (1987) Boos v. Barry, 485 U.S. 312 (1988) Sable Communications of California, Inc. v. Federal Communications Commission, 492

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

United States v. Eichman, 496 U.S. 310 (1990) Metro Washington Airports Authority v. Citizens for Noise Abatement, 501 U.S. 252 (1991) New York v. United States, 505 U.S. 144 (1992) United States v. National Treasury Employees Union, 513 U.S. 454 (1995) Plaut v. Spendthrift Farm, Inc., 514 U.S. 211 (1995) Rubin v. Coors Brewing Co., 514 U.S. 476 (1995) United States v. Lopez, 514 U.S. 549 (1995) Seminole Tribe of Florida v. Florida, 517 U.S. 44 (1996) United States v. IBM Corp., 517 U.S. 843 (1996) Colorado Republican Federal Campaign Committee v. Federal Election Commission, 518 U.S. 604 (1996) Denver Area Educational Telecommunications Consortium v. Federal Communications Commission, 518 U.S. 727 (1996) Babbitt v. Youpee, 519 U.S. 234 (1997) City of Boerne v. Flores, 521 U.S. 507 (1997) Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union, 521 U.S. 844 (199 Printz v. United States, 521 U.S. 898 (1997) United States v. United States Shoe Corp., 523 U.S. 360 (1998) United States v. Bajakajian, 524 U.S. 321 (1998) Feltner v. Columbia Pictures Television, 523 U.S. 340 (1998) Clinton v. City of New York, 524 U.S. 417 (1998) Eastern Enterprises v. Apfel, 524 U.S. 498 (1998) Greater New Orleans Broadcasting Assn. v. United States, 527 U.S. 173 (1999) Alden v. Maine, 527 U.S. 706 (1999) Florida Education Expense Board v. College Savings Bank, 527 U.S. 627 (1999) College Savings Bank v. Florida Education Expense Board, 527 U.S. 666 (1999) Kimel v. Florida Board of Regents, 528 U.S. 62 (2000) United States v. Morrison, 529 U.S. 598 (2000) United States v. Playboy Entertainment Group, 529 U.S. 803 (2000) Dickerson v. United States, 530 U.S. 428 (2000) Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett, 531 U.S. 356 (2001) Legal Services Corp. v. Velazquez, 531 U.S. 533 (2001) Bartnicki v. Vopper, 532 U.S. 514 (2001) United States v. Hatter, 532 U.S. 557 (2001) United States v. United Foods, Inc., 533 U.S. 405 (2001) Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition, 535 U.S. 234 (2002) Thompson v. Western States Medical Center, 535 U.S. 357 (2002) McConnell v. Federal Election Commission, 540 U.S. 93 (2003) United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. 220 (2005)

#### Roberts Court (September 30, 2005–2013 Term)

*Federal Election Commission v. Wisconsin Right to Life*, 551 U.S. 449 (2007) *Boumediene v. Bush*, 553 U.S. 723 (2008)

(Table continues)



Davis v. FEC, 554 U.S. 724 (2008) Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission, 558 U.S. 310 (2010) United States v. Stevens, 559 U.S. 460 (2010) Free Enterprise Fund v. Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, 561 U.S. 477 (2010) Pepper v. United States, 562 U.S. 476 (2011) Stern v. Marshall, 564 U.S. 462 (2011) Hosanna-Tabor Evangelical Lutheran Church and School v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 565 U.S. 171 (2012) Coleman v. Court of Appeals of Maryland, 566 U.S. 30 (2012) United States v. Alvarez, 567 U.S. 709 (2012) National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius, 567 U.S. 519 (2012) Agency for International Development v. Alliance for Open Society Int'l, 570 U.S. 205 (2013) Shelby County v. Holder, 570 U.S. 529 (2013) United States v. Windsor, 570 U.S. 744 (2013) McCutcheon v. Federal Election Commission, 572 U.S. 185 (2014) Zivotofsky v. Kerry, 576 U.S. 1 (2015) Johnson v. United States, 576 U.S. 591 (2015) Horne v. Department of Agriculture, 576 U.S. 351 (2015) Sessions v. Morales-Santana, 137 S. Ct. 1678 (2017) Matal v. Tam, 137 S. Ct. 1744 (2017) Sessions v. Dimaya, 138 S. Ct. 1204 (2018) Murphy v. National Collegiate Athletic Association, 138 S. Ct. 1461 (2018) United States v. Davis, 139 S. Ct. 2319 (2019) Iancu v. Brunetti, 139 S. Ct. 2294 (2019) Allen v. Cooper, 140 S. Ct. 994 (2020) Barr v. American Association of Political Consultants, Inc., 140 S. Ct. 2335 (2020) Seila Law LLC v. Consumer Financial Protection Board, 140 S. Ct. 2183 (2020)

*Note:* Researchers should use these data with caution because the determination of which decisions have voided acts of Congress is not a clear-cut matter. The Congressional Research Service's *The Constitution of the United States of America*, the source for this table, explains its criteria here: https://constitution.congress.gov/browse/essay/appx\_1.

*Sources:* Congressional Research Service, *The Constitution of the United States of America: Analysis and Interpretation*, 2287–2336. That edition covered cases decided through July 1, 2014. The update (through the 2018 term) is available at https://constitution.congress.gov/resources/unconstitutional-laws. Post-2019 has been updated by the authors.

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

# Table 2-15Supreme Court Decisions Holding State Constitutional<br/>and Statutory Provisions and Municipal Ordinances<br/>Unconstitutional on Their Face or as Administered,<br/>1791–2019 Terms

### Marshall Court (January 27, 1801–March 14, 1836)

United States v. Peters, 5 Cranch (9 U.S.) 115 (1809) Fletcher v. Peck, 6 Cranch (10 U.S.) 87 (1810) New Jersey v. Wilson, 7 Cranch (11 U.S.) 164 (1812) Terrett v. Taylor, 9 Cranch (13 U.S.) 43 (1815) Sturges v. Crowninshield, 4 Wheat. (17 U.S.) 122 (1819) McMillan v. McNeil, 4 Wheat. (17 U.S.) 209 (1819) McCulloch v. Maryland, 4 Wheat. (17 U.S.) 316 (1819) Dartmouth College v. Woodward, 4 Wheat. (17 U.S.) 518 (1819) Farmers' & Mechanics' Bank v. Smith, 6 Wheat. (19 U.S.) 131 (1812) Green v. Biddle, 8 Wheat. (21 U.S.) 1 (1823) Osborn v. Bank of the United States, 9 Wheat. (22 U.S.) 738 (1824) *Ogden v. Saunders*, 12 Wheat. (25 U.S.) 213 (1827) Brown v. Maryland, 12 Wheat. (25 U.S.) 419 (1827) Weston v. City Council of Charleston, 2 Pet. (27 U.S.) 449 (1829) Craig v. Missouri, 4 Pet. (29 U.S.) 410 (1830) Boyle v. Zacharie & Turner, 6 Pet. (31 U.S.) 635 (1832)

#### Taney Court (March 15, 1836–December 5, 1864)

Dobbins v. The Commissioners of Erie County, 16 Pet. (41 U.S.) 435 (1842) Bronson v. Kinzie, 1 How. (42 U.S.) 311 (1843) *McCracken v. Hayward*, 2 How. (43 U.S.) 608 (1844) Gordon v. Appeal Tax Court, 3 How. (44 U.S.) 133 (1845) Planters' Bank v. Sharp, 6 How. (47 U.S.) 301 (1848) Passenger Cases, 7 How. (48 U.S.) 283 (1849) Woodruff v. Trapnall, 10 How. (51 U.S.) 190 (1851) Achison v. Huddleson, 12 How. (53 U.S.) 293 (1852) Trustees for Vincennes University v. Indiana, 14 How. (55 U.S.) 269 (1853) Curran v. Arkansas, 15 How. (56 U.S.) 304 (1854) State Bank of Ohio v. Knoop, 16 How. (57 U.S.) 369 (1854) Hays v. The Pacific Mail Steamship Co., 17 How. (58 U.S.) 596 (1855) Dodge v. Woolsey, 18 How. (59 U.S.) 331 (1856) Almy v. California, 24 How. (65 U.S.) 169 (1861) *Howard v. Bugbee*, 24 How. (65 U.S.) 461 (1861) Bank of Commerce v. New York City, 2 Black (67 U.S.) 620 (1863)

#### Chase Court (December 6, 1864–January 20, 1874)

Bank Tax Case, 2 Wall. (69 U.S.) 244 (1865) Hawthorne v. Calef, 2 Wall. (69 U.S.) 10 (1865) The Binghamton Bridge, 3 Wall. (70 U.S.) 51 (1866) McGee v. Mathis, 4 Wall. (71 U.S.) 143 (1867)

(Table continues)

#### Table 2-15(Continued)

Cummings v. Missouri, 4 Wall. (71 U.S.) 277 (1867) Von Hoffman v. Quincy, 4 Wall. (71 U.S.) 535 (1867) Christmas v. Russell, 5 Wall. (72 U.S.) 290 (1867) Steamship Company v. Portwardens, 6 Wall. (73 U.S.) 31 (1867) Crandall v. Nevada, 6 Wall. (73 U.S.) 35 (1868) Northern Central Ry. Co. v. Jackson, 7 Wall. (74 U.S.) 262 (1869) Furman v. Nichol, 8 Wall. (75 U.S.) 44 (1869) Home of the Friendless v. Rouse, 8 Wall. (75 U.S.) 430 (1869); The Washington University v. Rouse, 8 Wall. (75 U.S.) 439 (1869) State Tonnage Tax Cases, 12 Wall. (79 U.S.) 204 (1871) Ward v. Maryland, 12 Wall. (79 U.S.) 418 (1871) Gibson v. Chouteau, 13 Wall. (80 U.S.) 92 (1872) Wilmington Railroad v. Reid, 13 Wall. (80 U.S.) 264 (1872) White v. Hart, 13 Wall. (80 U.S.) 646 (1872) accord: Osborne v. Nicholson, 13 Wall. (80 U.S.) 654 (1872) Delmas v. Insurance Company, 14 Wall. (81 U.S.) 661 (1872) Case of the State Freight Tax, 15 Wall. (82 U.S.) 232 (1873) State Tax on Foreign-Held Bonds, 15 Wall. (82 U.S.) 300 (1873) Gunn v. Barry, 15 Wall. (82 U.S.) 610 (1873) Pierce v. Carskadon, 16 Wall. (83 U.S.) 234 (1873) Humphrey v. Pegues, 16 Wall. (83 U.S.) 244 (1873) Walker v. Whitehead, 16 Wall. (83 U.S.) 314 (1873) Barings v. Dabney, 19 Wall. (86 U.S.) 1 (1873)

#### Waite Court (January 21, 1874–July 19, 1888)

Cannon v. New Orleans, 20 Wall. (87 U.S.) 577 (1874) Murray v. Charleston, 96 U.S. 432 (1874) Peete v. Morgan, 19 Wall. (86 U.S.) 581 (1874) Pacific Railroad Company v. Maguire, 20 Wall. (87 U.S.) 36 (1874) Insurance Company v. Morse, 20 Wall. (87 U.S.) 445 (1874) Loan Association v. Topeka, 20 Wall. (87 U.S.) 655 (1875) Wilmington & Weldon R. Co. v. King, 91 U.S. 3 (1875) Welton v. Missouri, 91 U.S. 275 (1876) Henderson v. Mayor of New York, 92 U.S. 259 (1876) Chy Lung v. Freeman, 92 U.S. 275 (1876) Morrill v. Wisconsin, 154 U.S. 626 (1877) Inman Steamship Co. v. Tinker, 94 U.S. 238 (1877) Foster v. Masters of New Orleans, 94 U.S. 246 (1877) New Jersey v. Yard, 95 U.S. 104 (1877) Hannibal & St. Joseph R. Co. v. Husen, 95 U.S. 465 (1878) Hall v. DeCuir, 95 U.S. 485 (1878) Farrington v. Tennessee, 95 U.S. 679 (1878) Edwards v. Kearzey, 96 U.S. 595 (1878) Keith v. Clark, 97 U.S. 454 (1878) Cook v. Pennsylvania, 97 U.S. 566 (1878) Northwestern University v. Illinois ex rel. Miller, 99 U.S. 309 (1878) Strauder v. West Virginia, 100 U.S. 303 (1880)

Table 2-15(Continued)

Guy v. Baltimore, 100 U.S. 434 (1880) Tiernan v. Rinker, 102 U.S. 123 (1880) Hartman v. Greenhow, 102 U.S. 672 (1880) Hall v. Wisconsin, 103 U.S. 5 (1880) Webber v. Virginia, 103 U.S. 344 (1881) United States ex rel. Wolff v. New Orleans, 103 U.S. 358 (1881) accord: Louisiana v. Pilsbury, 105 U.S. 278 (1881) Asylum v. New Orleans, 105 U.S. 362 (1881) Western Union Telegraph Co. v. Texas, 105 U.S. 460 (1881) Ralls County Court v. United States, 105 U.S. 733 (1881) City of Parkersburg v. Brown, 106 U.S. 487 (1882) New York v. Compagnie Gén. Transatlantique, 107 U.S. 59 (1882) Kring v. Missouri, 107 U.S. 221 (1883) Nelson v. St. Martin's Parish, 111 U.S. 716 (1884) Moran v. New Orleans, 112 U.S. 69 (1884) Cole v. La Grange, 113 U.S. 1 (1885) Gloucester Ferry Co. v. Pennsylvania, 114 U.S. 196 (1885) Virginia Coupon Cases, 114 U.S. 269 (1885) New Orleans Gas Co. v. Louisiana Light Co., 115 U.S. 650 (1885) New Orleans Water-Works Co. v. Rivers, 115 U.S. 674 (1885) Effinger v. Kenney, 115 U.S. 566 (1885) Louisville Gas Co. v. Citizens' Gas Co., 115 U.S. 683 (1885) Fisk v. Jefferson Police Jury, 116 U.S. 131 (1885) City of Mobile v. Watson, 116 U.S. 289 (1886) Walling v. Michigan, 116 U.S. 446 (1886) Royall v. Virginia, 116 U.S. 572 (1886) Pickard v. Pullman Southern Car Co., 117 U.S. 34 (1886) Van Brocklin v. Tennessee, 117 U.S. 151 (1886) Wabash, St. Louis & P. Ry. Co. v. Illinois, 118 U.S. 557 (1886) Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356 (1886) Robbins v. Shelby Taxing District, 120 U.S. 489 (1887) Corson v. Maryland, 120 U.S. 502 (1887) Barron v. Burnside, 121 U.S. 186 (1887) Fargo v. Michigan, 121 U.S. 230 (1887) Seibert v. Lewis, 122 U.S. 284 (1887) Philadelphia Steamship Co. v. Pennsylvania, 122 U.S. 326 (1887) Western Union Telegraph Co. v. Pendleton, 122 U.S. 347 (1887) Bowman v. Chicago & Nw. Ry. Co., 125 U.S. 465 (1888) California v. Pacific Railroad Co., 127 U.S. 1 (1888) Ratterman v. Western Union Tel. Co., 127 U.S. 411 (1888) Leloup v. Port of Mobile, 127 U.S. 640 (1888)

Fuller Court (July 20, 1888–December 11, 1910)

Asher v. Texas, 128 U.S. 129 (1888) Stoutenburgh v. Hennick, 129 U.S. 141 (1889)

(Table continues)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

Table 2-15(Continued)

Western Union Telegraph Co. v. Alabama, 132 U.S. 472 (1889) Medley, Petitioner, 134 U.S. 160 (1890) Chicago, Minneapolis & St. Paul Ry. Co. v. Minnesota, 134 U.S. 418 (1890) *Leisy v. Hardin*, 135 U.S. 100 (1890) Lyng v. Michigan, 135 U.S. 161 (1890) McGahey v. Virginia, 135 U.S. 662 (1890) Norfolk & Western R. Co. v. Pennsylvania, 136 U.S. 114 (1890) Minnesota v. Barber, 136 U.S. 313 (1890) McCall v. California, 136 U.S. 104 (1890) Brimmer v. Rebman, 138 U.S. 78 (1891) Pennoyer v. McConnaughy, 140 U.S. 1 (1891) Crutcher v. Kentucky, 141 U.S. 47 (1891) Voight v. Wright, 141 U.S. 62 (1891) Brennan v. Titusville, 153 U.S. 289 (1894) Mobile & Ohio Railroad v. Tennessee, 153 U.S. 486 (1894) New York, L.E. & W.R. Co. v. Pennsylvania, 153 U.S. 628 (1894) Covington & Cincinnati Bridge Co. v. Kentucky, 154 U.S. 204 (1894) Bank of Commerce v. Tennessee, 161 U.S. 134 (1896) Barnitz v. Beverly, 163 U.S. 118 (1896) Illinois Central Ry. v. Illinois, 163 U.S. 142 (1896). Missouri Pacific Railway v. Nebraska, 164 U.S. 403 (1896) Scott v. Donald, 165 U.S. 58 (1897) Gulf, C. & S.F. Ry. Co. v. Ellis, 165 U.S. 150 (1897) Allgeyer v. Louisiana, 165 U.S. 578 (1897) Smyth v. Ames, 169 U.S. 466 (1898) Houston & Texas Central Ry. v. Texas, 170 U.S. 243 (1898) Thompson v. Utah, 170 U.S. 343 (1898) City of Walla Walla v. Walla Walla Water Co., 172 U.S. 1 (1898) Schollenberger v. Pennsylvania, 171 U.S. 1 (1898) Collins v. New Hampshire, 171 U.S. 30 (1898) Blake v. McClung, 172 U.S. 239 (1898) Norwood v. Baker, 172 U.S. 269 (1898) Dewey v. Des Moines, 173 U.S. 193 (1899) Lake Shore & Mich. S. Ry. Co. v. Smith, 173 U.S. 684 (1899) Houston & Texas Central R. Co. v. Texas, 177 U.S. 66 (1900) Cleveland, C.C. & St. Louis Ry. Co. v. Illinois, 177 U.S. 514 (1900) Stearns v. Minnesota, 179 U.S. 223 (1900) accord: Duluth & I.R.R. Co. v. Kentucky, 179 U.S. 302 (1900) *City of Los Angeles v. Los Angeles Water Co.,* 177 U.S. 558 (1900) Cotting v. Kansas City Stock Yards Co., 183 U.S. 79 (1901) Louisville & Nashville R. Co. v. Eubank, 184 U.S. 27 (1902) Connolly v. Union Sewer Pipe Co., 184 U.S. 540 (1902) City of Detroit v. Detroit Citizens' St. Ry. Co., 184 U.S. 368 (1902) Stockard v. Morgan, 185 U.S. 27 (1902) Louisville & J. Ferry Co. v. Kentucky, 188 U.S. 385 (1903) The Roanoke, 189 U.S. 185 (1903) The Robert W. Parsons, 191 U.S. 17 (1903)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

Allen v. Pullman Company, 191 U.S. 171 (1903) Caldwell v. North Carolina, 187 U.S. 622 (1903) Postal Telegraph-Cable Co. v. Taylor, 192 U.S. 64 (1904) City of Cleveland v. Cleveland City Ry. Co., 194 U.S. 517 (1904) Bradley v. Lightcap, 195 U.S. 1 (1904) Dobbins v. City of Los Angeles, 195 U.S. 223 (1904) Central of Georgia Ry. Co. v. Murphey, 196 U.S. 194 (1905) Lochner v. New York, 198 U.S. 45 (1905) Union Transit Co. v. Kentucky, 199 U.S. 194 (1905) Houston & Texas Central Railroad v. Mayes, 201 U.S. 321 (1906) Powers v. Detroit & Grand Haven Ry., 201 U.S. 543 (1906) Mayor of Vicksburg v. Waterworks Co., 202 U.S. 453 (1906) City of Cleveland v. Cleveland Electric Ry., 201 U.S. 529 (1906) Rearick v. Pennsylvania, 203 U.S. 507 (1906) American Smelting Co. v. Colorado, 204 U.S. 103 (1907) Adams Express Co. v. Kentucky, 206 U.S. 129 (1907) accord: American Express Co. v. Kentucky, 206 U.S. 139 (1907) Mayor of Vicksburg v. Vicksburg Waterworks Co., 206 U.S. 496 (1907) Central of Georgia Ry. v. Wright, 207 U.S. 127 (1907) Darnell & Son v. Memphis, 208 U.S. 113 (1908) Ex parte Young, 209 U.S. 123 (1908) Londoner v. City of Denver, 210 U.S. 373 (1908) Galveston, Houston & San Antonio Ry. Co. v. Texas, 210 U.S. 217 (1908) Willcox v. Consolidated Gas Co., 212 U.S. 19 (1909) Louisville & Nashville R. Co. v. Stock Yards Co., 212 U.S. 132 (1909) Nielson v. Oregon, 212 U.S. 315 (1909) Adams Express Co. v. Kentucky, 214 U.S. 218 (1909) Louisiana ex rel. Hubert v. New Orleans, 215 U.S. 170 (1909) City of Minneapolis v. Street Railway Co., 215 U.S. 417 (1910) North Dakota ex rel. Flaherty v. Hanson, 215 U.S. 515 (1910) Western Union Tel. Co. v. Kansas, 216 U.S. 1 (1910) Ludwig v. Western Union Tel. Co., 216 U.S. 146 (1910) Southern Railway Co. v. Greene, 216 U.S. 400 (1910) International Textbook Co. v. Pigg, 217 U.S. 91 (1910) St. Louis S.W. Ry. v. Arkansas, 217 U.S. 136 (1910) Missouri Pacific Ry. v. Nebraska, 217 U.S. 196 (1910) Dozier v. Alabama, 218 U.S. 124 (1910) Herndon v. Chicago, R.I. & P. R. Co., 218 U.S. 135 (1910)

#### White Court (December 12, 1910–June 29, 1921)

Bailey v. Alabama, 219 U.S. 219 (1911) Oklahoma v. Kansas Nat. Gas Co., 221 U.S. 229 (1911) Berryman v. Whitman College, 222 U.S. 334 (1912) Louisville & Nashville R. v. Cook Brewing Co., 223 U.S. 70 (1912)

(Table continues)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

#### Table 2-15(Continued)

Atchison Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co. v. O'Connor, 223 U.S. 280 (1912) Oklahoma v. Wells, Fargo & Co., 223 U.S. 298 (1912) Haskell v. Kansas Natural Gas Co., 224 U.S. 217 (1912) St. Louis, I.M. & S. Ry. Co. v. Wynne, 224 U.S. 354 (1912) Bucks Stove Co. v. Vickers, 226 U.S. 205 (1912) Eubank v. City of Richmond, 226 U.S. 137 (1912) Williams v. City of Talladega, 226 U.S. 404 (1912) Grand Trunk Western Ry. v. City of South Bend, 227 U.S. 544 (1913) Crenshaw v. Arkansas, 227 U.S. 389 (1913) accord: Rogers v. Arkansas, 227 U.S. 401 (1913) Ettor v. Tacoma, 228 U.S. 148 (1913) accord: Stewart v. Michigan, 232 U.S. 665 (1914) City of Owensboro v. Cumberland Telephone Co., 230 U.S. 58 (1913) Boise Water Co. v. Boise City, 230 U.S. 84 (1913) Old Colony Trust Co. v. City of Omaha, 230 U.S. 100 (1913) Missouri Pacific Ry. Co. v. Tucker, 230 U.S. 340 (1913) Chicago, Minneapolis & St. Paul Ry. Co. v. Polt, 232 U.S. 165 (1914) accord: Chicago, M. & St. P. Ry. Co. v. Kennedy, 232 U.S. 626 (1914) Harrison v. St. Louis, S.F. & T.R. Co., 232 U.S. 318 (1914) Foote v. Maryland, 232 U.S. 495 (1914) Farmers Bank v. Minnesota, 232 U.S. 516 (1914) Russell v. Sebastian, 233 U.S. 195 (1914) Singer Sewing Machine Co. v. Brickell, 233 U.S. 304 (1914) Tennessee Coal Co. v. George, 233 U.S. 354 (1914) Carondelet Canal Co. v. Louisiana, 233 U.S. 362 (1914) Smith v. Texas, 233 U.S. 630 (1914) International Harvester Co. v. Kentucky, 234 U.S. 216 (1914) accord: International Harvester v. Kentucky, 234 U.S. 579 (1914) accord: Collins v. Kentucky, 234 U.S. 634 (1914) Missouri Pacific Ry. Co. v. Larabee, 234 U.S. 459 (1914) Western Union Telegraph Co. v. Brown, 234 U.S. 542 (1914) United States v. Reynolds, 235 U.S. 133 (1914) McCabe v. Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co., 235 U.S. 151 (1914) Sioux Remedy Co. v. Cope, 235 U.S. 197 (1914) *Choctaw & Gulf R.R. v. Harrison*, 235 U.S. 292 (1914) Adams Express Co. v. City of New York, 232 U.S. 12 (1914) accord: U.S. Express Co. v. New York, 232 U.S. 35 (1914) City of Sault Ste. Marie v. International Transit Co., 234 U.S. 333 (1914) accord: American Machine Co. v. Kentucky, 236 U.S. 660 (1915) South Covington Ry. v. City of Covington, 235 U.S. 537 (1915) Coppage v. Kansas, 236 U.S. 1 (1915) Heyman v. Hays, 236 U.S. 178 (1915) accord: Southern Operating Co. v. Hayes, 236 U.S. 188 (1915) Northern Pac. Ry. v. North Dakota ex rel. McCue, 236 U.S. 585 (1915) Norfolk & West Ry. v. Conley, 236 U.S. 605 (1915) Wright v. Central of Georgia Ry., 236 U.S. 674 (1915) accord: Wright v. Louisville & Nashville R., 236 U.S. 687 (1915)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

Davis v. Virginia, 236 U.S. 697 (1915) Chicago B. & Q. Ry. v. Wisconsin R.R. Com., 237 U.S. 220 (1915) Coe v. Armour Fertilizer Works, 237 U.S. 413 (1915) Charleston & W.C. Ry. Co. v. Varnville Co., 237 U.S. 597 (1915) Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co. v. Vosburg, 238 U.S. 56 (1915) Guinn v. United States, 238 U.S. 347 (1915) accord: Myers v. Anderson, 238 U.S. 368 (1915) Southwestern Tel. Co. v. Danaher, 238 U.S. 482 (1915) Chicago, Minneapolis & St. Paul R. v. Wisconsin, 238 U.S. 491 (1915) Traux v. Raich, 239 U.S. 33 (1915) Provident Savings Assn. v. Kentucky, 239 U.S. 103 (1915) Gast Realty Co. v. Schneider Granite Co., 240 U.S. 55 (1916) Indian Oil Co. v. Oklahoma, 240 U.S. 522 (1916) Rosenberger v. Pacific Express Co., 241 U.S. 48 (1916) McFarland v. American Sugar Co., 241 U.S. 79 (1916) Wisconsin v. Philadelphia & Reading Coal Co., 241 U.S. 329 (1916) Detroit United Ry. v. Michigan, 242 U.S. 238 (1916) Buchanan v. Warley, 245 U.S. 60 (1917) Rowland v. Boyle, 244 U.S. 106 (1917) Seaboard Air Line Ry. v. Blackwell, 244 U.S. 310 (1917) Western Oil Refg. Co. v. Lipscomb, 244 U.S. 346 (1917) Adams v. Tanner, 244 U.S. 590 (1917) Hendrickson v. Apperson, 245 U.S. 105 (1917) accord: Hendrickson v. Creager, 245 U.S. 115 (1917) Looney v. Crane Co., 245 U.S. 178 (1917) Crew Levick v. Pennsylvania, 245 U.S. 292 (1917) International Paper Co. v. Massachusetts, 246 U.S. 135 (1918) accord: Locomobile Co. v. Massachusetts, 246 U.S. 146 (1918) Cheney Brothers Co. v. Massachusetts, 246 U.S. 147 (1918) New York Life Ins. Co. v. Dodge, 246 U.S. 357 (1918) Georgia v. Cincinnati So. Ry., 248 U.S. 26 (1918) Union Pac. R. Co. v. Pub. Service Comm., 248 U.S. 67 (1918) Northern Ohio Traction & Light Co. v. Ohio ex rel. Pontius, 245 U.S. 574 (1918) City of Denver v. Denver Union Water Co., 246 U.S. 178 (1918) City of Covington v. South Covington St. Ry. Co., 246 U.S. 413 (1918) Detroit United Railway v. City of Detroit, 248 U.S. 429 (1919) City of Los Angeles v. Los Angeles Gas Corp., 251 U.S. 32 (1919) Flexner v. Farson, 248 U.S. 289 (1919) Central of Georgia Ry. Co. v. Wright, 248 U.S. 525 (1919) Union Tank Line Co. v. Wright, 249 U.S. 275 (1919) Standard Oil Co. v. Graves, 249 U.S. 389 (1919) Chalker v. Birmingham & N.W. Ry. Co., 249 U.S. 522 (1919) *Travis v. Yale & Towne Mfg. Co., 252 U.S. 60 (1920)* Oklahoma Operating Co. v. Love, 252 U.S. 331 (1920) accord: Oklahoma Gin Co. v. Oklahoma, 252 U.S. 339 (1920)

(Table continues)

#### Table 2-15(Continued)

Askren v. Continental Oil Co., 252 U.S. 444 (1920) Wallace v. Hines, 253 U.S. 66 (1920) Hawke v. Smith (No. 1), 253 U.S. 221 (1920) accord: Hawke v. Smith (No. 2), 253 U.S. 221 (1920) Ohio Valley Co. v. Ben Avon Borough, 253 U.S. 287 (1920) Royster Guano Co. v. Virginia, 253 U.S. 412 (1920) Johnson v. Maryland, 254 U.S. 51 (1920) Turner v. Wade, 254 U.S. 64 (1920) Bank of Minden v. Clement, 256 U.S. 126 (1921) Bethlehem Motors Co. v. Flynt, 256 U.S. 421 (1921) Bowman v. Continental Oil Co., 256 U.S. 642 (1921) Kansas City So. Ry. v. Road Imp. Dist. No. 6, 256 U.S. 658 (1921)


#### Taft Court (June 30, 1921–February 12, 1930)

Eureka Pipe Line Co. v. Hallanan, 257 U.S. 265 (1921) accord: United Fuel Gas Co. v. Hallanan, 257 U.S. 277 (1921) Dahnke-Walker Co. v. Bondurant, 257 U.S. 282 (1921) Truax v. Corrigan, 257 U.S. 312 (1921) Gillespie v. Oklahoma, 257 U.S. 501 (1922) Terral v. Burke Constr. Co., 257 U.S. 529 (1922) Lemke v. Farmers Grain Co., 258 U.S. 50 (1922) accord: Lemke v. Homer Farmers Elevator Co., 258 U.S. 65 (1922) *Newton v. Consolidated Gas Co., 258 U.S. 165 (1922)* accord: Newton v. New York Gas Co., 258 U.S. 178 (1922) accord: Newton v. Kings County Lighting Co., 258 U.S. 180 (1922) accord: Newton v. Brooklyn Union Gas Co., 258 U.S. 604 (1922) accord: Newton v. Consolidated Gas Co., 259 U.S. 101 (1922) Forbes Pioneer Boat Line v. Everglades Drainage Dist., 258 U.S. 338 (1922) Texas Co. v. Brown, 258 U.S. 466 (1922) Chicago & N.W. Ry. v. Nye Schneider Fowler Co., 260 U.S. 35 (1922) St. Louis Compress Co. v. Arkansas, 260 U.S. 346 (1922) Champlain Co. v. Brattleboro, 260 U.S. 366 (1922) Pennsylvania Coal Co. v. Mahon, 260 U.S. 393 (1922) City of Houston v. Southwestern Tel. Co., 259 U.S. 318 (1922) City of Paducah v. Paducah Ry., 261 U.S. 267 (1923) Columbia R., Gas & Electric Co. v. South Carolina, 261 U.S. 236 (1923) Federal Land Bank v. Crosland, 261 U.S. 374 (1923) Phipps v. Cleveland Refg. Co., 261 U.S. 449 (1923) Thomas v. Kansas City So. Ry., 261 U.S. 481 (1923) Davis v. Farmers Co-operative Co., 262 U.S. 313 (1923) Meyer v. Nebraska, 262 U.S. 390 (1923) accord: Bartels v. Iowa, 262 U.S. 404 (1923) accord: Bohning v. Ohio, 262 U.S. 404 (1923) Georgia Ry. Co. v. Decatur, 262 U.S. 432 (1923) accord: Georgia Ry. Co. v. College Park, 262 U.S. 441 (1923) Wolff Packing Co. v. Industrial Court, 262 U.S. 522 (1923) accord: Dorchy v. Kansas, 264 U.S. 286 (1924)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

accord: Wolff Packing Co. v. Industrial Court, 267 U.S. 552 (1925) Kentucky Co. v. Paramount Exch., 262 U.S. 544 (1923) Pennsylvania v. West Virginia, 262 U.S. 553 (1923) Clallam County v. United States, 263 U.S. 341 (1923) Tampa Interocean Steamship Co. v. Louisiana, 266 U.S. 594 (1925) Texas Transp. Co. v. City of New Orleans, 264 U.S. 150 (1924) Burns Baking Co. v. Bryan, 264 U.S. 504 (1924) Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co. v. Wells, 265 U.S. 101 (1924) Air-Way Corp. v. Day, 266 U.S. 71 (1924) Aetna Life Ins. Co. v. Dunken, 266 U.S. 389 (1924) Real Silk Mills v. City of Portland, 268 U.S. 325 (1925) Ozark Pipe Line v. Monier, 266 U.S. 555 (1925) Michigan Commission v. Duke, 266 U.S. 570 (1925) Flanagan v. Federal Coal Co., 267 U.S. 222 (1925) Buck v. Kuykendall, 267 U.S. 307 (1925) accord: Bush Co. v. Maloy, 267 U.S. 317 (1925) Shafer v. Farmers Grain Co., 268 U.S. 189 (1925) Alpha Cement Co. v. Massachusetts, 268 U.S. 203 (1925) Frick v. Pennsylvania, 268 U.S. 473 (1925) Pierce v. Society of Sisters, 268 U.S. 510 (1925) Lee v. Osceola Imp. Dist., 268 U.S. 643 (1925) Connally v. General Const. Co., 269 U.S. 385 (1926) Browning v. Hooper, 269 U.S. 396 (1926) Rhode Island Trust Co. v. Doughton, 270 U.S. 69 (1926) Schlesinger v. Wisconsin, 270 U.S. 230 (1926) accord: Uihlein v. Wisconsin, 273 U.S. 642 (1926) Weaver v. Palmer Bros. Co., 270 U.S. 402 (1926) Fidelity & Deposit Co. v. Tafoya, 270 U.S. 426 (1926) Childers v. Beaver, 270 U.S. 555 (1926) Appleby v. City of New York, 271 U.S. 365 (1926) Appleby v. Delaney, 271 U.S. 403 (1926) Frost Trucking Co. v. Railroad Comm., 271 U.S. 583 (1926) Jaybird Mining Co. v. Wier, 271 U.S. 609 (1926) Hughes Bros. Co. v. Minnesota, 272 U.S. 469 (1926) Hanover Ins. Co. v. Harding, 272 U.S. 494 (1926) Wachovia Trust Co. v. Doughton, 272 U.S. 567 (1926) Ottinger v. Consolidated Gas Co., 272 U.S. 576 (1926) accord: Ottinger v. Brooklyn Union Co., 272 U.S. 579 (1926) accord: Harmon v. Tyler, 273 U.S. 668 (1927) Miller v. Milwaukee, 272 U.S. 713 (1927) DiSanto v. Pennsylvania, 273 U.S. 34 (1927) *Tyson & Brother v. Banton*, 273 U.S. 418 (1927) Tumey v. Ohio, 273 U.S. 510 (1927) Nixon v. Herndon, 273 U.S. 536 (1927) Fairmont Co. v. Minnesota, 274 U.S. 1 (1927) Ohio Pub. Serv. Co. v. Ohio ex rel. Fritz, 274 U.S. 12 (1927)

(Table continues)




Southern Ry. Co. v. Kentucky, 274 U.S. 76 (1927) Road Improvement Dist. v. Missouri Pacific R. Co., 274 U.S. 188 (1927) Fiske v. Kansas, 274 U.S. 380 (1927) Cline v. Frink Dairy Co., 274 U.S. 445 (1927) Power Mfg. Co. v. Saunders, 274 U.S. 490 (1927) Northwestern Ins. Co. v. Wisconsin, 275 U.S. 136 (1927) Mayor of Vidalia v. McNeely, 274 U.S. 676 (1927) Delaware L. & W. R.R. v. Town of Morristown, 276 U.S. 182 (1928) Sprout v. City of South Bend, 277 U.S. 163 (1928) Nectow v. City of Cambridge, 277 U.S. 183 (1928) Washington ex rel. Seattle Trust Co. v. Roberge, 278 U.S. 116 (1928) Wuchter v. Pizzutti, 276 U.S. 13 (1928) accord: Consolidated Flour Mills Co. v. Muegge, 278 U.S. 559 (1928) Missouri ex rel. Robertson v. Miller, 276 U.S. 174 (1928) New Brunswick v. United States, 276 U.S. 547 (1928) Brooke v. Norfolk, 277 U.S. 27 (1928) Louisville Gas Co. v. Coleman, 277 U.S. 32 (1928) Long v. Rockwood, 277 U.S. 142 (1928) Standard Pipe Line v. Highway Dist., 277 U.S. 160 (1928) Panhandle Oil Co. v. Missouri ex rel. Knox, 277 U.S. 218 (1928) accord: Graysburg Oil Co. v. Texas, 278 U.S. 582 (1929) Ribnik v. McBride, 277 U.S. 350 (1928) Quaker City Cab Co. v. Pennsylvania, 277 U.S. 389 (1928) Foster-Fountain Packing Co. v. Haydel, 278 U.S. 1 (1928) accord: Johnson v. Haydel, 278 U.S. 16 (1928) Louis K. Liggett Co. v. Baldridge, 278 U.S. 105 (1928) Williams v. Standard Oil Co., 278 U.S. 235 (1929) Cudahy Co. v. Hinkle, 278 U.S. 460 (1929) Frost v. Corporation Commission, 278 U.S. 515 (1929) Manley v. Georgia, 279 U.S. 1 (1929) Carson Petroleum Co. v. Vial, 279 U.S. 95 (1929) London Guarantee & Accident Co. v. Industrial Comm., 279 U.S. 109 (1929) Helson v. Kentucky, 279 U.S. 245 (1929) Macallen Co. v. Massachusetts, 279 U.S. 620 (1929) Western & Atlantic Ry. Co. v. Henderson, 279 U.S. 639 (1929) Safe Deposit & T. Co. v. Virginia, 280 U.S. 83 (1929) accord: City of Richmond v. Deans, 281 U.S. 704 (1930) Farmers Loan Co. v. Minnesota, 280 U.S. 204 (1930) New Jersey Tel. Co. v. Tax Board, 280 U.S. 338 (1930)

#### Hughes Court (February 13, 1930–June 11, 1941)

Moore v. Mitchell, 281 U.S. 18 (1930) Missouri ex rel. Missouri Ins. Co. v. Gehner, 281 U.S. 313 (1930) Home Ins. Co. v. Dick, 281 U.S. 397 (1930) Baldwin v. Missouri, 281 U.S. 586 (1930) Surplus Trading Co. v. Cook, 281 U.S. 647 (1930) Beidler v. South Carolina Tax Comm., 282 U.S. 1 (1930)

Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & P. Ry. v. Holmberg, 282 U.S. 162 (1930) Furst v. Brewster, 282 U.S. 493 (1931) *Coolidge v. Long*, 282 U.S. 582 (1931) Hans Rees' Sons v. North Carolina ex rel. Maxwell, 283 U.S. 123 (1931) Interstate Transit, Inc. v. Lindsey, 283 U.S. 183 (1931) Stromberg v. California, 283 U.S. 359 (1931) Smith v. Cahoon, 283 U.S. 553 (1931) Near v. Minnesota ex rel. Olsen, 283 U.S. 697 (1931) State Tax Comm. v. Interstate Natural Gas Co., 284 U.S. 41 (1931) Hoeper v. Tax Commission, 284 U.S. 206 (1931) First National Bank v. Maine, 284 U.S. 312 (1932) New State Ice Co. v. Liebmann, 285 U.S. 262 (1932) Coombes v. Getz, 285 U.S. 434 (1932) Nixon v. Condon, 286 U.S. 73 (1932) Champlin Rfg. Co. v. Corporation Comm., 286 U.S. 210 (1932) accord: Allen v. Galveston Truck Line Corp., 289 U.S. 708 (1933) Anglo-Chilean Corp. v. Alabama, 288 U.S. 218 (1933) Louis K. Liggett Co. v. Lee, 288 U.S. 517 (1933) Consolidated Textile Co. v. Gregory, 289 U.S. 85 (1933) Johnson Oil Co. v. Oklahoma ex rel. Mitchell, 290 U.S. 158 (1933) Southern Ry. Co. v. Virginia, 290 U.S. 190 (1933) Morrison v. California, 291 U.S. 82 (1934) Standard Oil Co. v. California, 291 U.S. 242 (1934) Hartford Accident & Insurance Co. v. Delta Pine Land Co., 292 U.S. 143 (1934) McKnett v. St. Louis & S.F. Ry. Co., 292 U.S. 230 (1934) W.B. Worthen Co. v. Thomas, 292 U.S. 426 (1934) Concordia Ins. Co. v. Illinois, 292 U.S. 535 (1934) Cooney v. Mountain States Tel. Co., 294 U.S. 384 (1935) Baldwin v. G.A.F. Seelig, 294 U.S. 511 (1935) Stewart Dry Goods Co. v. Lewis, 294 U.S. 550 (1935) Panhandle Co. v. Highway Comm., 294 U.S. 613 (1935) Broderick v. Rosner, 294 U.S. 629 (1935) Worthen Co. v. Kavanaugh, 295 U.S. 56 (1935) Georgia Ry. & Electric Co. v. Decatur, 295 U.S. 165 (1935) Senior v. Braden, 295 U.S. 422 (1935) Colgate v. Harvey, 296 U.S. 404 (1935) accord: Valentine v. A. & P. Tea Co., 299 U.S. 32 (1936) Treigle v. Acme Homestead Assn., 297 U.S. 189 (1936) Grosjean v. American Press Co., 297 U.S. 233 (1936) Mauflower Farms v. Ten Eyck, 297 U.S. 266 (1936) Bingaman v. Golden Eagle Lines, 297 U.S. 626 (1936) Fisher's Blend Station v. State Tax Comm., 297 U.S. 650 (1936) International Steel & I. Co. v. National Surety Co., 297 U.S. 657 (1936) Graves v. Texas Company, 298 U.S. 393 (1936) Morehead v. New York ex rel. Tipaldo, 298 U.S. 587 (1936) Binney v. Long, 299 U.S. 280 (1936)

(Table continues)



De Jonge v. Oregon, 299 U.S. 353 (1937) New York ex rel. Rogers v. Graves, 299 U.S. 401 (1937) Ingles v. Morf, 300 U.S. 290 (1937) Herndon v. Lowry, 301 U.S. 242 (1937) Lindsey v. Washington, 301 U.S. 397 (1937) Hartford Ins. Co. v. Harrison, 301 U.S. 459 (1937) Puget Sound Co. v. Tax Commission, 302 U.S. 90 (1937) James v. Dravo Contracting Co., 302 U.S. 134 (1937) Connecticut General Life Ins. Co. v. Johnson, 303 U.S. 77 (1938) Indiana ex rel. Anderson v. Brand, 303 U.S. 95 (1938) accord: Indiana ex rel. Valentine v. Marker, 303 U.S. 628 (1938) Adams Mfg. Co. v. Storen, 304 U.S. 307 (1938) Collins v. Yosemite Park Co., 304 U.S. 518 (1938) Missouri ex rel. Gaines v. Canada, 305 U.S. 337 (1938) Lovell v. City of Griffin, 303 U.S. 444 (1938) Hague v. C.I.O., 307 U.S. 496 (1939) Schneider v. City of Irvington, 308 U.S. 147 (1939) accord: Young v. California, 308 U.S. 147 (1939) accord: Snyder v. City of Milwaukee, 308 U.S. 147 (1939) accord: Nichols v. Massachusetts, 308 U.S. 147 (1939) Gwin, White & Prince, Inc. v. Henneford, 305 U.S. 434 (1939) Hale v. Bimco Trading Co., 306 U.S. 375 (1939) Lanzetta v. New Jersey, 306 U.S. 451 (1939) Lane v. Wilson, 307 U.S. 268 (1939) Thornhill v. Alabama, 310 U.S. 88 (1940) Cantwell v. Connecticut, 310 U.S. 296 (1940) McCarroll v. Dixie Lines, 309 U.S. 176 (1940) Best v. Maxwell, 311 U.S. 454 (1940) McGoldrick v. Gulf Oil Corp., 309 U.S. 414 (1940) Carlson v. California, 310 U.S. 106 (1940) Wood v. Lovett, 313 U.S. 362 (1941)

#### Stone Court (June 12, 1941–June 19, 1946)

*Edwards v. California*, 314 U.S. 160 (1941) *Taylor v. Georgia*, 315 U.S. 25 (1942) *Skinner v. Oklahoma ex rel. Wilwinson*, 316 U.S. 535 (1942) *Pacific Coast Dairy v. Dept. of Agriculture*, 318 U.S. 285 (1943) *Mayo v. United States*, 319 U.S. 441 (1943) *Taylor v. Mississippi*, 319 U.S. 583 (1943) *Jamison v. Texas*, 318 U.S. 413 (1943) *Largent v. Texas*, 318 U.S. 418 (1943) *Jones v. City of Opelika*, 319 U.S. 103 (1943) *Murdock v. Pennsylvania*, 319 U.S. 105 (1943) *Martin v. City of Struthers*, 319 U.S. 141 (1943) *Follett v. Town of McCormick*, 321 U.S. 573 (1944) *United States v. Allegheny County*, 322 U.S. 174 (1944) *McLeod v. Dilworth Co.*, 322 U.S. 327 (1944)

Thomas v. Collins, 323 U.S. 516 (1945) Hooven & Allison Co. v. Evatt, 324 U.S. 652 (1945) Southern Pacific Co. v. Arizona, 325 U.S. 761 (1945) Freeman v. Hewitt, 329 U.S. 249 (1946) Marsh v. Alabama, 326 U.S. 501 (1946) Tucker v. Texas, 326 U.S. 517 (1946) Republic Pictures Corp. v. Kappler, 327 U.S. 757 (1946) Morgan v. Virginia, 328 U.S. 373 (1946) Vinson Court (June 20, 1946–September 29, 1953) Richfield Oil Corp. v. State Board, 329 U.S. 69 (1946) Freeman v. Hewit, 329 U.S. 239 (1946) Nippert v. City of Richmond, 327 U.S. 416 (1946) Joseph v. Carter & Weekes Co., 330 U.S. 422 (1947) Order of Travelers v. Wolfe, 331 U.S. 586 (1947) United States v. California, 332 U.S. 19 (1947) Sipuel v. Board of Regents, 332 U.S. 631 (1948) Oyama v. California, 332 U.S. 633 (1948) Winters v. New York, 333 U.S. 507 (1948) Toomer v. Witsell, 334 U.S. 385 (1948) Takahaski v. Fish Comm., 334 U.S. 410 (1948) Greyhound Lines v. Mealey, 334 U.S. 653 (1948) Saia v. New York, 334 U.S. 558 (1948) Terminiello v. City of Chicago, 337 U.S. 1 (1949) Schnell v. Davis, 336 U.S. 933 (1949) Union National Bank v. Lamb, 337 U.S. 38 (1949) Wheeling Steel Corp. v. Glander, 337 U.S. 562 (1949) Treichler v. Wisconsin, 338 U.S. 251 (1949) Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co., 339 U.S. 306 (1950) Sweatt v. Painter, 339 U.S. 629 (1950) United States v. Louisiana, 339 U.S. 699 (1950) United States v. Texas, 339 U.S. 707 (1950) McLaurin v. Oklahoma State Regents, 339 U.S. 637 (1950) Norton Co. v. Dept. of Revenue, 340 U.S. 534 (1951) Spector Motor Service v. O'Connor, 340 U.S. 602 (1951) Hughes v. Fetter, 341 U.S. 609 (1951) Kunz v. New York, 340 U.S. 290 (1951) Dean Milk Co. v. City of Madison, 340 U.S. 349 (1951) Gelling v. Texas, 343 U.S. 960 (1952) Standard Oil Co. v. Peck, 342 U.S. 382 (1952) Memphis Steam Laundry v. Stone, 342 U.S. 389 (1952) First National Bank v. United Air Lines, 342 U.S. 396 (1952) Joseph Burstyn, Inc. v. Wilson, 343 U.S. 495 (1952) Kedroff v. St. Nicholas Cathedral, 344 U.S. 94 (1952) Wieman v. Updegraff, 344 U.S. 183 (1952) Fowler v. Rhode Island, 345 U.S. 67 (1953)

(Table continues)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

Table 2-15(Continued)

#### Warren Court (September 30, 1953-June 8, 1969)

Kern-Limerick, Inc. v. Scurlock, 347 U.S. 110 (1954) Michigan-Wisconsin Pipe Line Co. v. Calvert, 347 U.S. 157 (1954) Miller Bros. Co. v. Maryland, 347 U.S. 340 (1954) Railway Express Agency v. Virginia, 347 U.S. 359 (1954) Brown v. Board of Education, 347 U.S. 483 (1954) accord: Briggs v. Elliott, 347 U.S. 483 (1954) accord: Davis v. County School Bd., 347 U.S. 483 (1954) accord: Gebhart v. Belton, 347 U.S. 483 (1954) Indiana Dep't of Revenue v. Nebeker, 348 U.S. 933 (1955) Society for Savings v. Bowers, 349 U.S. 143 (1955) Holmes v. City of Atlanta, 350 U.S. 879 (1955) Indiana Dept. of Revenue v. Nebeker, 348 U.S. 933 (1955) Slochower v. Board of Higher Education, 350 U.S. 551 (1956) Griffin v. Illinois, 351 U.S. 12 (1956) Covey v. Town of Somers, 351 U.S. 141 (1956) Walker v. Hutchinson City, 352 U.S. 112 (1956) *Gayle v. Browder*, 352 U.S. 903 (1956) Butler v. Michigan, 352 U.S. 380 (1957) West Point Wholesale Grocery Co. v. City of Opelika, 354 U.S. 390 (1957) Morey v. Doud, 354 U.S. 457 (1957) Lambert v. California, 355 U.S. 225 (1957) Staub v. Baxley, 355 U.S. 313 (1958) Eskridge v. Washington Prison Bd., 357 U.S. 214 (1958) Speiser v. Randall, 357 U.S. 513 (1958) accord: First Unitarian Church v. Los Angeles, 357 U.S. 545 (1958) Bibb v. Navajo Freight Lines, Inc., 359 U.S. 520 (1959) State Athletic Comm. v. Dorsey, 359 U.S. 533 (1959) Kingsley Pictures Corp. v. Regents, 360 U.S. 684 (1959) Smith v. California, 361 U.S. 147 (1959) Faubus v. Aaron, 361 U.S. 197 (1959) Phillips Chemical Co. v. Dumas Independent School District, 361 U.S. 376 (1960) Rohr Corp. v. San Diego County, 362 U.S. 628 (1960) Bates v. City of Little Rock, 361 U.S. 516 (1960) Talley v. California, 362 U.S. 60 (1960) Gomillion v. Lightfoot, 364 U.S. 339 (1960) Shelton v. Tucker, 364 U.S. 479 (1960) Bush v. Orleans School Board, 364 U.S. 500 (1960) Orleans Parish School Board v. Bush, 365 U.S. 569 (1961) Ferguson v. Georgia, 365 U.S. 570 (1961) Louisiana v. N.A.A.C.P. ex rel. Gremillion, 366 U.S. 293 (1961) Torcaso v. Watkins, 367 U.S. 488 (1961) Marcus v. Search Warrant, 367 U.S. 717 (1961) Tugwell v. Bush, 367 U.S. 907 (1961) Legislature of Louisiana v. United States, 367 U.S. 908 (1961) Cramp v. Board of Public Instruction, 368 U.S. 278 (1961)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

St. Helena Parish School Board v. Hall, 368 U.S. 515 (1962) Bailey v. Patterson, 369 U.S. 31 (1962) Turner v. Memphis, 369 U.S. 350 (1962) Central R. Co. v. Pennsylvania, 370 U.S. 607 (1962) Robinson v. California, 370 U.S. 660 (1962) Schroeder v. City of New York, 371 U.S. 208 (1962) N.A.A.C.P. v. Button, 371 U.S. 415 (1963) Gideon v. Wainwright, 372 U.S. 335 (1963) Gray v. Sanders, 372 U.S. 368 (1963) Lane v. Brown, 372 U.S. 477 (1963) Halliburton Oil Well Cementing Co. v. Reilly, 373 U.S. 64 (1963) Willner v. Committee on Character & Fitness, 373 U.S. 96 (1963) Peterson v. Greenville, 373 U.S. 244 (1963) accord: Gober v. Birmingham, 373 U.S. 374 (1963) Lombard v. Louisiana, 373 U.S. 267 (1963) Wright v. Georgia, 373 U.S. 284 (1963) Abington Township School District v. Schempp, 374 U.S. 203 (1963) Sherbert v. Verner, 374 U.S. 398 (1963) Polar Ice Cream & Creamery Co. v. Andrews, 375 U.S. 361 (1964) Anderson v. Martin, 375 U.S. 399 (1964) Wesberry v. Sanders, 376 U.S. 1 (1964) accord: Martin v. Bush, 376 U.S. 222 (1964) City of New Orleans v. Barthe, 376 U.S. 189 (1964) Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. Stiffel Co., 376 U.S. 225 (1964) Baggett v. Bullitt, 377 U.S. 360 (1964) Hostetter v. Idlewild Bon Voyage Liquor Corp., 377 U.S. 324 (1964) accord: Dept. of Alcoholic Beverage Control of Cal. v. Ammex Warehouse Co., 378 U.S. 124 (1964) Dept. of Revenue v. James B. Beam Distilling Co., 377 U.S. 341 (1964) Chamberlin v. Dade County Board of Public Instruction, 377 U.S. 402 (1964) Reynolds v. Sims, 377 U.S. 533 (1964) accord: WMCA, Inc. v. Lomenzo, 377 U.S. 633 (1964) accord: Maryland Committee for Fair Representation v. Tawes, 377 U.S. 656 (1964) accord: Davis v. Mann, 377 U.S. 678 (1964) accord: Roman v. Sincock, 377 U.S. 695 (1964) accord: Lucas v. Forty-Fourth General Assembly of Colorado, 377 U.S. 713 (1964) accord: Meyers v. Thigpen, 378 U.S. 554 (1964) accord: Williams v. Moss, 378 U.S. 558 (1964) accord: Pinney v. Butterworth, 378 U.S. 564 (1964) accord: Hill v. Davis, 378 U.S. 565 (1964) A Quantity of Copies of Books v. Kansas, 378 U.S. 205 (1964) Tancil v. Woolls; Virginia Board of Elections v. Hamm, 379 U.S. 19 (1964) Garrison v. Louisiana, 379 U.S. 64 (1964) McLauglin v. Florida, 379 U.S. 184 (1964) Stanford v. Texas, 379 U.S. 476 (1965)

(Table continues)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.



Cox v. Louisiana, 379 U.S. 536 (1965) Freedman v. Maryland, 380 U.S. 51 (1965) Carrington v. Rash, 380 U.S. 89 (1965) Louisiana v. United States, 380 U.S. 145 (1965) Reserve Life Insurance Co. v. Bowers, 380 U.S. 258 (1965) American Oil Co. v. Neill, 380 U.S. 451 (1965) Dombrowski v. Pfister, 380 U.S. 479 (1965) Harman v. Forssenius, 380 U.S. 528 (1965) Jordan v. Silver, 381 U.S. 415 (1965) Griswold v. Connecticut, 381 U.S. 479 (1965) Giaccio v. Pennsylvania, 382 U.S. 399 (1966) Baxstrom v. Herold, 383 U.S. 107 (1966) Harper v. Virginia Board of Elections, 383 U.S. 663 (1966) accord: Texas v. United States, 384 U.S. 155 (1966) Elfbrandt v. Russell, 384 U.S. 11 (1966) Mills v. Alabama, 384 U.S. 214 (1966) Rinaldi v. Yeager, 384 U.S. 305 (1966) Alton v. Tawes, 384 U.S. 315 (1966) Carr v. City of Altus, 385 U.S. 35 (1966) Swann v. Adams, 385 U.S. 440 (1967) Kirkpatrick v. Preisler, 385 U.S. 450 (1967) Short v. Ness Produce Co., 385 U.S. 537 (1967) Keyishian v. Board of Regents, 385 U.S. 589 (1967) National Bellas Hess, Inc. v. Dept. of Revenue of Illinois, 386 U.S. 753 (1967) Holding v. Blankenship, 387 U.S. 94 (1967) Reitman v. Mulkey, 387 U.S. 369 (1967) Camara v. Municipal Court, 387 U.S. 523 (1967) See v. City of Seattle, 387 U.S. 541 (1967) Loving v. Virginia, 388 U.S. 1 (1967) Washington v. Texas, 388 U.S. 14 (1967) Berger v. New York, 388 U.S. 41 (1967) Whitehill v. Elkins, 389 U.S. 54 (1967) Lucas v. Rhodes, 389 U.S. 212 (1967) Rockefeller v. Wells, 389 U.S. 421 (1967) Zschernig v. Miller, 389 U.S. 429 (1968) Dinis v. Volpe, 389 U.S. 570 (1968) Louisiana Financial Assistance Comm. v. Poindexter, 389 U.S. 571 (1968) Kirk v. Gong, 389 U.S. 572 (1968) James v. Gilmore, 389 U.S. 572 (1968) Teitel Film Corp. v. Cusack, 390 U.S. 139 (1968) Lee v. Washington, 390 U.S. 333 (1968) Avery v. Midland County, 390 U.S. 474 (1968) Interstate Circuit, Inc. v. City of Dallas, 390 U.S. 676 (1968) Scafati v. Greenfield, 390 U.S. 713 (1968) Levy v. Louisiana, 391 U.S. 68 (1968) Glona v. American Guarantee Liability Insurance Co., 391 U.S. 73 (1968) Rabeck v. New York, 391 U.S. 462 (1968)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

Witherspoon v. Illinois, 391 U.S. 510 (1968) Louisiana Education Comm. for Needy Children v. Poindexter, 393 U.S. 17 (1968) Williams v. Rhodes, 393 U.S. 23 (1968) Epperson v. Arkansas, 393 U.S. 97 (1968) WHYY, Inc. v. Glassboro, 393 U.S. 117 (1968) South Carolina State Board of Education v. Brown, 393 U.S. 222 (1968) Hunter v. Erickson, 393 U.S. 385 (1969) Kirkpatrick v. Preisler, 394 U.S. 526 (1969) accord: Wells v. Rockefeller, 394 U.S. 542 (1969) Stanley v. Georgia, 394 U.S. 557 (1969) Street v. New York, 394 U.S. 576 (1969) Shapiro v. Thompson, 394 U.S. 618 (1969) accord: Reynolds v. Smith, 394 U.S. 618 (1969) Moore v. Ogilvie, 394 U.S. 814 (1969) Sniadach v. Family Finance Corp. 395 U.S. 337 (1969) Brandenburg v. Ohio, 395 U.S. 444 (1969) Kramer v. Union Free School District, 395 U.S. 621 (1969 *Cipriano v. City of Houma, 395 U.S. 701 (1969)* 

#### Burger Court (June 9, 1969–September 16, 1986)

Turner v. Fouche, 396 U.S. 346 (1970) Wyman v. Bowens, 397 U.S. 49 (1970) Hadley v. Junior College District, 397 U.S. 50 (1970) In re Winship, 397 U.S. 358 (1970) Baldwin v. New York, 399 U.S. 66 (1970) City of Phoenix v. Kolodziejski, 399 U.S. 204 (1970) Williams v. Illinois, 399 U.S. 235 (1970) Rockefeller v. Socialist Workers Party, 400 U.S. 806 (1970) Parish School Board v. Stewart, 400 U.S. 884 (1970) Bower v. Vaughan, 400 U.S. 884 (1970) Rafferty v. MacKay, 400 U.S. 954 (1970) Wisconsin v. Constantineau, 400 U.S. 433 (1971) Groppi v. Wisconsin, 400 U.S. 505 (1971) Boddie v. Connecticut, 401 U.S. 371 (1971) Tate v. Short, 401 U.S. 395 (1971) North Carolina State Board of Education v. Swann, 402 U.S. 43 (1971) Bell v. Burson, 402 U.S. 535 (1971) Coates v. City of Cincinnati, 402 U.S. 611 (1971) *Nyquist v. Lee*, 402 U.S. 935 (1971) Whitcomb v. Chavis, 403 U.S. 124 (1971) *Connell v. Higginbotham*, 403 U.S. 207 (1971) Graham v. Richardson, 403 U.S. 365 (1971) Sailer v. Leger, 403 U.S. 365 (1971) Lemon v. Kurtzman, 403 U.S. 602 (1971)

(Table continues)



Earley v. DiCenso, 403 U.S. 602 (1971) accord: Sanders v. Johnson, 403 U.S. 955 (1971) Pease v. Hansen, 404 U.S. 70 (1971) Reed v. Reed, 404 U.S. 71 (1971) Dunn v. Rivera, 404 U.S. 1054 (1972) Wyman v. Lopez, 404 U.S. 1055 (1972) Lindsey v. Normet, 405 U.S. 56 (1972) Bullock v. Carter, 405 U.S. 134 (1972) Papachristou v. City of Jacksonville, 405 U.S. 156 (1972) Dunn v. Blumstein, 405 U.S. 330 (1972) Caniffe v. Burg, 405 U.S. 1034 (1972) Davis v. Kohn, 405 U.S. 1034 (1972) Cody v. Andrews, 405 U.S. 1034 (1972) Donovan v. Keppel, 405 U.S. 1034 (1972) Whitcomb v. Affeldt, 405 U.S. 1034 (1972) Amos v. Hadnott, 405 U.S. 1035 (1972) Virginia State Board of Elections v. Bufford, 405 U.S. 1035 (1972) Eisenstadt v. Baird, 405 U.S. 438 (1972) Gooding v. Wilson, 405 U.S. 518 (1972) Stanley v. Illinois, 405 U.S. 645 (1972) Weber v. Aetna Casualty & Surety Co., 406 U.S. 164 (1972) Wisconsin v. Yoder, 406 U.S. 205 (1972) Brooks v. Tennessee, 406 U.S. 605 (1972) Jackson v. Indiana, 406 U.S. 715 (1972) Fuentes v. Shevin, 407 U.S. 67 (1972) James v. Strange, 407 U.S. 128 (1972) Parham v. Cortese, 407 U.S. 67 (1972) United States v. Scotland Neck City Board of Education, 407 U.S. 484 (1972) State Dept. of Health & Rehab. Services v. Zarate, 407 U.S. 918 (1972) Police Dept. of Chicago v. Mosley, 408 U.S. 92 (1972) Furman v. Georgia, 408 U.S. 238 (1972) Robinson v. Hanrahan, 409 U.S. 38 (1972) Ward v. Village of Monroeville, 409 U.S. 57 (1972) Evco v. Jones, 409 U.S. 91 (1972) Gomez v. Perez, 409 U.S. 535 (1973) Texas Board of Barber Examiners v. Bolton, 409 U.S. 807 (1972) Essex v. Wolman, 409 U.S. 808 (1972) Cason v. City of Columbia, 409 U.S. 1053 (1972) Amos v. Sims, 409 U.S. 942 (1972) Fugate v. Potomac Electric Power Co., 409 U.S. 942 (1972) Georges v. McCellan, 409 U.S. 1120 (1973) Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973) Doe v. Bolton, 410 U.S. 179 (1973) Mahan v. Howell, 410 U.S. 315 (1973) Whitcomb v. Communist Party of Indiana, 410 U.S. 976 (1973) Mescalero Apache Tribe v. Jones, 411 U.S. 145 (1973) McClanahan v. Arizona State Tax Commission, 411 U.S. 164 (1973)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.



New Jersey Welfare Rights Organization v. Cahill, 411 U.S. 619 (1973) Gagnon v. Scarpelli, 411 U.S. 778 (1973) Parker v. Levy, 411 U.S. 978 (1973) Vlandis v. Kline, 412 U.S. 441 (1973) Wardius v. Oregon, 412 U.S. 470 (1973) White v. Regester, 412 U.S. 755 (1973) White v. Weiser, 412 U.S. 783 (1973) Miller v. Gomez, 412 U.S. 914 (1973) Levitt v. Committee for Public Education & Religious Liberty, 413 U.S. 472 (1973) Sugarman v. Dougall, 413 U.S. 634 (1973) Committee for Public Education & Religious Liberty v. Nyquist, 413 U.S. 756 (1973) Sloan v. Lemon, 413 U.S. 825 (1973) Grit v. Wolman, 413 U.S. 902 (1973) Stevenson v. West, 413 U.S. 902 (1973) Nelson v. Miranda, 413 U.S. 902 (1973) Kusper v. Pontikes, 414 U.S. 51 (1973) Lefkowitz v. Turley, 414 U.S. 70 (1973) Texas v. Pruett, 414 U.S. 802 (1973) Danforth v. Rodgers, 414 U.S. 1035 (1973) Communist Party of Indiana v. Whitcomb, 414 U.S. 441 (1974) O'Brien v. Skinner, 414 U.S. 524 (1974) Lewis v. City of New Orleans, 415 U.S. 130 (1974) Memorial Hospital v. Maricopa County, 415 U.S. 250 (1974) Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974) Smith v. Goguen, 415 U.S. 566 (1974) Lubin v. Panish, 415 U.S. 709 (1974) Wallace v. Sims, 415 U.S. 902 (1974) Schwegmann Bros. Giant Super Markets v. Louisiana Milk Comm., 416 U.S. 922 (1974) Indiana Real Estate Comm. v. Satoskar, 417 U.S. 938 (1974) Marburger v. Public Funds for Public Schools, 417 U.S. 961 (1974) Miami Herald Publishing Co. v. Tornillo, 418 U.S. 241 (1974) Spence v. Washington, 418 U.S. 405 (1974) Cahn v. Long Island Vietnam Moratorium Committee, 418 U.S. 906 (1974) Franchise Tax Board v. United Americans, 419 U.S. 890 (1974) Taylor v. Louisiana, 419 U.S. 522 (1975) Goss v. Lopez, 419 U.S. 565 (1975) North Georgia Finishing, Inc. v. Di-Chem, Inc., 419 U.S. 601 (1975) Cox Broadcasting Corp. v. Cohn, 420 U.S. 469 (1975) Austin v. New Hampshire, 420 U.S. 656 (1975) Stanton v. Stanton, 421 U.S. 7 (1975) Hill v. Stone, 421 U.S. 289 (1975) Meek v. Pittenger, 421 U.S. 349 (1975) Bigelow v. Virginia, 421 U.S. 809 (1975) Erznoznik v. City of Jacksonville, 422 U.S. 205 (1975) Herring v. New York, 422 U.S. 853 (1975)

(Table continues)



Turner v. Department of Employment Security, 423 U.S. 44 (1975) Schwartz v. Vanasco, 423 U.S. 1041 (1976) Tucker v. Salera, 424 U.S. 959 (1976) Hynes v. Mayor & Council of Oradell, 425 U.S. 610 (1976) Virginia State Board of Pharmacy v. Virginia Citizens Consumer Council, Inc., 425 U.S. 748 (1976) California State Board of Pharmacy v. Terry, 426 U.S. 913 (1976) Bryan v. Itasca County, 426 U.S. 373 (1976) Planned Parenthood of Central Missouri v. Danforth, 428 U.S. 52 (1976) Gerstein v. Coe, 428 U.S. 901 (1976) Woodson v. North Carolina, 428 U.S. 280 (1976) Roberts v. Louisiana, 428 U.S. 325 (1976) Williams v. Oklahoma, 428 U.S. 907 (1976) Craig v. Boren, 429 U.S. 190 (1976) Sendak v. Arnold, 429 U.S. 968 (1976) Exon v. McCarthy, 429 U.S. 972 (1976) Boston Stock Exchange v. State Tax Commission, 429 U.S. 318 (1977) Lefkowitz v. C.D.R. Enterprises, 429 U.S. 1031 (1977) Guste v. Weeks, 429 U.S. 1056 (1977) Bowen v. Women's Services, 429 U.S. 1067 (1977) Wooley v. Maynard, 430 U.S. 705 (1977) *Trimble v. Gordon*, 430 U.S. 762 (1977) United States Trust Co. v. New Jersey, 431 U.S. 1 (1977) Linmark Associates v. Township of Willingboro, 431 U.S. 85 (1977) Chapelle v. Greater Baton Rouge Airport Dist., 431 U.S. 159 (1977) Moore v. City of East Cleveland, 431 U.S. 494 (1977) Roberts v. Louisiana, 431 U.S. 633 (1977) Carey v. Population Services International, 431 U.S. 678 (1977) Lefkowitz v. Cunningham, 431 U.S. 801 (1977) Nyquist v. Mauclet, 432 U.S. 1 (1977) Hunt v. Washington State Apple Advertising Commission, 432 U.S. 333 (1977) Shaffer v. Heitner, 433 U.S. 186 (1977) Wolman v. Walter, 433 U.S. 229 (1977) Coker v. Georgia, 433 U.S. 584 (1977) Jernigan v. Lendall, 433 U.S. 901 (1977) New York v. Cathedral Academy, 434 U.S. 125 (1977) Carter v. Miller, 434 U.S. 356 (1978) Zablocki v. Redhail, 434 U.S. 374 (1978) Ballew v. Georgia, 435 U.S. 223 (1978) McDaniel v. Paty, 435 U.S. 618 (1978) First National Bank of Boston v. Bellotti, 435 U.S. 765 (1978) Landmark Communications v. Virginia, 435 U.S. 839 (1978) Hicklin v. Orbeck, 437 U.S. 518 (1978) City of Philadelphia v. New Jersey, 437 U.S. 617 (1978) Allied Structural Steel Co. v. Spannaus, 438 U.S. 234 (1978) Lockett v. Ohio, 438 U.S. 586 (1978)

Duren v. Missouri, 439 U.S. 357 (1979) Colautti v. Franklin, 439 U.S. 379 (1979) Illinois State Board of Elections v. Socialist Workers Party, 440 U.S. 173 (1979) Orr v. Orr, 440 U.S. 268 (1979) Ashcroft v. Freiman, 440 U.S. 941 (1979) Quern v. Hernandez, 440 U.S. 951 (1979) Burch v. Louisiana, 441 U.S. 130 (1979) Hughes v. Oklahoma, 441 U.S. 322 (1979) *Caban v. Mohammed*, 441 U.S. 380 (1979) Japan Line, Ltd. v. Los Angeles County, 441 U.S. 434 (1979) Beggans v. Public Funds for Public Schools, 442 U.S. 907 (1979) Smith v. Daily Mail Publishing Co., 443 U.S. 97 (1979) Bellotti v. Baird, 443 U.S. 622 (1979) Village of Schaumberg v. Citizens for a Better Environment, 444 U.S. 620 (1980) Vance v. Universal Amusement Co., Inc., 445 U.S. 308 (1980) Vitek v. Jones, 445 U.S. 480 (1980) Payton v. New York, 445 U.S. 573 (1980) Wengler v. Druggists Mutual Insurance Co., 446 U.S. 142 (1980) Lewis v. BT Investment Managers, Inc., 447 U.S. 27 (1980) Carey v. Brown, 447 U.S. 455 (1980) Beck v. Alabama, 447 U.S. 625 (1980) Minnesota v. Planned Parenthood, 448 U.S. 901 (1980) Stone v. Graham, 449 U.S. 39 (1980) Webb's Fabulous Pharmacies, Inc. v. Beckwith, 449 U.S. 155 (1980) Edwards v. Service Machine & Shipbuilding Corp., 449 U.S. 913 (1980) Town of Southampton v. Troyer, 449 U.S. 988 (1980) Weaver v. Graham, 450 U.S. 24 (1981) Democratic Party of United States v. Wisconsin, 450 U.S. 107 (1981) Kirchberg v. Feenstra, 450 U.S. 455 (1981) Kassel v. Consolidated Freightways Corp., 450 U.S. 662 (1981) *Jefferson County v. United States*, 450 U.S. 901 (1981) Little v. Streater, 452 U.S. 1 (1981) Schad v. Borough of Mount Ephraim, 452 U.S. 61 (1981) Metromedia, Inc. v. City of San Diego, 453 U.S. 490 (1981) Campbell v. John Donnelly & Sons, 453 U.S. 916 (1981) Citizens Against Rent Control v. City of Berkeley, 454 U.S. 290 (1981) Louisiana Dairy Stabilization Bd. v. Dairy Fresh Corp., 454 U.S. 884 (1981) Brockett v. Spokane Arcades, 454 U.S. 1022 (1981) Firestone v. Let's Help Florida, 454 U.S. 1130 (1981) Santosky v. Kramer, 455 U.S. 745 (1982) Treen v. Karen B., 455 U.S. 912 (1982) Brown v. Hartlage, 456 U.S. 45 (1982) Mills v. Habluetzel, 456 U.S. 91 (1982) California State Bd. of Equalization v. United States, 456 U.S. 141 (1982) Larson v. Valente, 456 U.S. 228 (1982)

(Table continues)



*Greene v. Lindsey*, 456 U.S. 444 (1982) Rusk v. Espinosa, 456 U.S. 951 (1982) Zobel v. Williams, 457 U.S. 55 (1982) Plyler v. Doe, 457 U.S. 202 (1982) Globe Newspaper Co. v. Superior Court, 457 U.S. 596 (1982) Edgar v. Mite Corp., 457 U.S. 624 (1982) Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp., 458 U.S. 419 (1982) Washington v. Seattle School District No. 1, 458 U.S. 457 (1982) Enmund v. Florida, 458 U.S. 782 (1982) Sporhase v. Nebraska ex rel. Douglas, 458 U.S. 941 (1982) Brown v. Socialist Workers '74 Campaign Committee, 459 U.S. 87 (1982) Larkin v. Grendel's Den, Inc., 459 U.S. 116 (1982) King v. Sanchez, 459 U.S. 801 (1982) *Giacobbe v. Andrews*, 459 U.S. 801 (1982) Minneapolis Star & Tribune Co. v. Minnesota Commissioner of Revenue, 460 U.S. 575 (1983)Anderson v. Celebrezze, 460 U.S. 780 (1983) Kolender v. Lawson, 461 U.S. 352 (1983) Pickett v. Brown, 462 U.S. 1 (1983) City of Akron v. Akron Center for Reproductive Health, Inc., 462 U.S. 416 (1983) Planned Parenthood Association v. Ashcroft, 462 U.S. 476 (1983) *Karcher v. Daggett*, 462 U.S. 725 (1983) Mennonite Board of Missions v. Adams, 462 U.S. 791 (1983) Healy v. United States Brewers Ass'n, 464 U.S. 909 (1983) Westinghouse Electric Corp. v. Tully, 466 U.S. 388 (1984) Wallace v. Jaffree, 466 U.S. 924 (1984) Bernal v. Fainter, 467 U.S. 216 (1984) Armco Inc. v. Hardesty, 467 U.S. 638 (1984) Brown v. Brandon, 467 U.S. 1223 (1984) Secretary of State of Maryland v. Joseph H. Munson Co., 467 U.S. 947 (1984) Bacchus Imports, Ltd. v. Dias, 468 U.S. 263 (1984) Deukmejian v. National Meat Ass'n, 469 U.S. 1100 (1985) Westhafer v. Worrell Newspapers, 469 U.S. 1200 (1985) Metropolitan Life Insurance Co. v. Ward, 470 U.S. 869 (1985) Oklahoma City Board of Education v. National Gay Task Force, 470 U.S. 903 (1985) Hunter v. Underwood, 471 U.S. 222 (1985) Williams v. Vermont, 472 U.S. 14 (1985) Wallace v. Jaffree, 472 U.S. 38 (1985) Jensen v. Quaring, 472 U.S. 478 (1985) Brockett v. Spokane Arcades, 472 U.S. 491 (1985) Hooper v. Bernalillo County Assessor, 472 U.S. 612 (1985) Estate of Thornton v. Caldor, Inc., 472 U.S. 703 (1985) City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center, Inc., 473 U.S. 432 (1985) Philadelphia Newspapers, Inc. v. Hepps, 475 U.S. 767 (1986) Hudnut v. American Booksellers Ass'n, 475 U.S. 1001 (1986)

Brown-Foreman Distillers Corp. v. New York State Liquor Authority, 476 U.S. 573 (1986)Thornburgh v. American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists, 476 U.S. 747 (1986) Attorney General of New York v. Soto-Lopez, 476 U.S. 898 (1986) Rehnquist Court (September 17, 1986–September 4, 2005) Tashjian v. Republican Party of Connecticut, 479 U.S. 208 (1986) Babbitt v. Planned Parenthood, 479 U.S. 925 (1986) Wilkinson v. Jones, 480 U.S. 926 (1987) Arkansas Writers' Project, Inc. v. Ragland, 481 U.S. 221 (1987) *Miller v. Florida*, 482 U.S. 423 (1987) *City of Houston v. Hill*, 482 U.S. 451 (1987) Booth v. Maryland, 482 U.S. 496 (1987) Board of Airport Commissioners for the City of Los Angeles v. Jews for Jesus, Inc., 482 U.S. 569 (1987) Edwards v. Aguillard, 482 U.S. 578 (1987) Sumner v. Shuman, 483 U.S. 66 (1987) Tyler Pipe Industries, Inc. v. Washington Dept. of Revenue, 483 U.S. 232 (1987) American Trucking Associations, Inc. v. Scheiner, 483 U.S. 266 (1987) Hartigan v. Zbaraz, 484 U.S. 171 (1987) City of Manassas v. United States, 485 U.S. 1017 (1988) New Energy Company of Indiana v. Limbach, 486 U.S. 269 (1988) Maynard v. Cartwright, 486 U.S. 356 (1988) Meyer v. Grant, 486 U.S. 414 (1988) Clark v. Jeter, 486 U.S. 456 (1988) Shapero v. Kentucky Bar Assn., 486 U.S. 466 (1988) City of Lakewood v. Plain Dealer Publishing Co., 486 U.S. 750 (1988) Bendix Autolite Corp. v. Midwesco Enterprises, Inc., 486 U.S. 888 (1988) Riley v. National Federation of the Blind, 487 U.S. 781 (1988) Supreme Court of Virginia v. Friedman, 487 U.S. 59 (1988) Thompson v. Oklahoma, 487 U.S. 815 (1988) Coy v. Iowa, 487 U.S. 1012 (1988) Allegheny Pittsburgh Coal Co. v. Webster County Comm'n., 488 U.S. 336 (1989) *City of Richmond v. J.A. Croson Co.*, 488 U.S. 469 (1989) Texas Monthly, Inc. v. Bullock, 489 U.S. 1 (1989) Eu v. San Francisco County Democratic Central Committee, 489 U.S. 214 (1989) Barnard v. Thorstenn, 489 U.S. 546 (1989) Board of Estimate of New York City v. Morris, 489 U.S. 688 (1989) Davis v. Michigan Dept. of Treasury, 489 U.S. 803 (1989) Quinn v. Millsap, 491 U.S. 95 (1989) Healy v. Beer Institute, Inc., 491 U.S. 324 (1989) Texas v. Johnson, 491 U.S. 397 (1989) The Florida Star v. B.J.F., 491 U.S. 524 (1989) FW/PBS, Inc. v. Dallas, 493 U.S. 215 (1990)


(Table continues)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.



McKoy v. North Carolina, 494 U.S. 433 (1990) Butterworth v. Smith, 494 U.S. 624 (1990) Peel v. Attorney Registration & Disciplinary Commission, 496 U.S. 91 (1990) Hodgson v. Minnesota, 497 U.S. 417 (1990) Connecticut v. Doehr, 501 U.S. 1 (1991) Simon and Schuster v. Crime Victims Board, 502 U.S. 105 (1991) Norman v. Reed, 502 U.S. 279 (1992) Wyoming v. Oklahoma, 502 U.S. 437 (1992) Foucha v. Louisiana, 504 U.S. 71 (1992) *Quill Corp. v. North Dakota*, 504 U.S. 298 (1992) Chemical Waste Management v. Hunt, 504 U.S. 334 (1992) Fort Gratiot Landfill v. Michigan Dep't, 504 U.S. 353 (1992) Kraft General Food v. Iowa Dep't, 505 U.S. 71 (1992) R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul, 505 U.S. 377 (1992) Lee v. Weisman, 505 U.S. 577 (1992) Lee v. International Soc'y for Krishna Consciousness, 505 U.S. 830 (1992) Planned Parenthood v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833 (1992) City of Cincinnati v. Discovery Network, 507 U.S. 410 (1993) Edenfeld v. Fane, 507 U.S. 761 (1993) Church of Lukumi Babalu v. City of Hialeah, 508 U.S. 520 (1993) Oregon Waste Systems v. Oregon Dep't., 511 U.S. 93 (1994) C&A Carbone, Inc. v. Town of Clarkston, 511 U.S. 383 (1994) Associated Industries v. Lohman, 511 U.S. 641 (1994) Montana Dep't v. Kurth Ranch, 511 U.S. 767 (1994) *City of Ladue v. Galleo, 512 U.S. 43 (1994)* West Lynn Creamery v. Healy, 512 U.S. 186 (1994) Honda Motor Co. v. Oberg, 512 U.S. 415 (1994) Kiryas Joel Board of Education v. Grumet, 512 U.S. 687 (1994) McIntyre v. Ohio Elections Commission, 514 U.S. 334 (1995) U.S. Term Limits, Inc. v. Thornton, 514 U.S. 779 (1995) Hurley v. Irish-American Gay Group, 515 U.S. 557 (1995) Miller v. Johnson, 515 U.S. 900 (1995) Fulton Corp. v. Faulkner, 516 U.S. 325 (1996) 44 Liquormart, Inc. v. Rhode Island, 517 U.S. 484 (1996) Romer v. Evans, 517 U.S. 620 (1996) Shaw v. Hunt, 517 U.S. 899 (1996) Bush v. Vera, 517 U.S. 952 (1996) United States v. Virginia, 518 U.S. 515 (1996) M.L.B. v. S.L.J., 519 U.S. 102 (1996) Lynce v. Mathis, 519 U.S. 433 (1997) Chandler v. Miller, 520 U.S. 305 (1997) Camps Newfound/Owatonna v. Town of Harrison, 520 U.S. 564 (1997) Lunding v. New York Tax Appeals Tribunal, 522 U.S. 287 (1998) Knowles v. Iowa, 525 U.S. 113 (1998) Buckley v. American Constitutional Law Foundation, 525 U.S. 182 (1999) South Central Bell Tel. Co. v. Alabama, 526 U.S. 160 (1999) Saenz v. Roe, 526 U.S. 489 (1999)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.



City of Chicago v. Morales, 527 U.S. 41 (1999) Rice v. Cayetano, 528 U.S. 495 (2000) Carmell v. Texas, 529 U.S. 513 (2000) Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57 (2000) Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466 (2000) California Democratic Party v. Jones, 530 U.S. 567 (2000) Boy Scouts of America v. Dale, 530 U.S. 640 (2000) Steinberg v. Carhart, 530 U.S. 914 (2000) Cook v. Gralike, 531 U.S. 510 (2001) Bartnicki v. Vopper, 532 U.S. 514 (2001) Lorillard Tobacco Co. v. Reilly, 533 U.S. 525 (2001) Watchtower Bible & Tract Soc'y v. Village of Stratton, 536 U.S. 150 (2002 *Ring v. Arizona*, 536 U.S. 584 (2002) Atkins v. Virginia, 536 U.S. 304 (2002) Virginia v. Black, 538 U.S. 343 (2003) Lawrence v. Texas, 539 U.S. 558 (2003) Stogner v. California, 539 U.S. 607 (2003) Blakely v. Washington, 542 U.S. 296 (2004) Roper v. Simmons, 543 U.S. 551 (2005) Granholm v. Heald, 544 U.S. 460 (2005) Halbert v. Michigan, 545 U.S. 605 (2005)

#### Roberts Court (2005–2009 Terms)

Randall v. Sorrell, 548 U.S. 230 (2006) California v. Cunningham, 549 U.S. 270 (2007) Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School District, 551 U.S. 701 (2007) Kennedy v. Louisiana, 554 U.S. 407 (2008) District of Columbia v. Heller, 554 U.S. 570 (2008) Haywood v. Drown, 556 U.S. 729 (2009) Polar Tankers, Inc. v. City of Valdez, 557 U.S. 1 (2009) McDonald v. City of Chicago, 561 U.S. 742 (2010) Sorrell v. IMS Health, Inc., 564 U.S. 552 (2011) Brown v. Entertainment Merchants Association, 564 U.S. 786 (2011) Arizona Free Enterprise Club's Freedom Club PAC v. Bennett, 564 U.S. 721 (2011) Miller v. Alabama, 567 U.S. 460 (2012) American Tradition Partnership, Inc. v. Bullock, 567 U.S. 516 (2012) *McCullen v. Coakley*, 134 S. Ct. 2518 (2014) Harris v. Quinn, 134 S.Ct. 2618 (2014) Hall v. Florida, 134 S. Ct. 1986 (2014) Reed v. Town of Gilbert, 135 S. Ct. 2218 (2015) Obergefell v. Hodges, 135 S. Ct. 2584 (2015) *Comptroller of the Treasury v. Wynne*, 135 S. Ct. 1787 (2015) City of Los Angeles v. Patel, 135 S. Ct. 2443 (2015) Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt, 136 S. Ct. 2292 (2016)

(Table continues)

#### Table 2-15(Continued)

Hurst v. Florida, 136 S. Ct. 616 (2016)
Birchfield v. North Dakota, 136 S. Ct. 2160 (2016)
Trinity Lutheran Church of Columbia, Inc. v. Comer, 137 S. Ct. 2012 (2017)
Pavan v. Smith, 137 S. Ct. 2075 (2017)
Packingham v. North Carolina, 137 S. Ct. 1730 (2017)
Nelson v. Colorado, 137 S. Ct. 1249 (2017)
Cooper v. Harris, 137 S. Ct. 1455 (2017)
National Institute of Family & Life Advocates v. Becerra, 138 S. Ct. 2361 (2018)
Minnesota Voters Alliance v. Mansky, 138 S. Ct. 1876 (2018)
Janus v. American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees, 138 S. Ct. 2448 (2018)
Tennessee Wine and Spirits Retailers Association v. Thomas, 139 S. Ct. 2449 (2019)
Dawson v. Steager, 139 S. Ct. 1390 (2020)
June Medical Services v. Russo, 140 S. Ct. 2103 (2020)

*Note:* Researchers should use these data with caution because the determination of which decisions have declared state and local legislation unconstitutional is not a clear-cut matter. The Congressional Research Service's *The Constitution of the United States of America*, the source for this table, explains its criteria here: https://constitution.congress.gov/browse/essay/appx\_1. Note also that earlier editions of *The Constitution of the United States of America* included cases in which the Court preempted a state or local law. The most recent version no longer includes these cases because "those cases tend to hinge on an interpretation of positive federal law as opposed to a substantive interpretation of a particular constitutional provision." We followed suit and omitted them from this table.

*Sources:* Congressional Research Service, *The Constitution of the United States of America: Analysis and Interpretation*, 2287–2336. That edition covered cases decided through July 1, 2014. The update (through the 2018 term) is available at https://constitution.congress.gov/resources/unconstitutional-laws. Post-2019 has been updated by the authors.

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc. This work may not be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means without express written permission of the publisher.

Court	Overruling Decision	Overruled Decision(s)
<i>Marshall Court</i> (January 27, 1801–March 14,	Hudson v. Guestier, 10 U.S. (6 Cr.) 281 (1810)	Rose v. Himely, 8 U.S. (4 Cr.) 241 (1808)
1836)	Gordon v. Ogden, 28 U.S. (3 Pet.) 33 (1830)	Wilson v. Daniel, 3 U.S. (3 Dall. 401 (1798)
	<i>Green v. Lessee of Neal,</i> 31 U.S. (6 Pet.) 291 (1832)	Powell's Lessee v. Harman, 27 U.S. (2 Pet.) 241 (1829)
		<i>Patton's Lessee v. Easton,</i> 14 U.S. (1 Wheat.) 476 (1816)
<i>Taney Court</i> (March 15, 1836–December 5,	Louisville, Cincinnati & Charleston Ry. Co. v. Letson, 43 U.S.	Commercial & R.R. Bank of Vicksburg v. Slocomb, 39 U.S. (14 Pet.) 60 (1840)
1864)	(2 How.) 497 (1844)	Bank of the United States v. Deveaux, 9 U.S. (5 Cr.) 61 (1809)
	S	<i>Strawbridge v. Curtiss,</i> 7 U.S. (3 Cr.) 267 (1806)
	The Propeller Genesee Chief, 53 U.S. (12 How.) 443 (1851)	The Steamboat Thomas Jefferson, 23 U.S. (10 Wheat.) 428 (1825)
	Gazzam v. Lessee of Phillips, 61 U.S. (20 How.) 372 (1857)	Brown's Lessee v. Clements, 44 U.S. (3 How.) 650 (1845)
Ċ	<i>Suydam v. Williamson,</i> 65 U.S. (24 How.) 427 (1861)	Williamson v. Ball, 49 U.S. (8 How.) 566 (1850)
×G	/	Williamson v. Irish Presbyterian Congregation, 49 U.S. (8 How.) 565 (1850)
$\sim$		<i>Williamson v. Berry,</i> 49 U.S. (8 How.) 495 (1850)
<i>Chase Court</i> (December 6,	Mason v. Eldred, 73 U.S. (6 Wall.) 231 (1868)	Sheehy v. Mandeville & Jamesson 10 U.S. (6 Cr.) 253 (1810)
1864–January 20, 1874)	<i>The Belfast,</i> 74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 624 (1869)	<i>Allen v. Newberry,</i> 62 U.S. (21 How.) 244 (1858) (in part)
	<i>Knox v. Lee, 7</i> 9 U.S. (12 Wall.) 457 (1871) (Legal Tender Cases)	Hepburn v. Griswold, 75 U.S. (8 Wall.) 603 (1870)
	Trebilcock v. Wilson, 79 U.S. (12 Wall.) 687 (1871)	Roosevelt v. Meyer, 68 U.S. (1 Wall.) 512 (1863)
		(TT 11

## Table 2-16Supreme Court Decisions Overruled by Subsequent<br/>Decisions, 1791–2019 Terms

(Table continues)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

00

Court	<b>Overruling</b> Decision	Overruled Decision(s)
<i>Waite Court</i> (January 21, 1874–July 19, 1888)	Hornbuckle v. Toombs, 85 U.S. (18 Wall.) 648 (1874)	Dunphy v. Kleinsmith & Duer, 78 U.S. (11 Wall.) 610 (1870)
		<i>Orchard v. Hughes,</i> 68 U.S. (1 Wall.) 73 (1864)
		Noonan v. Lee, 67 U.S. (2 Black) 499 (1863)
	Union Pac. Ry. v. McShane, 89 U.S. (22 Wall.) 444 (1874)	<i>Ry. Co. v. Prescott</i> , 83 U.S. (16 Wall.) 603 (1873) (in part)
	<i>Cty. of Cass v. Johnston,</i> 95 U.S. 360 (1877)	Harshman v. Bates Cty., 92 U.S. 569 (1875)
	Fairfield v. Cty. of Gallatin, 100 U.S. 47 (1879)	Town of Concord v. Portsmouth Savings Bank, 92 U.S. 625 (1875)
	<i>Tilghman v. Proctor,</i> 102 U.S. 707 (1880)	Mitchell v. Tilghman, 86 U.S. (19 Wall.) 287 (1873)
	Kilbourn v. Thompson, 103 U.S. 168 (1881)	Anderson v. Dunn, 19 U.S. (6 Wheat.) 204 (1821)
	Kountze v. Omaha Hotel Co., 107 U.S. 378 (1883)	<i>Stafford v. The Union Bank of La.,</i> 57 U.S. (16 How.) 135 (1853)
	United States v. Phelps, 107 U.S. 320 (1883)	Shelton v. The Collector, 72 U.S. (5 Wall.) 113 (1867)
	Morgan v. United States, 113 U.S. 476 (1885)	<i>Texas v. White,</i> 74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 700 (1869)
	In re Ayers, 123 U.S. 443 (1887)	Osborn v. Bank of the United States, 22 U.S. (9 Wheat.) 738 (1824)
	Philadelphia & S. Steamship Co. v. Pennsylvania, 122 U.S. 326 (1887)	State Tax on Ry. Gross Receipts, 82 U.S. (15 Wall.) 284 (1873)
	Leloup v. Port of Mobile, 127 U.S. 640 (1888)	<i>Osborne v. Mobile,</i> 83 U.S. (16 Wall.) 479 (1873)
<i>Fuller Court</i> (July 20, 1888–	<i>Leisy v. Hardin,</i> 135 U.S. 100 (1890)	<i>Peirce v. New Hampshire,</i> 46 U.S. (5 How.) 504 (1847)
December 11, 1910)	Brenham v. German Am. Bank, 144 U.S. 173 (1892)	Mitchell v. Burlington, 71 U.S. (4 Wall.) 270 (1867)
		Rogers v. Burlington, 70 U.S. (3 Wall.) 654 (1866)
	<i>Roberts v. Lewis</i> , 153 U.S.	<i>Giles v. Little,</i> 104 U.S. 291

Table 2-16(Continued)

~

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

367 (1894)

This work may not be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means without express written permission of the publisher.

(1881)

Table 2-16	(Continued)
------------	-------------

Court	<b>Overruling</b> Decision	Overruled Decision(s)
<i>White Court</i> (December 12, 1910–June 29, 1921)	<i>Minnesota Rate Cases,</i> 230 U.S. 352 (1913)	Peik v. Chicago & Nw. Ry., 94 U.S. 164 (1877)
	Garland v. Washington, 232 U.S. 642 (1914)	<i>Crain v. United States</i> , 162 U.S. 625 (1896)
	United States v. Nice, 241 U.S. 591 (1916)	<i>Matter of Heff,</i> 197 U.S. 488 (1905)
	Motion Picture Patents Co. v. Universal Film Mfg. Co., 243 U.S. 502 (1917)	Henry v. A.B. Dick Co., 224 U.S. 1 (1912)
	Pa. R.R. Co. v. Towers, 245 U.S. 6 (1917)	<i>Lake Shore &amp; Mich. S. Ry. Co. v.</i> <i>Smith,</i> 173 U.S. 684 (1899) (in part)
	Boston Store of Chicago v. Am. Graphophone Co., 246 U.S. 8 (1918)	Henry v. A.B. Dick Co., 224 U.S. 1 (1912)
	Rosen v. United States, 245 U.S. 467 (1918)	<i>United States v. Reid,</i> 53 U.S. (12 How.) 361 (1851)
<i>Taft Court</i> (June 30, 1921–February 12,	Terral v. Burke Constr. Co., 257 U.S. 529 (1922)	Security Mut. Life Ins. Co. v. Prewitt, 202 U.S. 246 (1906)
1930)	Ŷ	<i>Doyle v. Cont'l Ins. Co.,</i> 94 U.S. 535 (1877)
	Lee v. Chesapeake & Ohio Ry. Co., 260 U.S. 653 (1923)	In re Moore, 209 U.S. 490 (1908)
	R	Ex parte Wisner, 203 U.S. 449 (1906)
	Alpha Portland Cement Co. v. Massachusetts, 268 U.S. 203 (1925)	Baltic Mining Co. v. Massachusetts, 231 U.S. 68 (1913)
	Gleason v. Seaboard Air Line Ry. Co., 278 U.S. 349 (1929)	<i>Friedlander v. Texas &amp; Pac. Ry.</i> <i>Co.,</i> 130 U.S. 416 (1889) (in part)
	Farmers Loan & Tr. Co. v. Minnesota, 280 U.S. 204 (1930)	Blackstone v. Miller, 188 U.S. 189 (1903)
Hughes Court (February 13, 1930–June 11,	East Ohio Gas Co. v. Tax Comm'n of Ohio, 283 U.S. 465 (1931)	Pa. Gas Co. v. Pub. Serv. Comm'n, 252 U.S. 23 (1920)
1941)	Chicago & E. Ill. R.R. Co. v. Indus. Comm'n, 284 U.S. 296 (1932)	<i>Erie R.R. Co. v. Szary</i> , 253 U.S. 86 (1920)
		(T-11- · · · ·

(Table continues)

Court	<b>Overruling</b> Decision	Overruled Decision(s)
		<i>Erie R.R. Co. v. Collins</i> , 253 U.S. 77 (1920)
	Fox Film Corp. v. Doyal, 286 U.S. 123 (1932)	Long v. Rockwood, 277 U.S. 142 (1928)
	Funk v. United States, 290 U.S. 371 (1933)	Jin Fuey Moy v. United States, 254 U.S. 189 (1920)
		Hendrix v. United States, 219 U.S. 79 (1911)
	W. Coast Hotel Co. v. Parrish, 300 U.S. 379 (1937)	Adkins v. Children's Hosp. of D.C., 261 U.S. 525 (1923)
	Erie R.R. v. Tompkins, 304 U.S. 64 (1938)	<i>Swift v. Tyson,</i> 41 U.S. (16 Pet.) 1 (1842)
	Helvering v. Mountain Producers Corp., 303 U.S. 376 (1938)	Burnet v. Coronado Oil & Gas Co., 285 U.S. 393 (1932)
	S	<i>Gillespie v. Oklahoma,</i> 257 U.S. 501 (1922)
	Graves v. New York ex rel. O'Keefe, 306 U.S. 466 (1939)	New York ex rel. Rogers v. Graves, 299 U.S. 401 (1937)
	10	<i>Collector v. Day,</i> 78 U.S. (11 Wall.) 113 (1871)
	O'Malley v. Woodrough, 307 U.S. 277 (1939)	Miles v. Graham, 268 U.S. 501 (1925)
C	)`	Evans v. Gore, 253 U.S. 245 (1920)
Ň	Rochester Tel. Corp. v. United States, 307 U.S. 125 (1939)	Procter & Gamble Co. v. United States, 225 U.S. 282 (1912)
$\sim$	Helvering v. Hallock, 309 U.S. 106 (1940)	Becker v. St. Louis Union Tr. Co., 296 U.S. 48 (1935)
		Helvering v. St. Louis Union Tr. Co., 296 U.S. 39 (1935)
	Madden v. Kentucky, 309 U.S. 83 (1940)	<i>Colgate v. Harvey,</i> 296 U.S. 404 (1935)
	<i>Tigner v. Texas,</i> 310 U.S. 141 (1940)	Connolly v. Union Sewer Pipe Co., 184 U.S. 540 (1902)

**Table 2-16** (Continued)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

This work may not be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means without express written permission of the publisher.

Court	<b>Overruling</b> Decision	Overruled Decision(s)
<i>Stone Court</i> (June 12, 1941–	Alabama v. King & Boozer, 314 U.S. 1 (1941)	<i>Graves v. Texas Co.,</i> 298 U.S. 393 (1936)
June 19, 1946)		Panhandle Oil Co. v. Mississippi ex rel. Knox, 277 U.S. 218 (1928)
	California v. Thompson, 313 U.S. 109 (1941)	Di Santo v. Pennsylvania, 273 U.S. 34 (1927)
	Edwards v. California, 314 U.S. 160 (1941)	<i>City of New York v. Miln,</i> 36 U.S. (11 Pet.) 102 (1837)
	Nye v. United States, 313 U.S. 33 (1941)	Toledo Newspaper Co. v. United States, 247 U.S. 402 (1918)
	Olsen v. Nebraska ex rel. W. Reference & Bond Ass'n, 313 U.S. 236 (1941)	<i>Ribnik v. McBride,</i> 277 U.S. 350 (1928)
	Toucey v. N.Y. Life Ins. Co., 314 U.S. 118 (1941)	Supreme Tribe of Ben-Hur v. Cauble, 255 U.S. 356 (1921)
	United States v. Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pac. R. R., 312 U.S. 592 (1941)	<i>United States v. Lynah,</i> 188 U.S. 445 (1903)
	United States v. Darby, 312 U.S. 100 (1941)	Hammer v. Dagenhart, 247 U.S. 251 (1918)
	Graves v. Schmidlapp, 315 U.S. 657 (1942)	Wachovia Bank & Trust Co. v. Doughton, 272 U.S. 567 (1926)
	State Tax Comm'n of Utah v. Aldrich, 316 U.S. 174 (1942)	First Nat'l Bank of Boston v. Maine, 284 U.S. 312 (1932)
	Williams v. North Carolina, 317 U.S. 287 (1942)	Haddock v. Haddock, 201 U.S. 562 (1906)
	Brady v. Roosevelt, S. S. Co., 317 U.S. 575 (1943)	Johnson v. U.S. Shipping Bd. Emergency Fleet Corp., 280 U.S. 320 (1930)
	Jones v. Opelika, 319 U.S. 103 (1943) (re-argument)	Jones v. Opelika, 316 U.S. 584 (1942)
	Murdock v. Pennsylvania, 319 U.S. 105 (1943)	Jones v. Opelika, 316 U.S. 584 (1942)
		(Table continues)

**Table 2-16** (Continued)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

This work may not be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means without express written permission of the publisher.

Court	<b>Overruling Decision</b>	Overruled Decision(s)
	Okla. Tax Comm'n v. United States, 319 U.S. 598 (1943)	<i>Childers v. Beaver</i> , 270 U.S. 555 (1926)
	W. Va. State Bd. of Educ. v. Barnette, 319 U.S. 624 (1943)	Minersville Sch. Dist. v. Gobitis, 310 U.S. 586 (1940)
	Fed. Power Comm'n v. Hope Nat. Gas Co., 320 U.S. 591 (1944)	United Rys. & Elec. Co. of Baltimore v. West, 280 U.S. 234 (1930) (in part)
	Mahnich v. Southern S.S. Co., 321 U.S. 96 (1944)	Plamals v. S.S. "Pinar Del Rio", 277 U.S. 151 (1928) (in part)
	Mercoid Corp. v. Mid- Continent Co., 320 U.S. 661 (1944)	Leeds & Catlin Co. v. Victor Talking Mach. Co. (No. 2), 213 U.S. 325 (1909)
	Smith v. Allwright, 321 U.S. 649 (1944)	Grovey v. Townsend, 295 U.S. 45 (1935)
	United States v. S. E. Underwriters Ass'n, 322 U.S. 533 (1944)	Paul v. Virginia, 75 U.S. (8 Wall.) 168 (1869)
	Girouard v. United States, 328 U.S. 61 (1946)	<i>United States v. Bland</i> , 283 U.S. 636 (1931)
	1:	<i>United States v. Macintosh,</i> 283 U.S. 605 (1931)
	07	United States v. Schwimmer, 279 U.S. 644 (1929)
<i>Vinson Court</i> (June 20, 1946– September 29,	Angel v. Bullington, 330 U.S. 183 (1947)	David Lupton's Sons v. Auto. Club of Am., 225 U.S. 489 (1912)
1953)	Sherrer v. Sherrer, 334 U.S. 343 (1948)	Andrews v. Andrews, 188 U.S. 1 (1903) (in part)
	Comm'r of Internal Revenue v. Estate of Church, 335 U.S. 632 (1949)	May v. Heiner, 281 U.S. 238 (1930)
	Cosmopolitan Shipping Co. v. McAllister, 337 U.S. 783 (1949)	Hust v. Moore-McCormack Lines Inc., 328 U.S. 707 (1946)
	Lincoln Fed. Labor Union v. Nw. Iron & Metal Co., 335 U.S. 525 (1949)	Coppage v. Kansas, 236 U.S. 1 (1915)

Table 2-16(Continued)

Court	Overruling Decision	Overruled Decision(s)
		<i>Adair v. United States</i> , 208 U.S. 161 (1908)
	Okla. Tax Comm'n v. Texas Co., 336 U.S. 342 (1949)	Oklahoma ex rel. Okla. Tax Comm'n v. Barnsdall Refineries, Inc., 296 U.S. 521 (1936)
		Large Oil Co. v. Howard, 248 U.S. 549 (1919)
		Howard v. Gipsy Oil Co., 247 U.S. 503 (1918)
		Indian Territory Illuminating Oil Co. v. Oklahoma, 240 U.S. 522 (1916)
		Choctaw, Okla. & Gulf R.R. Co. v. Harrison, 235 U.S. 292 (1914)
	United States v. Rabinowitz, 339 U.S. 56 (1950)	Trupiano v. United States, 334 U.S. 699 (1948)
	Joseph Burstyn, Inc. v. Wilson, 343 U.S. 495 (1952)	Mut. Film Corp. v. Indus. Comm'n of Ohio, 236 U.S. 230 (1915)
	Standard Oil Co. v. Peck, 342 U.S. 382 (1952)	Ayer & Lord Tie Co. v. Kentucky, 202 U.S. 409 (1906)
	2	Old Dominion S.S. Co. v. Virginia, 198 U.S. 299 (1905)
	R i	<i>St. Louis v. The Ferry Co.,</i> 78 U.S. (11 Wall.) 423 (1870)
<i>Warren Court</i> (September 30,	<i>Carroll v. Lanza,</i> 349 U.S. 408 (1955)	Bradford Elec. Light Co. v. Clapper, 286 U.S. 145 (1932)
1953–June 8, 1969)	Reid v. Covert, 354 U.S. 1 (1957)	<i>Reid v. Covert</i> , 351 U.S. 487 (1956)
$\sim$		<i>Kinsella v. Krueger</i> , 351 U.S. 470 (1956)
	Vanderbilt v. Vanderbilt, 354 U.S. 416 (1957)	<i>Thompson v. Thompson,</i> 226 U.S. 551 (1913)
	United States v. Raines, 362 U.S. 17 (1960)	United States v. Reese, 92 U.S. 214 (1876)
	James v. United States, 366 U.S. 213 (1961)	Comm'r of Internal Revenue v. Wilcox, 327 U.S. 404 (1946)

Table 2-16(Continued)

(Table continues)

Court	Overruling Decision	Overruled Decision(s)
	Mapp v. Ohio, 367 U.S. 643 (1961)	<i>Wolf v. Colorado,</i> 338 U.S. 25 (1949) (in part)
	Smith v. Evening News Ass'n, 371 U.S. 195 (1962)	Ass'n of Westinghouse Salaried Emps. v. Westinghouse Corp., 348 U.S. 437 (1955) (in part)
	Fay v. Noia, 372 U.S. 391 (1963)	<i>Darr v. Burford,</i> 339 U.S. 200 (1950) (in part)
	Ferguson v. Skrupa, 372 U.S. 726 (1963)	Adams v. Tanner, 244 U.S. 590 (1917)
	Gideon v. Wainwright, 372 U.S. 335 (1963)	<i>Betts v. Brady</i> , 316 U.S. 455 (1942)
	Local No. 438 Constr. & Gen. Laborers' Union, AFL-CIO v. Curry, 371 U.S. 542 (1963)	Montgomery Bldg. & Constr. Trades Council v. Ledbetter Erection Co. Inc., 344 U.S. 178 (1952) (in part)
	Escobedo v. Illinois, 378 U.S. 478 (1964)	Cicenia v. Lagay, 357 U.S. 504 (1958)
	_0	Crooker v. California, 357 U.S. 433 (1958)
	Jackson v. Denno, 378 U.S. 368 (1964)	Stein v. New York, 346 U.S. 156 (1953)
	Malloy v. Hogan, 378 U.S. 1, 6 (1964)	Adamson v. California, 332 U.S. 46 (1947)
	07	<i>Twining v. New Jersey,</i> 211 U.S. 78 (1908)
<u> </u>	McLaughlin v. Florida, 379 U.S. 184 (1964)	Pace v. Alabama, 106 U.S. 583 (1883)
à	Murphy v. Waterfront Comm'n of N.Y. Harbor, 378 U.S. 52 (1964)	Feldman v. United States, 322 U.S. 487 (1944)
		United States v. Murdock, 284 U.S. 141 (1931)
	Wesberry v. Sanders, 376 U.S. 1 (1964)	<i>Colegrove v. Green,</i> 328 U.S. 549 (1946) (in part)
	Harris v. United States, 382 U.S. 162 (1965)	Brown v. United States, 359 U.S. 41 (1959)
	<i>Pointer v. Texas</i> , 380 U.S. 400 (1965)	West v. Louisiana, 194 U.S. 258 (1904)
	Swift & Co., Inc. v. Wickham, 382 U.S. 111 (1965)	Kesler v. Dep't of Pub. Safety of Utah, 369 U.S. 153 (1962) (in part)

Table 2-16(Continued)

Court	<b>Overruling</b> Decision	Overruled Decision(s)
	United States v. Brown, 381 U.S. 437 (1965)	Am. Commc'ns Ass'n, C.I.O. v. Douds, 339 U.S. 382 (1950)
	Harper v. Va. Bd. of Elections, 383 U.S. 663 (1966)	Breedlove v. Suttles, 302 U.S. 277 (1937)
	Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966)	<i>Crooker v. California,</i> 357 U.S. 433 (1958)
		<i>Cicenia v. La Gay,</i> 357 U.S. 504 (1958)
	Afroyim v. Rusk, 387 U.S. 253 (1967)	Perez v. Brownell, 356 U.S. 44 (1958)
	Camara v. Mun. Court of San Francisco, 387 U.S. 523 (1967)	Frank v. Maryland, 359 U.S. 360 (1959)
	<i>Katz v. United States,</i> 389 U.S. 347 (1967)	Goldman v. United States, 316 U.S. 129 (1942)
	S	Olmstead v. United States, 277 U.S. 438 (1928)
	Keyishian v. Bd. of Regents, 385 U.S. 589 (1967)	Adler v. Bd. of Educ., 342 U.S. 485 (1952)
	Spevack v. Klein, 385 U.S. 511 (1967)	Cohen v. Hurley, 366 U.S. 117 (1961)
	Warden, Md. Penitentiary v. Hayden, 387 U.S. 294 (1967)	Gouled v. United States, 255 U.S. 298 1921 (1921)
<u> </u>	Marchetti v. United States, 390 U.S. 39 (1968)	<i>Lewis v. United States,</i> 348 U.S. 419 (1955)
X		United States v. Kahriger, 345 U.S. 22 (1953)
<u>,0</u> "	Bruton v. United States, 391 U.S. 123 (1968)	Delli Paoli v. United States, 352 U.S. 232 (1957)
	Carafas v. LaVallee, 391 U.S. 234 (1968)	Parker v. Ellis, 362 U.S. 574 (1960)
	Duncan v. Louisiana, 391 U.S. 145 (1968)	Maxwell v. Dow, 176 U.S. 581 (1900)
	Lee v. Florida, 392 U.S. 378 (1968)	Schwartz v. Texas, 344 U.S. 199 (1952)
	Peyton v. Rowe, 391 U.S. 54 (1968)	McNally v. Hill, 293 U.S. 131 (1934)

Table 2-16(Continued)

(Table continues)

Court	<b>Overruling</b> Decision	Overruled Decision(s)
	Benton v. Maryland, 395 U.S. 784 (1969)	<i>Palko v. Connecticut,</i> 302 U.S. 319 (1937)
	Brandenburg v. Ohio, 395 U.S. 444 (1969)	Whitney v. California, 274 U.S. 357 (1927)
	Chimel v. California, 395 U.S. 752 (1969)	United States v. Rabinowitz, 339 U.S. 56 (1950)
		<i>Harris v. United States</i> , 331 U.S. 145 (1947)
	Jones v. Alfred H. Mayer Co., 392 U.S. 409 (1969)	<i>Hodges v. United States,</i> 203 U.S. 1 (1906)
	Lear, Inc. v. Adkins, 395 U.S. 653 (1969)	Automatic Radio Mfg. Co. v. Hazeltine Research, Inc., 339 U.S. 827 (1950)
	Moore v. Ogilvie, 394 U.S. 814 (1969)	MacDougall v. Green, 335 U.S. 281 (1948)
<i>Burger Court</i> (June 9, 1969–	Ashe v. Swenson, 397 U.S. 436 (1970)	Hoag v. New Jersey, 356 U.S. 464 (1958)
September 16, 1986)	Boys Markets, Inc. v. Retail Clerk's Union, 398 U.S. 235 (1970)	Sinclair Refining Co. v. Atkinson, 370 U.S. 195 (1962)
	Moragne v. States Marine Lines, 398 U.S. 375 (1970)	The Harrisburg, 119 U.S. 199 (1886)
	<i>Price v. Georgia,</i> 398 U.S. 323 (1970)	<i>Brantley v. Georgia,</i> 217 U.S. 284 (1910)
not	Williams v. Florida, 399 U.S. 78 (1970)	<i>Thompson v. Utah,</i> 170 U.S. 343 (1898)
	Blonder-Tongue Labs. v. Univ. of Ill. Found., 402 U.S. 313 (1971)	Triplett v. Lowell, 297 U.S. 638 (1936)
	Griffin v. Breckenridge, 403 U.S. 88 (1971)	<i>Collins v. Hardyman,</i> 341 U.S. 651 (1951) (in part)
	Perez v. Campbell, 402 U.S. 637 (1971)	Kesler v. Dep't of Pub. Safety, 369 U.S. 153 (1962)
		Reitz v. Mealey, 314 U.S. 33 (1941)
	Andrews v. Louisville & Nashville R. R. Co., 406 U.S. 320 (1972)	Moore v. Ill. Cent. R.R. Co., 312 U.S. 630 (1941)
	Wainwright v. Sykes, 433 U.S. 72 (1972)	Wainwright v. Sykes, 433 U.S. 72 (1963)

Table 2-16(Continued)

Court	<b>Overruling</b> Decision	Overruled Decision(s)
	Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court, 410 U.S. 484 (1973)	Ahrens v. Clark, 335 U.S. 188 (1948)
	Lehnhausen v. Lake Shore Auto Parts Co., 410 U.S. 356 (1973)	Quaker City Cab Co. v. Pennsylvania, 277 U.S. 389 (1928)
	Miller v. California, 413 U.S. 15 (1973)	A Book Named "John Cleland's Memoirs of a Woman of Pleasure" v. Attorney General, 383 U.S. 413 (1966)
	N.D. State Bd. of Pharmacy v. Snyder's Drug Stores, Inc., 414 U.S. 156 (1973)	Louis K. Liggett Co. v. Baldridge, 278 U.S. 105 (1928)
	Edelman v. Jordan, 415 U.S. 651 (1974)	Sterrett v. Mothers' & Children's Rights Org., 409 U.S. 809 (1973)
	S	State Dep't of Health & Rehab. Servs. v. Zarate, 407 U.S. 918 (1972)
	<b>O</b>	Wyman v. Bowens, 397 U.S. 49 (1970)
	12	Shapiro v. Thompson, 394 U.S. 618 (1969)
	Taylor v. Louisiana, 419 U.S. 522 (1975)	<i>Hoyt v. Florida,</i> 368 U.S. 57 (1961) (in part)
CC CC	United States v. Reliable Transfer Co., Inc., 421 U.S. 397 (1975)	<i>The Schooner Catherine v.</i> <i>Dickinson, 5</i> 8 U.S. (17 How.) 170 (1854)
Ő	City of New Orleans v. Dukes, 427 U.S. 297 (1976)	Morey v. Doud, 354 U.S. 457 (1957)
	Craig v. Boren, 429 U.S. 190 (1976)	Goesaert v. Cleary, 335 U.S. 464 (1948)
	Dove v. United States, 423 U.S. 325 (1976)	Durham v. United States, 401 U.S. 481 (1971)
	Gregg v. Georgia, 428 U.S. 153 (1976)	<i>McGautha v. California,</i> 402 U.S. 183 (1971)
	Hudgens v. NLRB, 424 U.S. 507 (1976)	Amalgamated Food Emps. Union Local 590 v. Logan Valley Plaza, Inc., 391 U.S. 308 (1968)

Table 2-16(Continued)

(Table continues)

Court	<b>Overruling Decision</b>	Overruled Decision(s)
	Lodge 76, Int'l Ass'n of Machinists & Aerospace Workers v. Wis. Emp. Relations Comm'n, 427 U.S. 132 (1976)	Int'l Union, U.A.W.A. v. Wis. Emp. Relations Bd., 336 U.S. 245 (1949)
	Michelin Tire Corp. v. Wages, 423 U.S. 276 (1976)	<i>Low v. Austin,</i> 80 U.S. (13 Wall.) 29 (1872)
	Nat'l League of Cities v. Usery, 426 U.S. 833 (1976)	Maryland v. Wirtz, 392 U.S. 183 (1968)
	Va. State Bd. of Pharmacy v. Va. Citizens Consumer Council, Inc., 425 U.S. 748 (1976)	Valentine v. Chrestensen, 316 U.S. 52 (1942)
	Complete Auto Transit, Inc. v. Brady, 430 U.S. 274 (1977)	Spector Motor Serv. v. O'Connor, 340 U.S. 602 (1951)
	Continental T.V., Inc. v. GTE Sylvania, 433 U.S. 36 (1977)	United States v. Arnold, Schwinn & Co., 388 U.S. 365 (1967)
	Oregon ex rel. State Land Bd. v. Corvallis Sand & Gravel Co., 429 U.S. 363 (1977)	Bonelli Cattle Co. v. Arizona, 414 U.S. 313 (1973)
~0	Shaffer v. Heitner, 433 U.S. 186 (1977)	Pennoyer v. Neff, 95 U.S. 714 (1878)
, C	Burks v. United States, 437 U.S. 1 (1978)	<i>Forman v. United States,</i> 361 U.S. 416 (1960) (in part)
0		<i>Yates v. United States,</i> 354 U.S. 298 (1957) (in part)
		<i>Bryan v. United States,</i> 338 U.S. 552 (1950) (in part)
~	Dep't of Rev. v. Ass'n of Wash. Stevedoring Cos., 435 U.S. 734 (1978)	Joseph v. Carter & Weekes Stevedoring Co., 330 U.S. 422 (1947)
		Puget Sound Stevedoring Co. v. State Tax Comm'n, 302 U.S. 90 (1937)
	Monell v. Dep't of Soc. Servs., 436 U.S. 658 (1978)	<i>Monroe v. Pape,</i> 365 U.S. 167 (1961) (in part)

Table 2-16(Continued)

Court	<b>Overruling</b> Decision	Overruled Decision(s)
	<i>United States v. Scott</i> , 437 U.S. 82 (1978)	<i>United States v. Jenkins</i> , 420 U.S. 358 (1975)
	Hughes v. Oklahoma, 441 U.S. 322 (1979)	<i>Geer v. Connecticut,</i> 161 U.S. 519 (1896)
	Trammel v. United States, 445 U.S. 40 (1980)	<i>Hawkins v. United States,</i> 358 U.S. 74 (1958)
	United States v. Salvucci, 448 U.S. 83 (1980)	Jones v. United States, 362 U.S. 257 (1960)
	Commonwealth Edison Co. v. Montana, 453 U.S. 609 (1981)	Heisler v. Thomas Colliery Co., 260 U.S. 245 (1922)
	Sporhase v. Nebraska ex rel. Douglas, 458 U.S. 941 (1982)	Hudson Cty. Water Co. v. McCarter, 209 U.S. 349 (1908)
	United States v. Ross, 456 U.S. 798 (1982)	<i>Robbins v. California,</i> 453 U.S. 420 (1981)
	Bob Jones Univ. v. United States, 461 U.S. 574 (1983)	Plessy v. Ferguson, 163 U.S. 537 (1896)
	Illinois v. Gates, 462 U.S. 213 (1983)	Spinelli v. United States, 393 U.S. 410 (1969)
	10	Aguilar v. Texas, 378 U.S. 108 (1964)
	Copperweld Corp. v. Indep. Tube Corp., 467 U.S. 752 (1984)	United States v. Yellow Cab Co., 332 U.S. 218 (1947)
×G	Limbach v. Hooven & Allison Co., 466 U.S. 353 (1984)	Hooven & Allison Co. v. Evatt, 324 U.S. 652 (1945)
	Pennhurst State Sch. & Hosp. v. Halderman, 465 U.S. 89 (1984)	<i>Rolston v. Missouri Fund Comm'rs,</i> 120 U.S. 390 (1887) (in part)
	United States v. One Assortment of 89 Firearms, 465 U.S. 354 (1984)	<i>Coffey v. United States,</i> 116 U.S. 436 (1886)
	Garcia v. San Antonio Metro. Transit Auth., 469 U.S. 528 (1985)	Nat'l League of Cities v. Usery, 426 U.S. 833 (1976)
	United States v. Miller, 471 U.S. 130 (1985)	<i>Ex parte Bain,</i> 121 U.S. 1 (1887) (in part)
		(Table continues)

Table 2-16(Continued)

 $\mathcal{C}$ 

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

Court	Overruling Decision	Overruled Decision(s)
	Batson v. Kentucky, 476 U.S. 79 (1986)	<i>Swain v. Alabama,</i> 380 U.S. 202 (1965) (in part)
	Daniels v. Williams, 474 U.S. 327 (1986)	Parratt v. Taylor, 451 U.S. 527 (1981) (in part)
<i>Rehnquist Court</i> (September 17,	Puerto Rico v. Branstad, 483 U.S. 219 (1987)	Kentucky v. Dennison, 65 U.S. (24 How.) 66 (1861)
1986–September 4, 2005)	Solorio v. United States, 483 U.S. 435 (1987)	O'Callahan v. Parker, 395 U.S. 258 (1969)
	Tyler Pipe Indus. v. Wash. State Dep't of Revenue, 483 U.S. 232 (1987)	Gen. Motors Corp. v. Washington, 377 U.S. 436 (1964)
	Welch v. Texas Dep't of Highways & Public Transp., 483 U.S. 468 (1987)	Parden v. Terminal Ry. of Alabama State Docks Dep't, 377 U.S. 184 (1964) (in part)
	Gulfstream Aerospace Corp. v. Mayacamas Corp., 485 U.S. 271 (1988)	Ettelson v. Met. Life Ins. Co., 317 U.S. 188 (1942)
	Q	Enelow v. N.Y. Life Ins. Co., 293 U.S. 379 (1935)
çĊ	South Carolina v. Baker, 485 U.S. 505 (1988)	Pollock v. Farmers' Loan & Tr. Co., 157 U.S. 429 (1895)
	Alabama v. Smith, 490 U.S. 794 (1989)	<i>Simpson v. Rice,</i> 395 U.S. 711 (1969) (decided with <i>North</i> <i>Caroline v. Pearce</i> )
	Healy v. The Beer Inst., 491 U.S. 324 (1989)	Joseph E. Seagram & Sons, Inc. v. Hostetter, 384 U.S. 35 (1966)
, O	Rodriguez de Quijas v. Shearson/Am. Express, Inc., 490 U.S. 477 (1989)	Wilko v. Swan, 346 U.S. 427 (1953)
	Thornburgh v. Abbott, 490 U.S. 401 (1989)	<i>Procunier v. Martinez,</i> 416 U.S. 396 (1974) (in part)
	Collins v. Youngblood, 497 U.S. 37 (1990)	<i>Thompson v. Utah,</i> 170 U.S. 343 (1898)
		<i>Kring v. Missouri,</i> 107 U.S. 221 (1883)
	W. S. Kirkpatrick & Co. v. Envtl. Tectonics Corp., 493 U.S. 400 (1990)	American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co., 213 U.S. 347 (1909)

**Table 2-16** (Continued)

Court	<b>Overruling</b> Decision	Overruled Decision(s)
	<i>California v. Acevedo,</i> 500 U.S. 565 (1991)	Arkansas v. Sanders, 442 U.S. 753 (1979)
	<i>Coleman v. Thompson,</i> 501 U.S. 722 (1991)	Fay v. Noia, 372 U.S. 391 (1963)
	Exxon Corp. v. Central Gulf Lines, Inc., 500 U.S. 603 (1991)	<i>Minturn v. Maynard,</i> 58 U.S. (17 How.) 477 (1855)
	<i>Payne v. Tennessee,</i> 501 U.S. 808 (1991)	South Carolina v. Gathers, 490 U.S. 805 (1989)
		Booth v. Maryland, 482 U.S. 496 (1987)
	Keeney v. Tamayo-Reyes, 504 U.S. 1 (1992)	<i>Townsend v. Sain,</i> 372 U.S. 293 (1963) (in part)
	Planned Parenthood of S.E. Pa. v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833 (1992)	Thornburgh v. Am. Coll. of Obstetricians & Gynecologists, 476 U.S. 747 (1986) (in part)
	05	City of Akron v. Akron Ctr. for Reprod. Health, Inc., 462 U.S. 416 (1983) (in part)
	Quill Corp. v. North Dakota, 504 U.S. 298 (1992)	Nat'l Bellas Hess, Inc. v. Dep't of Revenue of Ill., 386 U.S. 753 (1967) (in part)
	United States v. Dixon, 509 U.S. 688 (1993)	<i>Grady v. Corbin,</i> 495 U.S. 508 (1990)
	Nichols v. United States, 511 U.S. 738 (1994)	Baldasar v. Illinois, 446 U.S. 222 (1980)
X	Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Peña, 515 U.S. 200 (1995)	Metro Broadcasting, Inc. v. FCC, 497 U.S. 547(1990)
	Hubbard v. United States, 514 U.S. 695 (1995)	United States v. Bramblett, 348 U.S. 503 (1955)
	United States v. Gaudin, 515 U.S. 506 (1995)	Sinclair v. United States, 279 U.S. 263 (1929)
	44 Liquormart, Inc. v. Rhode Island, 517 U.S. 484 (1996)	California v. LaRue, 409 U.S. 109 (1972)
	Fulton Corp. v. Faulkner, 516 U.S. 325 (1996)	Darnell v. Indiana, 226 U.S. 390 (1912)

**Table 2-16** (Continued)

~

(Table continues)

Court	Overruling Decision	Overruled Decision(s)
		<i>Kidd v. Alabama,</i> 188 U.S. 730 (1903)
	Seminole Tribe of Florida v. Florida, 517 U.S. 44 (1996)	Pennsylvania v. Union Gas Co., 491 U.S. 1 (1989)
	Agostini v. Felton, 521 U.S. 203 (1997)	Aguilar v. Felton, 473 U.S. 402 (1985)
		<i>Sch. Dist. of Grand Rapids v.</i> <i>Ball,</i> 473 U.S. 373 (1985) (in part)
	Hudson v. United States, 522 U.S. 93 (1997)	<i>United States v. Halper</i> , 490 U.S 435 (1989)
	State Oil Co. v. Khan, 522 U.S. 3 (1997)	<i>Albrecht v. Herald Co.,</i> 390 U.S. 145 (1968)
	Hohn v. United States, 524 U.S. 236 (1998)	House v. Mayo, 324 U.S. 42 (1945)
	Coll. Savs. Bank v. Fla. Prepaid Postsecondary Educ. Expense Bd., 527 U.S. 666 (1999)	Parden v. Terminal Ry. of Ala. State Docks Dep't, 377 U.S. 184 (1964) (in part)
	Minnesota v. Mille Lacs Band of Chippewa Indians, 526 U.S. 172 (1999) (in part)	Ward v. Race Horse, 163 U.S. 50 (1896) (in part)
Ó	Mitchell v. Helms, 530 U.S. 793 (2000)	<i>Wolman v. Walter</i> , 433 U.S. 229 (1977)
		Meek v. Pittenger, 421 U.S. 349 (1975)
Ň	United States v. Hatter, 532 U.S. 557 (2001)	Evans v. Gore, 253 U.S. 245 (1920)
	Atkins v. Virginia, 536 U.S. 304 (2002)	<i>Penry v. Lynaugh,</i> 492 U.S. 302 (1989)
$\mathbf{\hat{0}}$	Lapides v. Bd. of Regents of Univ. System of Ga., 535 U.S. 613 (2002)	Ford Motor Co. v. Dep't of Treasury of State of Ind., 323 U.S 459 (1945)
	Ring v. Arizona, 536 U.S. 584 (2002)	Walton v. Arizona, 497 U.S. 639 (1990)
	United States v. Cotton, 535 U.S. 625 (2002)	<i>Ex parte Bain,</i> 121 U.S. 1 (1887)
	<i>Lawrence v. Texas,</i> 539 U.S. 558 (2003)	Bowers v. Hardwick, 478 U.S. 186 (1986)

**Table 2-16** (Continued)

Copyright ©2021 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

This work may not be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means without express written permission of the publisher.

Court	<b>Overruling</b> Decision	Overruled Decision(s)
	Crawford v. Washington, 541 U.S. 36 (2004)	<i>Ohio v. Roberts,</i> 448 U.S. 56 (1980)
	Roper v. Simmons, 543 U.S. 551 (2005)	<i>Stanford v. Kentucky</i> , 492 U.S. 361 (1989)
Roberts Court (September 29, 2005–)	Cent. Va. Cmty. Coll. v. Katz, 546 U.S. 356 (2006)	Hoffman v. Conn. Dep't of Income Maint., 492 U.S. 96 (1989)
	<i>Bowles v. Russell,</i> 551 U.S. 205 (2007)	<i>Thompson v. INS,</i> 375 U.S. 384 (1964)
		Harris Truck Lines, Inc. v. Cherry Meat Packers, Inc., 371 U.S. 215 (1962)
	Leegin Creative Leather Prods. v. PSKS, Inc., 551 U.S. 877 (2007)	Dr. Miles Medical Co. v. John D. Park & Sons Co., 220 U.S. 373 (1911)
	<i>Montejo v. Louisiana,</i> 556 U.S. 778 (2009)	Michigan v. Jackson, 475 U.S. 625 (1986)
	Pearson v. Callahan, 555 U.S. 223 (2009)	Saucier v. Katz, 533 U.S. 194 (2001)
	Citizens United v. FEC, 558 U.S. 310 (2010)	<i>McConnell v. FEC,</i> 540 U.S. 93 (2003)
	Alleyne v. United States, 570 U.S. 99 (2013)	Harris v. United States, 536 U.S. 545 (2002)
	Johnson v. United States, 135 S.Ct. 2551 (2015)	Sykes v. United States, 564 U.S. 1 (2011)
		James v. United States, 550 U.S. 192 (2007)
X	<i>Obergefell v. Hodges,</i> 135 S.Ct. 2584 (2015)	Baker v. Nelson, 409 U.S. 810 (1972)
	<i>Hurst v. Florida</i> , 136 S.Ct. 616 (2016)	Hildwin v. Florida, 490 U.S. 638 (1989)
	× •	<i>Spaziano v. Florida,</i> 468 U.S. 447 (1984)
	Janus v. Am. Fed'n of State, Cty., and Mun. Emps., 138 S.Ct. 2448 (2018)	Abood v. Detroit Bd. of Educ., 431 U.S. 209 (1977)
	South Dakota v. Wayfair, 585 S.Ct. 2080 (2018)	Nat'l Bellas Hess v. Dep't of Revenue of Ill., 386 U.S. 753 (1967)

# **Table 2-16** (Continued)

(Table continues)

Court	<b>Overruling</b> Decision	Overruled Decision(s)
		<i>Quill Corp. v. North Dakota,</i> 504 U.S. 298 (1992)
	<i>Trump v. Hawaii,</i> 138 S.Ct. 2392 (2018)	<i>Korematsu v. United States</i> , 323 U.S. 214 (1944)
	Franchise Tax Board of California v. Hyatt, 139 S. Ct. 1485 (2019)	Nevada v. Hall, 440 U.S. 410 (1979)
	Herrera v. Wyoming, 139 S. Ct. 1686 (2019)	Ward v. Race Horse, 163 U.S. 504 (1896)
	Knick v. Township of Scott, 139 S. Ct. 2162 (2019)	Williamson Cty. Reg'l Planning Comm'n v. Hamilton Bank of Johnson City, 473 U.S. 172 (1985) (in part)
	Rucho v. Common Cause, 139 S. Ct. 2484 (2019)	Davis v. Bandemer, 478 U.S. 109 (1986)
	Ramos v. Louisiana, 140 S. Ct. 1390 (2020)	Apodaca v. Oregon, 406 U. S. 404 (1972)

### Table 2-16(Continued)

*Note:* The determination of whether the Court has formally altered one of its own precedents is a subjective judgment. The Congressional Research Service's The Constitution of the United States of America, the source for this table, explains its criteria at https://constitution .congress.gov/browse/essay/appx\_1.

*Source:* Congressional Research Service, *The Constitution of the United States of America: Analysis and Interpretation* (https://constitution.congress.gov/resources/decisions-overruled); updated by the authors.